

CHAPTER REVIEW AND SUMMARY

Bureau Name	Board of Veterinary Medicine	Total Rule Count	12
Staff Member		Number of Pages	5
Chapter or Rule	811 IAC Chapter 8	Code Section Authorizing Rule	section 169.5(7)(j)

Provide a summary of what public benefits the Chapter/Rule seeks to accomplish:

The chapter includes the process for obtaining a license or certificate from the Board of Veterinary Medicine to be veterinary assistants/auxiliary personnel, as well as describes the authority and/or limitations of what veterinary assistants/auxiliary personnel are allowed to perform. The Iowa Legislature recently passed (2023) legislation significantly overhauling the authority/limitations of veterinary assistants/auxiliary personnel (HF 670), which renders most, if not all, of chapter 8 obsolete, and the Board is in the process of engaging stakeholders and preparing proposed rules to implement HF 670 by December 31, 2023, which is required by HF 670. Because nearly all of the current rules in chapter 8 will be repealed and replaced as part of the rulemaking process under HF 670, the Board has limited its analysis of the current rules in chapter 8 as being obsolete but will conduct its rulemaking process under HF 670 consistent with the requirements of EO 10.

Are the intended public benefits being achieved?

N/A. See prior answer.

Provide a breakdown of the fees included in the Chapter as well as revenue generated over the previous 5 fiscal years; include where the funds are deposited:

N/A. See prior answer.

What costs are incurred by the public to comply with the Chapter or Rule?

N/A. See prior answer.

What costs are incurred by the bureau or other agencies to implement/enforce the Chapter or Rule?

N/A. See prior answer.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Explain.

N/A. See prior answer.

Are there alternatives that should be considered to achieve the benefit?

YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

N/A. See prior answer.

Does this Chapter or Rule contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

Yes. See prior answer.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL – List rule number(s):

Most, if not all, of chapter 8 will be repealed and replaced with new language consistent with HF 670 and EO 10. See prior answer.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION – List rule number(s) and please email an attachment with suggested changes (specific changes can be worked out later):

N/A. See prior answer.

Are there any rules that you would recommend be codified in statute?

N/A. See prior answer.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2534-2191=343

REGULATORY ANALYSIS

1. Persons affected by the proposed rule

Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rule:

N/A. See prior answer.

Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rule:

N/A. See prior answer.

2. Impact of the proposed rule, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred

Quantitative description of impact:

N/A. See prior answer.

Qualitative description of impact:

N/A. See prior answer.

3. Costs to the state

Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

N/A. See prior answer.

Anticipated effect on state revenues:

N/A. See prior answer.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the costs and benefits of inaction

5. N/A. See prior answer.

6. Determination if less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule

N/A. See prior answer.

7. Alternative methods considered by the agency

Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

N/A. See prior answer.

Reasons why they were rejected in favor of the proposed rule:

N/A. See prior answer.

Small Business Impact

If the rule will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rule on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rule for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rule for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rule’s compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rule for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rule.

If legal and feasible, how does the rule use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

N/A. See prior answer.