# Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers

2017 REVISION



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Public Health Service

Food and Drug Administration

### **PREFACE**

The objective of a rating is to provide an assessment of the Regulatory Agency's sanitation activities regarding public health protection and milk quality control. This is accomplished by evaluating sanitation compliance and enforcement standards of the current edition of the *Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk Ordinance (Grade "A" PMO)* and Related Documents as listed in the *Procedures Governing the Cooperative State-Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration Program of the National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments (Procedures)*. Rating results are used for the purpose of evaluating the sanitation compliance and enforcement requirements of shippers to determine the degree of compliance with public health standards as expressed in the *Grade "A" PMO*. Rating results are further utilized as a means of uniform education and interpretation, in addition to providing a basis for the acceptance/rejection of shippers by Regulatory Agencies beyond the limits of routine inspection. Rating results are intended to establish uniform reciprocity between Regulatory Agencies to prevent unnecessary restrictions of the interstate flow of milk and/or milk products, yet assure public health protection.

The rating method for evaluating the sanitary quality of milk and/or milk products measures the extent to which a shipper complies with the standards contained in the Grade "A" PMO. These nationally recognized standards, rather than local requirements, are used as a yardstick in order that ratings of individual Bulk Tank Units (BTUs) or attached shippers and milk plants, receiving stations and/or transfer stations may be comparable to each other, both interstate and intrastate. Ratings are expressed in terms of percentage compliance. For example, if the milk plant, receiving station, transfer station and/or dairy farms comply with all of the requirements of the Grade "A" PMO, the Sanitation Compliance Rating of the pasteurized milk supply and/or raw milk supply, respectively, would be one hundred percent (100%); whereas, if the milk plant, receiving station, transfer station or some of the dairy farms fail to satisfy one (1) or more of these requirements, the Sanitation Compliance Rating would be reduced in proportion to the amount of milk and/or milk products involved in the violation and to the relative public health significance of the violated Item(s). Procedures for the collection of data, the computation of Sanitation Compliance Ratings for raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging and pasteurized milk, and the computation of the Enforcement Rating of the Regulatory Agency, responsible for administering milk sanitation regulations, are described in the following Sections.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		$\underline{P}$	<u>age</u>
PR	EF	ACE	i
TA	BL	LE OF CONTENTS	ii
AE	BBR	REVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	vii
		HODS OF MAKING SANITATION RATINGS OF MILK SHIPPERS AND THE TIFICATIONS/ LISTINGS OF SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR	<b>(</b>
		SURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS	1
Α.	DI	EFINITIONS	1
PA	ST	ATING METHODS FOR RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA- EURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT CESSED AFTER PACKAGING	7
1.	DI TA	RUG RESIDUE COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING BTU OR CHED SUPPLY COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX N. OF THE <i>GRADE "A" PMO</i>	7
	b.	Record Review	7
2.	CO	OLLECTION OF DATA	8
	a. b.	Number of Dairy Farms to be Rated	9
	c. d	Number of Bulk Milk Hauler/Samplers to be Evaluated	
	e.	Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data	10
3.	CC	DMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS	11
		ATING METHODS FOR MILK PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND SFER STATIONS	12
		RUG RESIDUE COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING MILK IT, RECEIVING STATION AND TRANSFER STATION COMPLIANCE WITH	
		NDIX N. OF THE GRADE "A" PMO	12
		Record Review	
		Regulatory Notification	
•	c.		
		OOD SAFETY PLAN COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING MILK	
۲L		T COMPLIANCE	
3		DLLECTION OF DATA	
٦.		Recording of Inspection Data	
		Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data	

	c. Recording of Data for Milk Plants, Receiving Stations and Transfer Stations Being	
	Listed Under the NCIMS Voluntary HACCP Listing Procedure	16
	d. Recording of Data for Milk Plants and Receiving Stations Being Listed Under the	
	NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and/or the NCIMS Retort	10
	Processed after Packaging Program	
4.	COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS	. 20
	CERTIFICATION/LISTING METHODS FOR SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS ND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS	
	COLLECTION OF DATA	
1.	a. Recording of Inspection Data	
	b. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data	
2.	COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS	
	COMPUTATION OF ENFORCEMENT RATINGS	
1.	PURPOSE	. 24
	RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC	
	COCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING	
ON	NLY	. 25
3.	RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION	. 26
4.	MILK PLANTS	27
	a. Aseptic and/or Retort Milk Plant	
	b. Milk Plant with an Unattached Supply of Raw Milk	27
	c. Milk Plant with an Attached Supply of Raw Milk	28
F.	PREPARATION OF THE SRO'S REPORT FOR MILK SHIPPERS	29
1.	PURPOSE	29
2.	SUMMARY OF RATING RESULTS	. 29
3.	SUPPLEMENTARY NARRATIVE REPORT	
	RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SRO	
4.	RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SRO	. 30
	PREPARATION OF THE SRO'S OR SSC'S REPORT FOR SINGLE-SERVICE	20
	ONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURERS	
	PURPOSE	
2.	SUMMARY OF CERTIFICATION RESULTS	. 31
Н.	PUBLICATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT"	31
1.	PURPOSE	31
2.	PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT"	32
	a. Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization, Aseptic	-
	Processing and Packaging or Retort Processed after Packaging	32
	b. Receiving Station or Transfer Station	32
	c. Milk Plant	33
3.	PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT" FOR	
	ACCP LISTINGS	35

4. PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT" FOR ASEPT	IC
PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED	
AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTINGS	35
I. PUBLICATION OF THE "REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of	
Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)"	36
1. PURPOSE	
2. PREPARATION OF THE "REPORT OF CERTIFICATION"	
2. TREFARATION OF THE REFORT OF CERTIFICATION	50
I DVANDI DO OF DATING NOME OF LOCAL CONTROL ASSESSED DO COCCUMA	
J. EXAMPLES OF RATING, NCIMS HACCP LISTING, ASEPTIC PROCESSING	
AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGIN	G
PROGRAM LISTING FORMS AND SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR	
CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS	20
CERTIFICATION/LISTING FORMS	39
1. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT	40
OF THE MILKSANITATION RATING (PAGE1)	40
2. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)	11
3. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C.	41
EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3)	42
4. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY	4∠
FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)	13
5. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK	43
PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5)	44
6. FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-	नन
PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT	
PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING	45
7. FORM FDA 2359L- STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND	15
CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS)	47
8. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT	
9. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (ELECTRONIC	
SUBMISSION)	50
10. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER	
STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT	51
11. FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW	
REPORT	54
12. FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION- INTERSTATE MILK	
SHIPPER's LISTING	55
13. FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING	
PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM	
CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort	
Milk and/or Milk Products)	56
14. FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service	
Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	57
15. FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service	
Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	58

K. EXAMPLES OF HOW TO PROPERLY COMPLETE RATING, NCIMS HACCP	
LISTING, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, AND RETORT	
PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTING FORMS AND SINGLE-	
SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK	
PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS CERTIFICATION/LISTING FORMS	59
1. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT	
OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)	61
2. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT	
OF ENFORCEMENT MEHTODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY)	62
3. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C.	
EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT	
ONLY-PART II, ITEM 8)	63
4. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK	
PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5)	
(EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY-PART II, ITEMS 9 AND 10)	64
5. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT	
OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU AND	
RECEIVING STATION)	65
6. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C.	
EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM	
BTU AND RECEIVING STATION- Part I, Item 9 and Part II, Item 8)	66
7. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY	
FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)	
(EXAMPLE: MULITPLE FARM BTU- Part I, Items 10 and 11)	67
8. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK	
PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5)	
(EXAMPLE: RECEIVING STATION- Part II, Items 9 and 10)	68
9. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT	
OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: SINGLE FARMS BTU)	69
10. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY	
FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)	
(EXAMPLE: SINGLE FARM BTU- Part I, Items 10 and 11)	70
11. FORM FDA 2359j- MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT	
OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU)	71
12. FORM FDA 2359j- MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT- SECTION D. DAIRY	
FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)	
(EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU- Part I, Items 10 and 11)	72
13. FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-	
PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT	
PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING	73
14. FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND	
CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS)	75
15. FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND	
CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND	
TRANSFER STATIONS)	76
16. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT	77
17. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (ELECTRONIC	
SUBMISSION)	79

### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACLE (Aseptic Critical Listing Element)
APPS (Aseptic Processing and Packaging System)
AR (Audit Report)

BTU (Bulk Tank Unit)

CCP (Critical Control Point)

CFR (Code of Federal Regulations)

CIP (Clean-in-Place)

CL (Critical Limit)

CLE (Critical Listing Element)

cwt. (100 Pounds Weight Unit)

dSSO (delegated Sampling Surveillance Regulatory Agency Official)

EML (Evaluation of Milk Laboratories)

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency)

FDA (Food and Drug Administration)

FFD&CA (Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act)

HACCP (Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point)

ICP (International Certification Program)

IMS (Interstate Milk Shipper)

LACF (Low Acid Canned Food)

LEO (Laboratory Evaluation Officer)

LOI (Letter of Intent)

LOU (Letter of Understanding)

LPET (Laboratory Proficiency and Evaluation Team)

M-a (Memorandum of Interpretation)

MC (Milk Company)

M-I (Memorandum of Information)

MMSR (Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers)

MOA (Memorandum of Agreement)

MST (Milk Safety Team)

NCIMS (National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments)

PCQI (Preventive Controls Qualified Individual)

pH (Potential Hydrogen-acid/alkaline balance of a solution)

PHS (Public Health Service)

PHS/FDA (Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration)

PMO (Pasteurized Milk Ordinance)

PP (Prerequisite Program)

Procedures (Procedures Governing the Cooperative State-Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration Program of the National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments)

RPPS (Retort Processed after Packaging System)

SMEDP (Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products)

SRO (Sanitation Rating Officer)

SSC (Single-Service Consultant)

SSO (Sampling Surveillance Officer)

TPC (Third Party Certifier)

USDA (United States Department of Agriculture)

## METHODS OF MAKING SANITATION RATINGS OF MILK SHIPPERS AND THE CERTIFICATIONS/ LISTINGS OF SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS

### A. DEFINITIONS

Terms used in this document not specifically defined herein are those within *Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) and/or the *Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act* (FFD&CA) as amended.

- 1. **AREA RATING:** An area rating, if used, shall apply to raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging and retort processed after packaging. An area rating consists of more than one (1) producer group operating under the supervision of a single Regulatory Agency and which is rated as a single entity. An individual dairy farm shall only be included in one (1) IMS Listing.
- 2. **ASEPTIC CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENT (ACLE):** An Item on FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products). The identification of any Aseptic Critical Listing Element (ACLE) element by a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) or PHS/FDA Milk Specialist as not being in compliance, whereby a listing shall be immediately denied or withdrawn.
- 3. **ASEPTIC OR RETORT MILK PLANT RATING:** A rating of a milk plant or portion of a milk plant that produces aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products that is rated separately from the rating of pasteurized and/or ultra-pasteurized Grade "A" milk and/or milk products produced in the milk plant. This rating shall be made for all milk plants producing aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products as defined in the *Grade "A" PMO*. An NCIMS HACCP milk plant listing that produces aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products shall have only an NCIMS HACCP listing.

**NOTE:** The raw milk receiving area may be rated with the aseptic or retort milk plant, or with a separately listed pasteurization and/or ultra-pasteurized milk plant, or separately as a receiving station.

- 4. **ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING SYSTEM (APPS):** For the purposes of this document, the Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) in a milk plant is comprised of the processes and equipment used to process and package aseptic Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products. The Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) shall be regulated in accordance with the applicable requirements of 21 CFR Parts 108, 113 and 117. The Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) shall begin at the constant level tank and end at the discharge of the packaging machine, provided that the Process Authority may provide written documentation which will clearly define additional processes and/or equipment that are considered critical to the commercial sterility of the product.
- 5. **AUDIT:** An evaluation of the entire milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station facility, and NCIMS HACCP System to ensure compliance with the NCIMS HACCP System and other NCIMS regulatory requirements, with the exception of the Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) for aseptic processing and packaging milk plants and the Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) for retort processed after packaging milk plants, respectively.
- 6. **BULK TANK UNIT (BTU):** A dairy farm or group of dairy farms from which raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging is collected under the routine supervision of one (1) Regulatory Agency and rated as a single entity and given a Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Rating. An individual dairy farm shall only be included in one (1) IMS Listing.
- 7. **CERTIFIED MILK LABORATORY EVALUATION OFFICER (LEO):** A Regulatory Agency or Milk Laboratory Control Agency employee who has been certified by the Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration (PHS/FDA) Laboratory Proficiency and Evaluation Team (LPET) using the Evaluation of Milk Laboratories (EML) to evaluate milk laboratories for the purpose of accrediting or approving laboratories that conduct official NCIMS milk testing and has a valid certificate of qualification.
- 8. **CERTIFIED MILK SANITATION RATING OFFICER (SRO):** A Regulatory Agency employee who has been certified by the Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration (PHS/FDA); has a valid certificate of qualification; and does not have direct responsibility for the routine regulatory inspection and enforcement or regulatory auditing of the shipper to be rated or listed. Directors, administrators, supervisors, etc. may be certified as Milk Sanitation Rating Officers (SROs). A Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) may be certified to make HACCP milk plant, receiving station or transfer station listings.
- 9. **CERTIFIED SAMPLING SURVEILLANCE OFFICER (SSO):** A Regulatory Agency employee who has been certified by the Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration (PHS/FDA) and has a valid certificate of qualification. Directors, administrators, supervisors, etc., Milk Sanitation Rating Officers (SROs), Laboratory Evaluation Officers (LEOs), etc. may be certified as Sampling Surveillance Officers (SSOs).
- 10. **CERTIFIED SINGLE-SERVICE CONSULTANT** (**SSC**): An individual who has been certified by the Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration (PHS/FDA), has a valid certificate of qualification to conduct the certification and listing of foreign single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturers on the *IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List) and does*

not have direct responsibility for the routine regulatory inspection and enforcement or regulatory auditing of the foreign single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer to be certified.

- 11. **CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENT (CLE):** An item on FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT identified with a double star (\*\*). The marking of a Critical Listing Element (CLE) element by a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) or FDA auditor, indicates a condition that constitutes a major dysfunction likely to result in a potential compromise to milk and/or milk product safety, or that violates NCIMS requirements regarding drug residue testing and trace back and/or raw milk sources, whereby a listing may be denied or withdrawn.
- 12. **DAIRY FARM:** A dairy farm is any place or premises where one (1) or more lactating animals (cows, goats, sheep, water buffalo, or other hooved mammal) are kept for milking purposes, and from which a part or all of the milk or milk product(s) is provided, sold or offered for sale to a milk plant, receiving station or transfer station.
- 13. **ENFORCEMENT RATING:** This is a measure of the degree to which enforcement provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO* are being applied by the Regulatory Agency.
- 14. **FDA AUDIT:** An evaluation conducted by FDA of the entire milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station facility to ensure compliance with the NCIMS HACCP System and other NCIMS regulatory requirements, with the exception of the Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) for aseptic processing and packaging milk plants and Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) for retort processed after packaging milk plants, respectively.
- 15. **HACCP LISTING:** An inclusion on the *IMS List–Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List*) based on a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer's (SRO's) evaluation of a milk plant's, receiving station's or transfer station's NCIMS voluntary HACCP Program and other applicable NCIMS requirements.
- 16. **INDIVIDUAL RATING:** An individual rating is the rating of a single producer group, milk plant, receiving station, and/or transfer station under the supervision of a single Regulatory Agency. Milk plants producing Grade "A" condensed and/or dried milk and milk products and/or Grade "A" condensed or dry whey and whey products may be rated separately from the same milk plant producing other Grade "A" milk and/or milk products, provided each listing holds a separate permit. Milk plants that produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, and pasteurized and/or ultra-pasteurized Grade "A" milk and/or milk products shall be rated separately. Provided, that an NCIMS HACCP milk plant listing that produces aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products shall have only an NCIMS HACCP listing. An individual dairy farm shall only be included in one (1) IMS Listing.
- 17. **INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM (ICP):** The International Certification Program (ICP) means the NCIMS voluntary program designed to utilize Third Party Certifiers (TPCs) authorized by the NCIMS Executive Board in applying the requirements of the NCIMS Grade "A" Milk Safety Program for Milk Companies (MCs) located outside the

geographic boundaries of NCIMS Member States that desire to produce and process Grade "A" milk and/or milk products for importation into the United States.

- 18. **LETTER OF INTENT (LOI):** A formal written signed agreement between a Third Party Certifier (TPC), authorized under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP), and a Milk Company (MC) that intends to be certified and IMS Listed under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). A copy of each written signed agreement shall be immediately submitted to the International Certification Program (ICP) Committee following the signing by the Third Party Certifier (TPC) and Milk Company (MC).
- 19. **LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING (LOU):** A formal written signed agreement between a Third Party Certifier (TPC) and the NCIMS Executive Board that acknowledges the NCIMS' authorization of the Third Party Certifier (TPC) to operate under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). It also states the Third Party Certifier's (TPC's) responsibilities under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP); their agreement to execute them accordingly; and their understanding of the consequences for failing to do so. The Letter of Understanding (LOU) shall include, but is not limited to, the issues and concerns addressed in all documents involved in the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP).
- 20. **LISTING AUDIT:** An evaluation conducted by a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) of the entire milk plant, receiving station or transfer station facility to ensure compliance with the NCIMS voluntary HACCP Program and other NCIMS regulatory requirements, with the exception of the Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) for aseptic processing and packaging milk plants and the Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) for retort processed after packaging milk plants, respectively.
- 21. **MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT** (**MOA**): A formal written signed memorandum that states the requirements and responsibilities of each party (Third Party Certifier (TPC) and Milk Company (MC)) to participate and execute the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). The Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) shall include, but is not limited to, the issues and concerns addressed in all documents involved in the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). This agreement shall be considered the Milk Company's (MC's) permit to operate in the context of the NCIMS Grade "A" Milk Safety Program and shall be renewed (signed and dated) on an annual basis.
- 22. MILK COMPANY (MC): A Milk Company (MC) is a private entity that is listed on the IMS List by a Third Party Certifier (TPC) including all associated dairy farms, bulk milk haulers/samplers, milk tank trucks, milk transportation companies, milk plants, receiving stations, transfer stations, dairy plant samplers, industry plant samplers, milk distributors, etc. and their servicing milk and/or water laboratories, as defined in the *Grade "A" PMO*, located outside the geographic boundaries of NCIMS Member States.
- 23. MILK PLANT: A milk plant is any place, premises, or establishment where milk and/or milk products are collected, handled, processed, stored, pasteurized, ultra-pasteurized, aseptically processed and packaged, retort processed after packaged, condensed, dried, packaged, or prepared for distribution.

- 24. **PREVENTIVE CONTROLS QUALIFIED INDIVIDUAL:** A qualified individual who has successfully completed training in the development and application of risk-based preventive controls at least equivalent to that received under a standardized curriculum recognized as adequate by FDA or is otherwise qualified through job experience to develop and apply a food safety system.
- 25. **RATING AGENCY:** A Rating Agency shall mean a State Agency, which certifies interstate milk shippers (BTUs, receiving stations, transfer stations, and milk plants) as having attained the Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings necessary for inclusion on the IMS List. The ratings are based on compliance with the requirements of the Grade "A" PMO and were conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers (MMSR). Ratings are conducted by FDA certified Milk Sanitation Rating Officers (SROs). They also certify single-service containers and closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturers for inclusion on the IMS List. certifications are based on compliance with the requirements of the Grade "A" PMO and were conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers (MMSR). The definition of a Rating Agency also includes a Third Party Certifier (TPC) that conducts ratings and certifications of Milk Companies (MCs) located outside the geographic boundaries of NCIMS Member States that desire to produce and process Grade "A" milk and/or milk products for importation into the United States.
- 26. **RECEIVING STATION:** A receiving station is any place, premises, or establishment where raw milk is received, collected, handled, stored, or cooled and prepared for further transporting.
- 27. **RECIPROCITY:** For the purposes of the *National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments* (NCIMS) agreements, reciprocity shall mean any action or requirements on the part of any Regulatory Agency will not cause or require any action in excess of the requirements of the current edition of the *Grade "A" PMO* and Related Documents of the NCIMS agreements.
- 28. **REGULATORY AGENCY:** A Regulatory Agency shall mean an agency which has adopted an ordinance, rule or regulation in substantial compliance with the current edition of the *Grade "A" PMO* and is responsible for the enforcement of such ordinance, rule or regulation, which is in substantial compliance with the *Grade "A" PMO* for a listed interstate milk shipper. The term "Regulatory Agency" whenever it appears in the *MMSR* shall also mean the appropriate Third Party Certifier (TPC) having jurisdiction and control over the matters cited within this *MMSR*.
- 29. **RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING SYSTEM (RPPS):** For the purposes of this document, the Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) in a milk plant is comprised of the processes and equipment used to retort process after packaging low-acid Grade "A" milk and/or milk products. The Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) shall be regulated in accordance with the applicable requirements of 21 CFR Parts 108, 113 and 117. The Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) shall begin at the container filler and end at the palletizer, provided that the Process Authority may provide written documentation which will clearly define additional processes and/or equipment that are considered critical to the commercial sterility of the milk and/or milk product.

- 30. **SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURER:** A single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer shall mean any person or company in the business of manufacturing a single-service container and/or closure for the packaging or sampling of Grade "A" milk and/or milk products in accordance with Appendix J. Standards for the Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 31. **SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURER AUDIT:** The designated PHS/FDA and NCIMS *Procedures* method to ensure that the published certification/listing of a single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturer on the *IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List)* is valid and maintained during the interval between certifications.
- 32. SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURER CERTIFICATION: This is the certification conducted by a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) for U.S. manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products; or a Third Party Certifier's (TPC's) Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) or a Certified Single-Service Consultant (SSC) for foreign manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products, which measures the degree to which the provisions of Appendix J. Standards for the Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products of the *Grade "A" PMO* are being complied with by the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer for inclusion on the *IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List)*. The certification is based on compliance with the requirements of Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and is conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers (MMSR).*
- 33. **THIRD PARTY CERTIFIER (TPC):** A Third Party Certifier (TPC) is a non-governmental individual(s) or organization authorized under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP) that is qualified to conduct the routine regulatory functions and enforcement requirements of the *Grade "A" PMO* in relationship to milk plants, receiving stations, transfer stations, associated dairy farms, bulk milk hauler/samplers, milk tank trucks, milk transportation companies, dairy plant samplers, industry plant samplers, milk distributors, etc. participating in the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). The Third Party Certifier (TPC) provides the means for the rating and listing of milk plants, receiving stations, transfer stations and their related raw milk sources. They also conduct the certification and IMS listing of related milk and/or water laboratories and related single-service container and closure manufacturers on the *Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS) List.* To be authorized under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP), a valid Letter of Understanding (LOU) shall be signed between the NCIMS Executive Board and the Third Party Certifier (TPC).
- 34. **TRANSFER STATION:** A transfer station is any place, premises, or establishment where milk or milk products are transferred directly from one (1) milk tank truck to another.

### B. RATING METHODS FOR RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING

# 1. <u>DRUG RESIDUE COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING BTU OR ATTACHED SUPPLY COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX N. OF THE GRADE "A" PMO</u>

During an Interstate Milk Shippers' (IMS) rating or check rating, it is necessary to determine compliance of the BTU or attached supply with the requirements of Appendix N. of the *Grade* "A" PMO. The following criteria are to be used in making that determination. If the BTU or attached supply is not in substantial compliance, a rating or check rating is not to be completed and the Rating Agency shall immediately withdraw the IMS certification.

### a. Record Review

Determine from records that are stored in a manner acceptable to the Rating Agency that all milk pick-up tankers are screened daily, prior to processing, for *Beta lactams* with an approved test method. As necessary, determine that all dairy farms are randomly tested four (4) times in any consecutive six (6) months for other drug residues, if directed by Section 6. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

Compliance with the above Item would be satisfied in the following manner:

- 1.) Records indicating that milk was always shipped to an IMS listed shipper shall suffice for actual test results.
- 2.) If milk is shipped to a non-listed milk plant, receiving station and/or transfer station, records indicating actual testing shall be provided or available for review. When the Regulatory Agency has determined adequate documentation for compliance with this Section exists, the Rating Agency may accept this documentation. SROs may at their discretion request records on the testing of loads of milk that are sent to non-listed milk plants, receiving stations and/or transfer stations. If records are requested, the SRO should choose and request to review records for no more than fifteen (15) days, unless these selected records show a problem.

### b. Regulatory Notification and Disposition

If a load sample or individual dairy farm sample is positive for a drug residue, determine if the Regulatory Agency was immediately notified, including the method of proper disposition to keep the contaminated milk out of the food chain.

### c. Reinstatement

Determine if the violative dairy farm was not allowed to ship milk until the milk no longer tested positive for drug residues.

### 2. COLLECTION OF DATA

Data from which the ratings are determined are obtained by the SRO from the records on file with the Regulatory Agency and from the evaluation of sanitary practices and facilities at the dairy farms. It is not necessary, except on very small BTUs or attached supplies, to inspect every dairy farm, since a sufficiently accurate determination of the percentage compliance with the sanitation requirements can be determined by rating statistically selected dairy farms.

### a. Number of Dairy Farms to be Rated

1.) The minimum number of dairy farms to be included in the rating depends upon the number in the area to be rated and the accuracy desired. To attain accuracy such that the probable error in the individual percentages of compliance with the various Items of sanitation will be less than five percent (5%), the minimum number of dairy farms selected at random for inspection during the rating shall be determined from TABLE 1.

TABLE 1

MINIMUM NUMBER OF DAIRY FARMS TO BE SELECTED AT RANDOM FOR INCLUSION IN A RATING

Number in the BTU or Attached Supply	Number to be Rated
1 to 25	All
25 to 54	25
55 to 59	26
60 to 64	27
65 to 71	28
72 to 78	29
79 to 86	30
87 to 94	31
95 to 105	32
106 to 116	33
117 to 130	34
131 to 147	35
148 to 167	36
168 to 191	37
192 to 222	38
223 to 262	39
263 to 316	40
317 to 394	41
395 to 514	42
515 to 725	43
726 to 1,192	44
1,193 to 5,000	50
5,001 to 10,000	100

2.) TABLE 1 is used to determine separately the number of dairy farms to be included in the rating. The probable error is not applicable to small samples. If the total number is twenty-five (25) or less, the entire number shall be rated.

### b. Random Selection of Dairy Farms to be Rated

The individual dairy farms included in the rating shall be representative to reflect conditions throughout the BTU or attached supply. It is important that the selection method excludes elements of pre-selection and provides a truly random sample. The selection of dairy farms for a rating should be made from a current listing of dairy farms making up the BTU or attached supply and may be compared to a list for the previous sixty (60) days to determine if an appreciable shifting of dairy farms has taken place. Random selections, once made, should be deviated from only in cases of emergencies. Replacements, where necessary, should also be selected at random. Whenever possible, random selection or announcements of such selections for only one (1) day's work at a time should be made.

Examples of methods, which are satisfactory for the random selection for dairy farms, include the following:

- 1.) The name of each dairy farm in the BTU or attached supply is written on a small card, one (1) name per card. These cards are then thoroughly shuffled and the number of dairy farms to be included in the rating, as determined from TABLE 1, are selected.
- 2.) The selection of dairy farms is made at intervals from a complete card index, ledger record, or other list. When this method is used, the sequence interval chosen shall be such that the entire card index, ledger record, or other list is subject to the sampling method. The sequence interval may be determined by dividing the total number of dairy farms by the number needed for the rating.

**For Example:** If there were 280 dairy farms in the BTU or attached supply, TABLE 1 indicates that forty (40) shall be included in the rating and the sequence interval in this case would be every seventh (7<sup>th</sup>) dairy. The first dairy farm in sequence is picked at random from the complete index, record or list in order that chance alone determines the selection of individual dairy farms.

3.) Immediately prior to the initial random drawing of dairy farms to be selected for inclusion in a rating, every dairy farm, which produces forty percent (40%) or more of the volume of milk in a BTU, which consists of five (5) dairy farms or more, shall become a separate BTU.

### c. Number of Bulk Milk Hauler/Samplers to be Evaluated

At each dairy farm, during the rating or check rating of a BTU, determine the identification of the bulk milk hauler/sampler(s), from at least the previous thirty (30) days, to be used when computing FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT, SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3). Obtaining records on bulk milk hauler/samplers from other Regulatory Agencies may be necessary, depending on the Regulatory Agency, which issued the permit(s).

### d. Recording of Inspection Data

- 1.) During a rating, inspection data are recorded on FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT, the Items of which correspond to the Items of sanitation in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 2.) Sanitary conditions are evaluated in terms of the requirements of Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Professional judgment alone shall dictate whether an observed deficiency is representative of significant day-to-day sanitary conditions or is an anomaly. When significant violations of any given requirement are noted, the corresponding Item(s) or sub-item(s) on the individual FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT are marked with an "X". Each sub-item found in violation should be carefully marked, as this affects the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating.
- 3.) The number of pounds of milk sold daily is needed for computing the rating and is entered in the appropriate place at the top of FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT.

**NOTE**: A deficiency should not be based entirely on a discussion held with a dairy farm employee. Confirmation of a deficiency should be made with the responsible owner or manager in charge.

### e. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data

- 1.) Regulatory Agency records are used in determining compliance with bacterial, drug residue, somatic cell, and cooling temperature requirements. The acceptance of data from Official and/or Officially Designated Laboratories is contingent upon the utilization of standard procedures by the laboratories concerned. Accordingly, it is necessary for the SRO to determine from the official Milk Laboratory Control Agency that both sampling and laboratory procedures have been approved in accordance with the methods of the current edition of the *Evaluation of Milk Laboratories* (*EML*). Ratings shall not be conducted when an approved laboratory is not utilized by the Regulatory Agency for the necessary tests.
- 2.) Compliance with bacterial, drug residue, somatic cell, and cooling temperature requirements is based on whether, at the time of the rating, a dairy farm meets the standards of Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Credit for bacterial, somatic cell and cooling temperature requirements shall be given if no more than two (2) of the last four (4) sample results exceed the limits. Provided, that the last sample result is within the limit. No credit for compliance with bacterial, drug residue, somatic cell and cooling temperature requirements shall be given when less than the required number of samples have been examined during the preceding six (6) months. For rating purposes, the preceding six (6) months is considered to be the elapsed period of the month in which the rating is made and the preceding six (6) months. Dairy farms, which have had a permit for less than six (6) months at the time of the rating and for which the Regulatory Agency has not yet examined the required number of samples, shall be given credit. Provided, that the last sample result is within the limits.
- 3.) The SRO shall utilize the Regulatory Agency's records in determining compliance with those Items of sanitation which require laboratory tests to complete the evaluation.

### 3. COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS

a. Rating results are transferred to FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING. This Form may be obtained from a PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or at the following FDA website: http://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/reportsmanualsforms/forms/default.htm. The Form is sufficiently flexible to permit various combinations of pages to be used for reporting ratings of area or individual shippers.

b. The identity of each dairy farm, included in the rating, and the total pounds of milk sold daily, expressed to the nearest 100 pound unit (cwt.), are entered in the first, "Name of Dairy Farm", and second, "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)", columns, respectively, of FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING.

**For Example:** 3,760 pounds of milk sold per day shall result in an entry of thirty-eight (38) in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)" column.

Violations of Items or sub-items are indicated by an "X" or by inserting the point value of the violation in the appropriate column(s). The sum of the weights of all Items and sub-items found violated at each dairy farm is entered in the "Total Debits" column. This figure is then multiplied by the number in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)" column, and the results are entered in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column. When all entries have been made, the figures entered in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column are totaled as are the figures in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)" column from all the dairy farms rated. (Refer to Section K. #13, for an example.)

NOTE: Item 8-Water Supply on FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT has been divided into two (2) point and five (5) point violations/debits. The maximum point value for the entire Item 8r cannot exceed five (5) points on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING. (Refer to APPENDIX B. TABLE OF DAIRY FARM WATER SUPPLY VIOLATIONS, which provides guidance, which may be used to differentiate between two (2) point (minor) and five (5) point (major) violations of Section 7., Item 8r of the *Grade* "A" PMO during Ratings and FDA Check Ratings.)

Non-compliance with Item 15r-DRUG AND CHEMICAL CONTROL, Administrative Procedures #s 5, 6 and 7 of the *Grade "A" PMO* (debited under Item 15r(d) and (e) on FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT), would constitute a five (5) point debit, not to exceed a total of seven (7) points for the entire Item 15-Drugs on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING.

Non-compliance with Item 18r-RAW MILK COOLING, Administrative Procedure #3 of the *Grade "A" PMO*, would constitute a one (1) point debit, not to exceed a total of five (5) points for the entire Item 18-Cooling on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING.

c. The Sanitation Compliance Rating is Derived from the Following Formula:

Rating = 100 – (The Sum of the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column) divided by (The Sum of the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)" column)

This rating figure is entered in the appropriate space in the upper right hand corner of FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING. It is also entered on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1), in the appropriate location.

d. Provision is also made on the Form for computing the percentage of dairy farms violating individual Items of sanitation. The number of dairy farms violating each Item shall be totaled and the percentage computed by dividing this number by the total number of dairy farms rated and then multiplying by 100. The percentage of dairy farms violating an Item may also be determined by using the "TABLE FOR COMPUTING PERCENT VIOLATION".

# C. RATING METHODS FOR MILK PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS

1. <u>DRUG RESIDUE COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION AND TRANSFER STATION COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX N. OF THE *GRADE "A" PMO*</u>

During an IMS rating/listing audit or check rating/FDA audit, it is necessary to determine compliance of the milk plant, receiving station and transfer station with the requirements of Appendix N. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. The following criteria are to be used in making that determination. If the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station is not in substantial compliance, a rating/listing audit or check rating/FDA audit is not to be completed and the Rating Agency shall immediately withdraw the IMS certification.

### a. Record Review

Determine from records that are stored in a manner acceptable to the Rating/Listing Agency that all milk pick-up tankers are screened daily, prior to processing, for *Beta lactams* with an approved test method. As necessary, determine that all dairy farms are randomly tested four (4) times in any consecutive six (6) months for other drug residues, if directed by Section 6. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

Milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations having an attached supply with loads that occasionally are diverted by direct farm shipment shall be deemed in compliance if the following criteria are met:

- 1.) Records indicating that milk was always shipped to an IMS listed shipper shall suffice for actual test results.
- 2.) If milk is shipped to a non-listed milk plant, receiving station and/or transfer station, records indicating actual testing shall be provided or available for review. When the Regulatory Agency has determined adequate documentation for compliance with this Section exists, the Rating Agency may accept this documentation. SROs may at their discretion request records on the testing of loads of milk that are sent to non-listed milk plants, receiving stations and/or transfer stations. If records are requested, the SRO should choose and request to review records for no more than fifteen (15) days, unless these selected records show a problem.

### b. Regulatory Notification

If a load of milk was found to have a positive drug residue, determine if the Regulatory Agency was properly notified.

### c. Industry Notification

If a load of milk was found to have a positive drug residue, determine if the permit holder of the BTU or attached supply that the dairy farms are attached to, was properly notified.

# 2. <u>FOOD SAFETY PLAN COMPLIANCE – PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING MILK</u> PLANT COMPLIANCE

During a PHS/FDA check rating/audit, it is necessary to determine compliance of the milk plant with the requirements of Appendix T. Preventive Controls for Human Food Requirements for Grade "A" Milk and Milk Products of the *Grade* "A" PMO related to the requirement that the milk plant shall have a written food safety plan. The following criteria are to be used in making that determination:

### a. Record Review

Determine from records stored in a manner as required in the *Grade "A" PMO* that the milk plant's food safety plan is in compliance. Significant deficiencies involving one (1) or more of the following constitutes grounds for the re-inspection of a milk plant's IMS listing. Milk plants shall be deemed in compliance if the following criteria are met:

- 1.) The milk plant's food safety plan is in writing and was prepared, or its preparation overseen by one (1) or more preventive controls qualified individuals (PCQIs).
- 2.) The milk plant's written food safety plan and its contents included the following:
  - A.) The written Recall Plan;
  - B.) The written Hazard Analysis;
  - C.) The written Preventive Controls, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*;

- D.) The written Supply-Chain Program, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*;
- E.) The written Procedures for Monitoring the Implementation of the Preventive Controls, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*;
- F.) The written Corrective Action Procedures, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade* "A" *PMO*; and
- G.) The written Verification Procedures, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 3.) A reanalysis of the milk plant's food safety plan, as a whole, or portion of the food safety plan, was conducted as required and was performed, or overseen, by a PCQI.
- 4.) The milk plant has a written Hazard Analysis for each kind or group of milk and/or milk products processed. A milk plant may group similar types of milk and milk products, or similar types of production methods together, if the hazards and procedures are essentially identical.
- 5.) The milk plant has controls at identified critical points (CCPs) and other preventive controls, as appropriate to the milk plant and the milk and/or milk products, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade* "A" PMO.
- 6.) The milk plant has established and implemented written procedures, including the frequency with which they are to be performed, for monitoring the preventive control and monitoring the preventive controls with adequate frequency to provide assurance that they are consistently performed, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 7.) The milk plant has established and implemented written corrective action procedures that shall be taken if preventive controls are not properly implemented, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 8.) The milk plant is verifying that the preventive controls are consistently implemented and are effectively and significantly minimizing or preventing the hazards, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 9.) The milk plant has validated that the preventive controls identified and implemented are adequate to control the hazard as appropriate to the nature of the preventive control and its role in the milk plant's food safety system, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade* "A" PMO.
- 10.) The milk plant has established and is maintaining the required records documenting the implementation of the food safety plan. These records have not been falsified, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.

If the milk plant is determined not to be in substantial compliance with Appendix T. of the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, PHS/FDA shall formally notify the Rating Agency that a re-inspection/re-audit of the milk plant shall be required within sixty (60) days.

**NOTE:** If a re-inspection/re-audit is required following a PHS/FDA check rating/audit because of the milk plant not being in substantial compliance with Appendix T. of the *Grade "A" PMO*, then the milk plant shall initially be determined to be in substantial compliance with Appendix T. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and then shall achieve a Sanitation Compliance Rating of ninety percent (90%) or higher on the re-inspection or shall receive an acceptable listing audit for NCIMS HACCP milk plants on a re-audit in order to be eligible for a listing on the *IMS List*.

### 3. COLLECTION OF DATA

Data from which ratings are determined are obtained by SROs from the records on file with the Regulatory Agency and from the evaluation of sanitary practices and facilities at the milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations. Receiving stations and transfer stations may be considered as an integral part of the milk plant to which milk is shipped. Therefore, all such stations not having individual ratings and supplying milk to the milk plant selected for the rating shall be included. Receiving stations and/or transfer stations, which are not an integral part of a milk plant, shall have individual ratings and may be rated separate from their BTUs.

### a. Recording of Inspection Data

- 1.) During a rating, inspection data are recorded on FORM FDA 2359-MILK PLANT INSPECTION REPORT, the Items of which correspond to the Items of sanitation in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 2.) Sanitary conditions are evaluated in terms of the requirements of Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Professional judgment alone shall dictate whether an observed deficiency is representative of significant day-to-day sanitary conditions or is an anomaly. When significant violations of any given requirement are noted, the corresponding Item(s) or sub-item(s) on the individual FORM FDA 2359-MILK PLANT INSPECTION REPORT are marked with an "X". Each sub-item found in violation should be carefully marked, as this affects the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating.
- 3.) The average number of pounds of milk and milk products processed daily is needed for computing the rating and is entered in the appropriate place at the top of FORM FDA 2359-MILK PLANT INSPECTION REPORT. When a deficiency in a milk plant affects only one (1) type of packaging, i.e., paper, glass, single-service plastics, multi-use plastics, dispenser, cottage cheese, sour cream or yogurt containers; or the capping of these containers; or an individual pasteurization unit used, i.e., vat, HTST or HHST; or product(s) that has not been pasteurized at minimum pasteurization times and temperatures; only the quantity of all products affected by the deficiency, rather than the entire milk plant's production, is recorded for use in the computation of the milk plant's Sanitation Compliance Rating. Only violations of Items 16p, 18p and 19p of the *Grade* "A" PMO are to receive partial debits. Provided, that bacterial count, coliform count and cooling temperature may be partially debited for the particular product involved. All other violations should be considered as affecting the entire production of the milk plant.

### b. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data

1.) Regulatory Agency records are used in determining compliance with bacterial, coliform, phosphatase, drug residue, and cooling temperature requirements. The acceptance of data from Official and/or Officially Designated Laboratories is contingent upon the utilization of standard procedures by the laboratories concerned. Accordingly, it is necessary for the SRO to determine from the official Milk Laboratory Control Agency that both sampling and laboratory procedures have been approved in accordance with the methods of the current edition of the *EML*. Ratings and HACCP listing audits shall not be conducted when an approved laboratory has not been utilized by the Regulatory Agency for the necessary tests.

- 2.) Compliance with bacterial, coliform and cooling temperature requirements is based on whether, at the time of the rating, a milk plant's Grade "A" milk and/or milk products meet the standards of Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Each milk and/or milk product, including commingled raw milk prior to pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging and retort processed after packaging for each of the above applicable requirements, shall be debited if two (2) of the last four (4) sample results exceed the limit(s), and the last sample result is in violation. A debit shall be given when less than the required number of samples has been examined during the preceding six (6) months. For rating purposes, the preceding six (6) months is considered to be the elapsed period for the month in which the rating is made and the preceding six (6) months. Milk plants which have had a permit for less than six (6) months at the time of the rating or which do not operate on a year round basis and for which the Regulatory Agency has not yet examined the required number of samples shall not be debited. Provided, that the last sample result is within the limit(s).
- 3.) The SRO shall utilize Regulatory Agency's records in determining compliance with those Items of sanitation, which require laboratory tests to complete the evaluation. Official records of Equipment Tests may also be used in lieu of performing such Equipment Tests during the rating. Provided, that the SRO is satisfied as to the competency of the Regulatory Agency's personnel to perform these Equipment Tests as described in Appendix I. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

**NOTE:** All pasteurized and ultra-pasteurized milk and/or milk products required sampling and testing is to be conducted only when there are test methods available that are validated by FDA and accepted by the NCIMS. Milk and/or milk products that do not have validated and accepted methods are not required to be tested. (Refer to M-a-98, latest revision, for the specific milk and/or milk products that have FDA validated and NCIMS accepted test methods.)

The sampling and testing of aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products is not required, with the exception of the annual vitamin assay analysis to which vitamin(s) A and/or D have been added for fortification purposes. The sampling and testing requirements of Section 6. of the *Grade "A" PMO* for raw milk for aseptic processing and packaging and retort processed after packaging is required.

- c. Recording of Data for Milk Plants, Receiving Stations and Transfer Stations Being Listed Under the NCIMS Voluntary HACCP Listing Procedure
  - 1.) Prior to conducting the initial HACCP listing audit, there shall be a Regulatory audit conducted of the milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station and the milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station shall have a minimum of sixty (60) days of HACCP System records prior to a HACCP listing audit.
  - 2.) The listing audit may be announced at the discretion of the auditor under limited circumstances, such as, the initial audit or a re-audit in response to an FDA audit. When unannounced audits are conducted, the audits shall not be completed until appropriate milk plant personnel have had an opportunity to make all pertinent records available for review by the auditor.
  - 3.) Listing Audit Procedures

- A.) Pre-Audit Management Interview: Review and discuss the milk plant's, receiving station's or transfer station's HACCP System including:
  - (i) The management structure;
  - (ii) The Hazard Analysis: Ensure that all milk or milk product hazards are addressed;
  - (iii) The HACCP Plan;
  - (iv) The Prerequisite Program (PP);
  - (v) The flow diagrams; and
  - (vi)The products/processes.
- B.) Review past Audit Reports (AR) and corrections of deficiencies and non-conformities if any.
- C.) In milk plant review of implementation and verification of the HACCP System.
- D.) Review records of the HACCP System.
- E.) Review compliance with other applicable NCIMS regulatory requirements\*.
- F.) Discuss findings and observations.
- G.) Prepare and issue an AR based on findings of deficiencies and non-conformities.
- H.) Conduct the exit interview.

### \*Examples of Other Applicable NCIMS Requirements:

- 1. Raw Milk Supply Source;
- 2. Labeling Compliance;
- 3. Adulteration;
- 4. Licensing Requirements;
- 5. Drug Residue Testing and Trace Back Requirements;
- 6. Regulatory Samples in Compliance;
- 7. Approved Laboratory Utilized for the Required Regulatory Tests; and
- 8. Pasteurization Equipment Design, Construction, and Installation.

### 4.) Criteria and Procedures for Denial or Withdrawal of a Listing

- A.) A Listing under the NCIMS HACCP Program may be denied or withdrawn when CLEs have been noted indicating that the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station has failed to recognize or correct a deficiency(ies) or nonconformity(ies) indicating:
  - (i) A major HACCP System dysfunction that is reasonably likely to result in a milk or milk product safety hazard or an adverse health consequence(s).\*
    - \*A milk and/or milk product safety hazard that is reasonably likely to occur is one for which a prudent milk plant, receiving station or transfer station operator would establish controls because experience, illness data, scientific reports, or other information provides a basis to conclude that there is a reasonable likelihood that, in the absence of those controls, the milk and/or milk product hazard will occur in the particular type of milk and/or milk product being processed.
  - (ii) A series of observations that leads to a finding of a potential HACCP System failure that is likely to result in a compromise to milk or milk product safety.
  - (iii)Drug residue testing and trace back requirements are not met.

- (iv)Milk is received from a supply other than a NCIMS listed source or from a listed source with a Sanitation Compliance Rating below 90 percent (90%).
- B.) Significant deficiencies involving one (1) or more CLEs constitute grounds for denial or withdrawal of a milk plant's, receiving station's or transfer station's NCIMS HACCP listing.

Observations of CLE related concerns and anomalies that do not meet these criteria should be discussed with the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station being audited and/or the Regulatory Agency but not marked on the AR as a CLE or used to justify the denial or removal of a listing. In this case, professional judgment should be exercised to allow the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station to retain its listing and benefit from the observation by making the necessary corrections to their HACCP System.

CLEs are noted on FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT with a double star (\*\*) and cover the following areas of the NCIMS voluntary HACCP Program:

- (i) **HAZARD ANALYSIS:** Flow Diagram and Hazard Analysis conducted and written for each kind or group of milk and/or milk products processed.
- (ii) **HACCP PLAN:** HACCP Plan prepared for each kind or group of milk or milk products processed.
- (iii)HACCP PLAN CRITICAL LIMITS (CLs): CLs are adequate to control the hazard identified.
- (iv)**HACCP PLAN CORRECTIVE ACTION:** Corrective action taken for milk or milk products produced during a deviation from CLs defined in the HACCP Plan.
- (v) **HACCP PLAN VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION:** Calibration of Critical Control Point (CCP) process monitoring instruments performed as required and at the frequency defined in the HACCP Plan.
- (vi)**HACCP SYSTEM RECORDS:** Information on HACCP records not falsified.
- (vii)**OTHER NCIMS REQUIREMENTS:** Incoming milk supply from a NCIMS listed source(s) with a Sanitation Compliance Rating(s) of 90 percent (90%) or above and a drug residue control program implemented.
- (viii)HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT FOLLOW-UP ACTION: A series of observations that lead to a finding of a potential HACCP System failure that is likely to result in a compromise to milk or milk product safety.

NOTE: In the case of a HACCP aseptic listed milk plant and/or HACCP retort listed milk plant, the identification of any ACLE element on FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND/OR PACKAGING PROGRAM AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products) by a SRO or PHS/FDA Milk Specialist as not being in compliance shall also constitute an ACLE deficiency under the NCIMS HACCP System, whereby a listing shall be immediately denied or withdrawn.

d. Recording of Data for Milk Plants and Receiving Stations Being Listed Under the NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and/or the NCIMS Retort Processed after Packaging Program

### 1.) Inspection Criteria

- A.) The NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program includes all low-acid aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" milk and/or milk products as defined in the *Grade* "A" PMO.
- B.) The NCIMS Retort Processed after Packaging Program includes all low-acid retort processed after packaging Grade "A" milk and/or milk products as defined in the *Grade "A" PMO*.

**NOTE:** Retort processed after packaging low-acid milk and/or milk products as addressed in the definition of Milk Products as cited in the *Grade "A" PMO* shall be considered to be Grade "A" milk and/or milk products if they are used as an ingredient to produce any milk and/or milk product defined in the definition of Milk Products as cited in the *Grade "A" PMO*; or if they are labeled as Grade "A" as described in Section 4. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

- C.) Regulatory Agency inspections of a milk plant or portion of a milk plant that is listed to produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products shall be conducted in accordance with the *Grade* "A" *PMO* at least once every six (6) months. The milk plant's APPS and/or RPPS, respectively, as defined by the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, shall be inspected by FDA, or a Regulatory Agency designated by FDA under the FDA LACF, in accordance with the applicable requirements of 21 CFR Parts 108, 113 and 117 at a frequency determined by FDA.
- D.) For milk plants or portions of milk plants that are listed to produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, the APPS and/or RPPS, respectively, as defined by the *Grade "A" PMO*, shall be exempt from Items 7p, 10p, 11p, 12p, 13p, 15p, 16p, 17p, 18p, and 19p of the *Grade "A" PMO*. These Items, which are dedicated only to the APPS or RPPS, respectively, shall comply with the applicable portions of 21 CFR Parts 108, 113 and 117. The rest of the milk plant, including the receiving area, shall be inspected in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* and rated and listed in accordance with the current NCIMS requirements. (Refer to Appendix S. Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and Retort Processed after Packaging Program of the *Grade "A" PMO*.)
- E.) When the APPS is utilized to produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" milk and/or milk products and pasteurized and/or ultra-pasteurized Grade "A" milk and/or milk products, the APPS shall be inspected and tested by the Regulatory Agency in accordance with the requirements cited in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- F.) NCIMS HACCP listed aseptic and/or retort milk plants shall be inspected/audited and regulated under the NCIMS voluntary HACCP Program with the exception of the APPS or RPPS, respectively, which shall be inspected and regulated under the NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and/or Retort Processed after Packaging Program, respectively. Provided that FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS

ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND/OR PACKAGING PROGRAM AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products) shall also be completed and submitted.

2.) Criteria and Procedures for Denial or Withdrawal of a Listing
In addition to the current NCIMS requirements for a listing, the identification of any
ACLE element on FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND
PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING
PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6)
Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products) by a SRO or PHS/FDA Milk Specialist as
not being in compliance, requires that a listing shall be immediately denied or withdrawn.

### 4. COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS

The criteria and procedures for actions following a HACCP listing audit are found in Section C., 2., c. of this document. Sanitation Compliance Ratings shall be made of dairy farms that are attached supplies of milk plants, receiving stations, or transfer stations listed under the HACCP listing procedure.

- a. Rating results are transferred to FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS. This Form may be obtained from a PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or at the following FDA website: http://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/reportsmanualsforms/forms/default.htm.
- b. The name of the milk plant and the total pounds of milk and/or milk products processed daily, expressed to the nearest 100 pound unit (cwt.), are entered in the first, "Name of Plant", and second, "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)", columns, respectively, of FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS.

**For Example:** 86,340 pounds processed per day shall result in an entry of 863 in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column.

If the milk plant's daily output varies, the recorded quantity is the daily average, based on actual operating days, for the week preceding the rating. Violations of Items or sub-items are indicated by an "X" or by inserting the point value of the violation in the appropriate column(s). When a deficiency in a milk plant affects one (1) type of packaging, capping, or individual pasteurization unit used, the number of pounds of all milk and/or milk products so packaged, capped or pasteurized are debited. In such cases, entries are made on separate lines below the name of the milk plant. The name or names of the milk and/or milk product(s) affected by the violation(s) of Items 16p, 18p, 19p, or bacterial, coliform or cooling temperature standards of the *Grade "A" PMO* is entered in the "Name of Plant" column, together with a parenthetic entry of the total volume in 100 pound units (cwt.) of the milk and/or milk product(s) involved. Care shall be taken not to enter this quantity in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column where it would again be included in the total pounds processed daily. (Refer to Section K. #s 14 and 15 for examples.)

c. For receiving and/or transfer stations operated by the milk plant and under the same routine supervision as the milk plant and shipping to the milk plant, the name of the station is entered in the "Name of Plant" column, together with a parenthetic entry of the

hundredweight (cwt.) shipped daily. An entry is not made in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column.

If the pounds shipped daily by a receiving and/or transfer station(s) to the milk plant varies, the recorded quantity is the daily average, based on actual operating days, of the shipments for the week preceding the rating. Violations of Items or sub-items are indicated by an "X" or by inserting the point value of the violation in the appropriate column(s).

To facilitate the rating computations, receiving station's and/or transfer station's entries follow the entries for the milk plant. If the rating of the receiving station and/or transfer station is equal to, or greater than, that of the milk plant, or equal to ninety percent (90%) or greater, the milk plant rating is considered as being inclusive of the receiving station's and/or transfer station's violation(s); therefore, an entry is not made in the "Total Debits" column, for the receiving and/or transfer station(s). However, if the receiving station's and/or transfer station's rating is less than ninety percent (90%) and lower than the milk plant's rating, it is subtracted from the rating of the milk plant, which it supplies, and the difference is entered in the "Total Debits" column. This difference is then multiplied by the number of pounds of milk shipped daily by the receiving and/or transfer station to the milk plant and entered in the "Pounds Processed Daily X Total Debits" column. (Refer to Section K. #15 for an example.)

d. The computation procedure for a milk plant is similar to that for dairy farms, except that a modified procedure is necessary in computing debits for violations involving only one (1) type of packaging, capping or individual pasteurization unit used; or individual product(s) violating the bacterial, coliform or cooling temperature standards; and for violations involving receiving or transfer stations. The latter is explained in the preceding paragraph. For such violations, the entry in the "Total Debits" column is multiplied by the actual number of pounds of product involved, as entered parenthetically in the "Name of Plant" column, rather than by the plant's entire production from the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column. This figure is entered in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column.

The formula for determining the Sanitation Compliance Rating for the milk plant is as follows:

Rating = 100 - (The Sum of the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column) divided by (The Sum of the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column)

This rating figure is entered in the appropriate space in the upper right hand corner of FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS. It is also entered on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1), in the appropriate location.

e. The name(s) of the BTU(s), receiving station(s) and/or transfer station(s) shipping milk to the milk plant, which are separately rated and listed, are also entered in the "Name of Plant" column, below the name of the plant but the quantity of milk supplied daily is entered parenthetically in the same manner as for locally supervised receiving and/or transfer stations. The poundage is not recorded in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column, since this quantity is already accounted for in the milk plant figures. If the rating for

the receiving station(s) and/or transfer station(s) is equal to, or greater than, that of the milk plant, the plant rating is considered as being inclusive of the receiving station's and/or transfer station's violations; therefore, no entry is made in the "Total Debits" column. However, if the receiving station's and/or transfer station's rating(s) is less than ninety percent (90%) and lower than that of the milk plant, the difference is entered in the "Total Debits" column. For the station(s), this difference is then multiplied by the number of pounds of milk shipped daily by the receiving station(s) and/or transfer station(s) to the milk plant and entered in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column.

f. If, upon receipt, one (1) or more shipper(s) of unattached raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging violates the bacterial and/or cooling temperature standards, the violations are debited against the rating of the receiving station(s) and/or transfer station(s) shipping the milk, prior to combining the ratings in accordance with the methods described above.

# D. CERTIFICATION/LISTING METHODS FOR SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS

The State Rating Agency shall certify U.S. manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products based on compliance with Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and in accordance with the *MMSR* for inclusion on the *IMS List*.

A TPC's SRO or a SSC shall certify foreign manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products based on compliance with Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and in accordance with the *MMSR* for inclusion on the *IMS List*.

### 1. COLLECTION OF DATA

Data from which certifications for U.S. manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products are determined shall be obtained by State Rating Agency SROs from the records on file with the Regulatory Agency and from the evaluation of sanitary practices and facilities at the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer.

Data from which certifications for foreign manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products are determined shall be obtained by a TPC's SRO or a SSC from the records on file with the Regulatory Agency, SSC or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer, respectively, and from the evaluation of sanitary practices and facilities at the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer.

### a. Recording of Inspection Data

1.) During a certification, inspection data are recorded on FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), the Items of which correspond to the Items of sanitation in Appendix J. of the Grade "A" PMO.

2.) Sanitary conditions are evaluated in terms of the requirements of Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Professional judgment alone shall dictate whether an observed deficiency is representative of significant day-to-day sanitary conditions or is an anomaly. When significant violations of any given requirement are noted, the corresponding Item(s) or sub-item(s) on the individual FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (*Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*) are marked with an "X". Each sub-item found in violation should be carefully considered before marking with an "X", as this affects the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating.

### b. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data

- 1.) As applicable, records from the Regulatory Agency, SSC and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers are used in determining compliance with bacterial, coliform and chemical, as applicable, requirements. The acceptance of data from Official and/or Officially Designated Laboratories is contingent upon the utilization of standard procedures by the laboratories concerned. Accordingly, it is necessary for the SRO to determine from the official Milk Laboratory Control Agency or for the SSC that certified the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer that both sampling and laboratory procedures have been approved in accordance with the methods of the current edition of the *EML*. Certifications shall not be conducted when an approved laboratory has not been utilized by the Regulatory Agency, SSC or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers, as applicable, for the necessary tests.
- 2.) Compliance with bacterial and coliform requirements is based on whether, at the time of the certification, a single-service manufacturer's containers and/or closures meet the standards of Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Each manufacturing line of containers and/or closures for each of the above applicable requirements, shall be debited if two (2) of the last four (4) sample set results exceed the limit(s), and the last sample set result is in violation. A debit shall be given when less than the required number of sample sets has been examined during the preceding six (6) months. For certification purposes, the preceding six (6) months is considered to be the elapsed period for the month in which the certification is made and the preceding six (6) months. Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers which have had a permit, if applicable, for less than six (6) months at the time of the certification or which do not operate on a year round basis and for which the Regulatory Agency, SSC and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer, as applicable, has not yet examined the required number of sample sets shall not be debited. Provided, that the last sample set result is within the limit(s).

### 2. COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS

Sanitation Compliance Ratings shall be made of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturers.

a. Certification results are transferred to FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (*Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*). This Form may be obtained from a PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or at the following FDA website:

http://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/reportsmanualsforms/ forms/default.htm.

b. The identity of each single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer is entered in the first column, "Name of Plant" on FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products).

Violations of Items are indicated by an "X" or by inserting the point value of the violation in the appropriate column(s). The sum of the weights of all Items found violated at the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer is entered in the "Total Debits" column. (Refer to Section K. #25, for an example.)

c. The Sanitation Compliance Rating is Derived from the Following Formula:

Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - (The Sum of the "Total Debits")

This Sanitation Compliance Rating is entered in the appropriate space in the upper right hand corner of FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products). (Refer to Section K. #25, for an example.)

### E. COMPUTATION OF ENFORCEMENT RATINGS

For all NCIMS HACCP listings, including aseptic and/or retort milk plants, complete FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT. (Refer to Section K. #19 for an example.) Enforcement Ratings shall be made for dairy farms that are listed with milk plants, receiving stations, or transfer stations that are listed under the NCIMS voluntary HACCP listing procedure. These Enforcement Ratings shall be made using the procedures for raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processed and packaging and retort processed after packaging addressed in 2. of this Section.

### 1. PURPOSE

a. FORM FDA 2359j consists of five (5) parts: SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING is on Page 1, SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS is on Page 2, SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES is on Page 3, SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS is on Page 4 and SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS is on Page 5. (Refer to Section J. #s 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 for an example of this Form.) This Form provides a means of measuring the degree to which the enforcement provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO* are being applied by the Regulatory Agency. It serves to delineate specific areas where a milk sanitation program needs strengthening. The rating method provides for separate appraisals of these provisions as they are applied to dairy farms, milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations. In some cases, the Enforcement Rating is derived by combining these appraisals with an appraisal of other regulatory actions for which the Regulatory Agency is responsible.

b. Appraisal of Items is based on the SROs observations made during the rating and their review of the Regulatory Agency's records for the lesser of the following periods:

- 1.) The period since the last rating, but not less than six (6) months; or
- 2.) The two (2) years preceding the date of the current rating.
- c. Enforcement Rating scores shall be computed utilizing the GUIDELINES FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT RATINGS, contained in Appendix A. of this document.
- d. The Enforcement Rating applies directly to the individual Regulatory Agency; therefore, there are no provisions for combining the Enforcement Ratings of two (2) or more Regulatory Agencies. Enforcement Ratings shall be made in accordance with the procedures in the following Sections.
- e. For rating purposes, to determine if inspections have been made at the required frequency, the interval shall include the designated period, plus the remaining days of the month in which the inspection is due.

### 2. <u>RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC</u> PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING ONLY

- a. When an individual shipper offers for sale only raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging directly from dairy farms, known as a BTU, and there are not any milk plant(s), receiving and/or transfer station(s) involved, all Items in Part I-DAIRY FARMS, FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) shall be evaluated. The total of the credit column of Part I shall be the Enforcement Rating and shall be recorded on Page 1 of this Form, in the appropriate location. (Refer to Section K. #s 1, 9 and 11 for examples.)
- b. When an Item requires separate action on the part of the Regulatory Agency with respect to each dairy farm, compliance is prorated on the proportion of dairy farms included in the rating for which official records show the Item to have been satisfied.
- c. When an Item requires an action by the Regulatory Agency that affects the entire program, quantitative estimates of compliance by the above-described procedure are not applicable. These Items have the "Percent Complying" column blocked out and the full weight of the Item is debited or credited, depending upon whether the milk sanitation program is satisfying the pertinent provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO*. In appraising these Items, the SRO's judgment should be based on the attainment of objectives toward which the provisions of the appropriate Sections are directed and not on occasional circumstances or insignificant deviations in procedure. (Refer to Section K. #s 5, 9 and 11 for examples.)
- d. For rating purposes, to determine if tests have been made at the required frequency, the interval shall include the designated period, plus the remaining days of the month in which the test(s) is due.
- e. For dairy farms inspected under the provisions of Appendix P. of the *Grade "A" PMO*, the following rating criteria applies:

- 1.) At each three (3) month categorization during the rating period, the previous twelve (12) month dairy farm records were used to determine the proper categorization of individual dairy farms into twelve (12), six (6), four (4) and three (3) month inspection intervals.
- 2.) Dairy farms were re-categorized properly every three (3) months.
- 3.) The due date for the next inspection is calculated from the date of the last routine inspection, unless, the due date was scheduled to occur before the re-categorization. However, the due date may be extended up to thirty (30) days after the re-categorization date for dairy farms assigned to a six (6), four (4) or three (3) month inspection frequency, if the due date was scheduled to occur before the re-categorization date.

### 3. RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION

- a. When an individual shipper offers for sale raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging, which is shipped from a receiving station or transfer station, with one (1) or more dairy farms rated with it, all Items in Part II-MILK PLANTS, except Numbers 5 and 7, and all Items on Part III-INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), shall be evaluated. When a receiving station and/or transfer station receives and trans-ships raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging from one (1) or more rated and listed BTUs and wishes a separate listing for its facilities, all Items in Part II, except Numbers 5 and 7, and all Items in Part III, except Number 1 shall be evaluated. The procedures outlined in E., 3., b and E., 4., b.3.), 4.) and 5.) shall be followed in computing the Enforcement Rating of the receiving station and/or transfer station.
- b. The total weight, which can be earned in Part II, is seventy-five (75). Therefore, the sum of the total credits earned in Part II should be divided by seventy-five (75) and multiplied by 100.

**For Example:** Assume that the addition of all credits, omitting Numbers 5 and 7 under Part II, equals 67.7. Then 67.7 divided by seventy-five (75), multiplied by 100 equals 90.3 percent. Fractions of 0.5 or higher are increased to the next whole number and fractions of less than 0.5 are dropped. Under these rules, the 90.3 percent would equal ninety percent (90%). The sums of the credits in Parts I and II are transferred to Part III. The sum of the credits in Part III shall be the Enforcement Rating of the Regulatory Agency. (Refer to Section K. #5 for an example.)

c. When an Item requires separate action on the part of the Regulatory Agency with respect to each receiving station or transfer station, compliance is based on the proportion of receiving stations or transfer stations that are included in the rating for which local records show the Item to have been satisfied. If an Item requires more than one (1) test or determination, i.e., Part II, Numbers 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, and 10, then compliance is also based on the proportion of tests or determinations, which according to the Regulatory Agency's records, were made at the required frequency.

**For Example:** If only six (6) of the required eight (8) inspections were made in the past two (2) years, the compliance would be 6/8 or seventy-five percent (75%).

d. When an Item requires an action by the Regulatory Agency, which affects the entire control program, quantitative estimates of compliance by the procedure described in the preceding paragraph are not applicable. These Items have the "Percent Complying" column blocked out and the full weight of the Item is debited or credited, depending upon whether the program being rated is satisfying the pertinent provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO*. In appraising these Items, the SROs judgment should be based on the attainment of objectives toward which the milk sanitation regulations are directed and not on occasional circumstances or insignificant deviations in procedure.

#### 4. MILK PLANTS

a. For NCIMS aseptic milk plants and retort milk plants, all Items in Part II-MILK PLANTS, except Number 5, and all Items on Part III-INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), shall be evaluated. The total weight, which can be earned in Part II, is eighty-five (85). Therefore, the sum of the total credits earned in Part II shall be divided by eighty-five (85) and multiplied by 100.

#### b. Milk Plant with an Unattached Supply of Raw Milk

1.) When an individual shipper of pasteurized milk and/or milk products imports all raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging from outside the jurisdiction of the Regulatory Agency in which the milk plant is located, only Parts II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), shall be evaluated. If an Item requires more than one (1) test or determination, i.e., Part II, Numbers 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, then compliance is also based on the proportion of tests or determinations, which according to the Regulatory Agency's records, were made at the required frequency.

<u>For Example</u>: For an Enforcement Rating, all required tests shall be performed on each individual pasteurizer used to receive credit. Compliance is determined by multiplying the number of pasteurizers (units) by the number of three (3) month periods (quarters) in the rating period. If a milk plant with four (4) pasteurizers is rated over a two (2) year span and one (1) pasteurizer is not completely tested during one (1) quarter, then compliance is calculated as follows:

4 X 8 = 32 Unit (Quarters), Less One (1) Non-Complying Quarter = 31/32 X 15 = 14.5 Credits

For rating purposes, to determine if the required tests have been performed at the required frequency, the interval shall include the designated period plus the remaining days of the month in which the test(s) is due.

- 2.) When an Item requires an action by the Regulatory Agency, which affects the entire control program, quantitative estimates of compliance by the procedure described in the preceding paragraph are not applicable. These Items have the "Percent Complying" column of the schedule blocked out, and the full weight of the Item is debited or credited, depending upon whether the program being rated is satisfying the pertinent provision of the *Grade "A" PMO*. In appraising these Items, the SROs judgment should be based on the attainment of objectives toward which the milk sanitation regulations are directed and not on occasional circumstances or insignificant deviations in procedure.
- 3.) The utilization of milk from a separately rated source, which has a Sanitation Compliance Rating, which is not equal to ninety percent (90%) or greater, or is from an unlisted source, would initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the *IMS List*.
- 4.) The utilization of milk from a separately rated source, which has an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) for longer than six (6) months, or which has been re-rated and received an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) following a rating with an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), is considered a violation of Section 11. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and would initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the IMS list.
- 5.) When computing Part III, there shall be zero (0) credit in Item 1. It will be necessary to increase the weight for Item 2 to .94 to negate the zero (0) credit in Item 1. (Refer to Section K. #2 for an example.)

**For Example:** Total credit in Part II is 88.7 and Item 3 has a credit of 4.8 in Part III, the calculations shall be as follows:

$$(88.7 \text{ X} .94) = 83.4 + 4.8 = 88.2 = 88.2 = 88.9$$
 Enforcement Rating

- c. Milk Plant with an Attached Supply of Raw Milk
  - 1.) When an individual shipper of pasteurized milk and/or milk products receives raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging from an attached supply(ies) within the jurisdiction of the Regulatory Agency in which the milk plant is located, Parts I, II, and III, on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) shall be evaluated. If raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging is received from both attached and unattached supplies, only those sources from attached supplies shall be evaluated in Part I. If an Item requires more than one (1) test or determination, i.e., Part II, Numbers 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, then compliance is also based on the proportion of tests or determinations, which according to the Regulatory Agency's records, were made at the required frequency.

**For Example:** For an Enforcement Rating of a milk plant, if only eight (8) of the required ten (10) individual milk products had been sampled at the required frequency during the preceding required time period, the compliance would be 8/10 or eighty percent (80%) under Part II, Number 7.

2.) When an Item requires an action by the Regulatory Agency, which affects the entire control program, quantitative estimates of compliance by the procedure described in the

preceding paragraph are not applicable. These Items have the "Percent Complying" column blocked out and the full weight of the Item is debited or credited, depending upon whether the program being rated is satisfying the pertinent provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO*. In appraising these Items, the SROs judgment should be based on the attainment of objectives toward which the milk sanitation regulations are directed and not on occasional circumstances or insignificant deviations in procedure.

- 3.) The utilization of milk from a separately rated source, which has a Sanitation Compliance Rating, which is not equal to ninety percent (90%) or greater, or is from an unlisted source, would initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the *IMS List*.
- 4.) The utilization of milk from a separately rated source, which has an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) for longer than six (6) months, or which has been re-rated and received an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) following a rating with an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), is considered a violation of Section 11. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and would initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the IMS list.

#### F. PREPARATION OF THE SRO'S REPORT FOR MILK SHIPPERS

### 1. PURPOSE

Ratings made by the methods described measure the degree to which the shipper and enforcement practices of a Regulatory Agency conform to the standards and procedures contained in the *Grade "A" PMO*. Space is provided on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1) for presenting a summary of rating results and recommendations of the SRO.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF RATING RESULTS

Sanitation Compliance Ratings computed in accordance with procedures previously described and other data pertinent to the shipper are entered in the SUMMARY OF RATING RESULTS on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1). When the Sanitation Compliance Rating of raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging has been combined with the rating(s) of unattached supplies in accordance with the conditions and procedures found under H. PUBLICATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORTS", Sections 2., c., 2.) or 2., c., 3.)B.); the combined rating, rather than the rating of the attached supply is entered in the summary.

#### 3. SUPPLEMENTARY NARRATIVE REPORT

In the course of conducting a rating and computing ratings, additional facts may become apparent, which if presented, would be of value to the Regulatory Agency in directing the milk sanitation program so as to be more effective. SROs are urged to prepare a supplementary narrative report of their rating findings. This report should include, but not be limited to, the following:

- a. A statement regarding the general status of the milk sanitation program, including both strengths and weaknesses.
- b. Discussion of needs for greater program emphasis as indicated by the compliance levels of sanitation Items and enforcement practices found during the rating.

### 4. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SRO</u>

A summary of the narrative report, including the specific measures recommended for program improvement, is entered on Page 1 of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1), under the heading "Recommendations of the Milk Sanitation Rating Officer". The full report should be discussed in detail with the appropriate officials of the Regulatory Agency. Such discussions contribute to better understanding of the problems involved and provide the Regulatory Agency authorities an opportunity to discuss means of implementing the SROs recommendations. (Refer to Section K. #1 for an example.)

For all NCIMS HACCP listings, including aseptic and/or retort milk plants, complete FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT, which includes an evaluation of the following: (Refer to Section K. #19 for an example.)

- a. Milk plant, receiving station or transfer station holds a valid permit;
- b. Milk plant, receiving station or transfer station audited by a HACCP trained Regulatory auditor at the minimum required frequency and follow-up conducted as required;
- c. Requirements interpreted in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* as indicated by past audits;
- d. Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not applicable to receiving stations, transfer stations, aseptic milk plants and retort milk plants);
- e. Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required;
- f. Samples of milk plant's milk and/or milk products collected at the required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made (Not applicable to receiving stations/transfer stations);
- g. Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods;
- h. Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required; and
- i. Records systematically maintained and current.

# G. PREPARATION OF THE SRO'S OR SSC'S REPORT FOR SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURERS

#### 1. PURPOSE

Certifications made by the methods described measure the degree to which the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer conforms to the standards and procedures contained in Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF CERTIFICATION RESULTS

The following FORM shall be provided in the summary report provided to the Regulatory Agency and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer, as applicable:

FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products) shall be used. Under "REMARKS" an explanation of the observations per debited Item shall be included. During the certification, additional facts may become apparent. These facts, if provided, would be valuable information to the Regulatory Agency and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer in directing the Regulatory Agency program and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer to be utilized for improvement. Specific measures that give guidance on how improvements may be made shall be included. The full report shall be discussed in detail with the appropriate officials of the Regulatory Agency and/or the appropriate personnel responsible for the management of the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer. These discussions will contribute to a better understanding of the problems present and provide an opportunity for communicating a means of implementing the SRO's or SSC's recommendations.

# H. PUBLICATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT"

#### 1. PURPOSE

- a. The *IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List)* is an electronic publication of CFSAN's Milk Safety Team (HFS-316), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740-3835. This is a part of the activities of the PHS/FDA in cooperation with the Regulatory Agencies in the cooperative program for the certification of interstate milk shippers.
- b. Triplicate copies or PHS/FDA's electronic version (transmitted via computer) of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT shall be submitted by the SRO to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs for shippers who desire to be listed on the *IMS List*. (Refer to Section J. #s 8 and 9 for a copy of the Form.)

A signed copy of a written FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S LISTING shall accompany each triplicate set of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT, submitted to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs for publication on the *IMS List*. For the submission of PHS/FDA's electronic version, a signed copy of the written FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S LISTING shall be maintained on file by the Rating Agency for publication on the *IMS List* and shall be reviewed as part of the check rating and/or Regulatory/Rating Agency Program Evaluation. Once a shipper has been listed, all new ratings shall be submitted to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs even though the shipper has refused to sign a written FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S LISTING. Supporting sampling and laboratory certification reports, as

specified in the *Procedures*, are also necessary for inclusion and retention of the shipper on the list. (Refer to Section J. #12 for a copy of the Form.)

The Sanitation Compliance Rating of a shipper is not published unless the written and signed FORM FDA 2359o-"PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING" of the shipper concerned has been obtained by the Rating Agency. Milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations shall achieve a Sanitation Compliance Rating of ninety percent (90%) or greater in order to be eligible for a listing on the *IMS List*. The Sanitation Compliance Rating for milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations will not be printed on the *IMS List*.

### 2. PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT"

a. Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization, Aseptic Processing and Packaging or Retort Processed after Packaging

This shipper is commonly referred to as a BTU. Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING and Part I of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date shall be the date of the first day of the rating. (Refer to Section K. #s 16 and 17 for examples.)

**NOTE:** If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

#### b. Receiving Station or Transfer Station

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING, FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS, and Parts I, II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date shall be the date of the first day of the rating. When receiving and/or transfer stations wish a separate listing and receive raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging from one (1) or more rated and listed BTUs for trans-shipment, the procedures to be followed shall be that of Section H. PUBLICATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT, 2., c.2) or 2., c.3).

**NOTE:** If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall

have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

#### c. Milk Plant

1.) Attached Supply Only: A milk plant with a single source of raw milk, both under the jurisdiction of the same Regulatory Agency.

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING, FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS, and Parts I, II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date shall be the date of the first day of the rating of the dairy farms (BTU) or milk plant, whichever is earliest in time.

**NOTE:** If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

2.) Attached Supply and Unattached Supplies: A milk plant with a source of raw milk under the jurisdiction of the same Regulatory Agency as the milk plant and one (1) or more sources of raw milk from other separate rated and listed sources.

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING, FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS, and Parts I, II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date and the Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating shall be computed by the following method:

All unattached supplies shall have a Sanitation Compliance Rating of ninety percent (90%) or greater. The Sanitation Compliance Rating of the attached supply shall be reported as the Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating for the milk plant. The earliest rating date shall be reported on FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. In addition, the name of each unattached shipper, during the thirty (30) days preceding the rating, along with the Sanitation Compliance Rating and Date of Rating of each shipper shall be listed on the reverse side of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. If milk is received from an unlisted source or from a source having a Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs shall be notified and the milk plant shall be immediately withdrawn from the *IMS List*.

**NOTE:** If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

3.) Unattached Supplies Only: A milk plant with one (1) or more sources of raw milk received from other rated and listed sources.

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS and Parts II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date and the Sanitation Compliance Rating shall be computed by one (1) of the following two (2) options:

**NOTE:** If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

- A.) Option 1: If all raw milk sources have a published, or submitted for publication, Sanitation Compliance Rating of ninety percent (90%) or greater and the milk plant desires to be listed with the milk plant rating date, the raw milk shall be reported as ninety percent (90%) or listed with an asterisk (\*), which denotes all supplies are ninety percent (90%) or greater. This shall eliminate the need for frequent updating of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT by the Rating Agency. Certain precautions shall be taken to ensure that the raw supply remains at or above the required listed ninety percent (90%) Sanitation Compliance Rating. The name of each shipper of raw milk for the thirty (30) days preceding the rating shall be listed on the reverse side of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT, along with their Sanitation Compliance Rating and the Expiration Rating Date. The milk plant shall be immediately withdrawn from the *IMS List* when milk is received from an unlisted source or from a source having a Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of less than ninety percent (90%). The appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs shall be immediately notified shall either of the above events occur.
- B.) Option 2: If the milk plant desires to be listed with the actual Sanitation Compliance Rating of the raw milk, a weighted average of all raw milk sources, the requirements of the preceding Option shall also apply except that:
  - (i) The earliest rating date of any of the raw milk sources or the milk plant, whichever is earliest in time, shall be shown as the earliest rating date on FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT.
  - (ii) The Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating shall be prorated on a weighted basis as follows:

## <u>Supply Sanitation Compliance Rating X Percent of Supply = </u>

Unattached Supply #1: 95 X .20 = 19 Unattached Supply #2: 90 X .35 = 31.5 Unattached Supply #3: 92 X .45 = 41.4

 $\frac{\text{Total} = 91.9}{\text{Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating}} = 92\%$ 

The SRO shall re-compute the Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating whenever any of the raw milk sources is re-rated and a new FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT shall be submitted to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs.

**NOTE**: The acceptance of milk, which has a Sanitation Compliance Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), or is from an unlisted source, is a violation of the agreed upon provisions of Options 1 and 2 and shall initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the *IMS List*.

The utilization of milk from a separately rated source which has an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) for longer than six (6) months, or which has been re-rated and received an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), following a rating with an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), is considered a violation of Section 11. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and shall initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the *IMS List*.

# 3. <u>PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT" FOR HACCP LISTINGS</u>

The provisions of this Section apply to milk plants, receiving stations, and transfer stations listed under the NCIMS voluntary HACCP listing procedure, except that:

- a. A statement regarding the acceptability, or unacceptability of the HACCP System shall be substituted on FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT for the Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings; and
- b. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT and FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT shall be submitted to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs for quality assurance reviews with all FORM FDA 2359i's.
- 4. PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT" FOR ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTINGS

The provisions of this Section apply to milk plants and receiving stations listed under the NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and/or Retort Processed after Packaging Program listing procedure, except that FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products) shall be submitted with FORM FDA 2359i for each NCIMS aseptic milk plant and/or retort milk plant listing to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs for quality assurance review.

# I. PUBLICATION OF THE "REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)"

#### 1. PURPOSE

a. Criteria for Listing Certified Single-Service Containers and/or Closures Manufacturers on the *IMS List* 

The following criteria have been developed to allow Rating and/or Regulatory Agencies flexibility in evaluating and listing single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plants. Rating and/or Regulatory Agencies shall choose from the following list of criteria for listing certified single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers:

- 1.) Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate in conjunction with an IMS Listed milk plant may be listed for twenty-four (24) months, if the singleservice containers and/or closures manufacturing plant is inspected at least quarterly, using FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), and records of such inspections and all required tests are maintained by the Regulatory Agency. Provided that, single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate in conjunction with an IMS HACCP listed milk plant may be listed for twenty-four (24) months, if the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plant is integrated into the milk plant's NCIMS HACCP system and if the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plant is inspected at the minimum milk plant audit frequency specified in Appendix K. of the Grade "A" PMO, using FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), and records of such inspections and all required tests are maintained by the Regulatory Agency. The permit for the milk plant shall also include the inspection of the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing areas.
- 2.) Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate in conjunction with an IMS listed milk plant and are not inspected at least quarterly and/or are not included under a permit system may be optionally listed for twelve (12) months.
- 3.) Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate as a separate entity may be listed for twenty-four (24) months, if the Regulatory Agency has a permit system and inspects the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plant using FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT

(Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products) at least quarterly. All testing of containers, closures and individual water supplies shall be under the direction of the Regulatory Agency and kept on file.

4.) Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate as a separate entity and are not inspected by Regulatory Agency personnel at least quarterly and/or do not have a permit system may be optionally listed for twelve (12) months.

<u>NOTE</u>: This criterion is the only option available for use by a SSC when certifying foreign manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products.

- 5.) Certification of single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plants may be valid for a period not to exceed one (1) or two (2) years from the earliest certification date, based on the criteria above. The expiration date is one (1) or two (2) years from the earliest certification date. In the case of a one (1) year certification with the earliest certification date of 6/15/2015, the expiration date would be 6/14/2016.
- b. Procedures for Certifying/Listing Single-Service Containers and/or Closures Manufacturers

The following procedures shall be followed for certifying/listing single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers on the *IMS List*:

- 1.) For domestic firms, triplicate copies or PHS/FDA's electronic version (transmitted via computer) of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (*Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*) shall be submitted by the SRO to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist for single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers who desire to be listed on the *IMS List*.
- 2.) For foreign firms, duplicate copies or PHS/FDA's electronic version (transmitted via computer) of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (*Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*) shall be submitted by the TPC or SSC conducting the certification to CFSAN's Milk Safety Team (HFS-316), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740-3835 for single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers who desire to be listed on the *IMS List*.
- 3.) The certified single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer is not listed on the *IMS List* unless the "PERMISSION TO PUBLISH" SECTION of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (*Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*) is signed by an officer of the firm authorizing the release.
  - A.) For the submission of PHS/FDA's electronic version, a signed copy of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), including Section 12, shall be maintained on file by the Rating Agency and shall be reviewed as part of the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer's listing audit and/or the Regulatory/Rating Agency Program Evaluation.
  - B.) For the submission of PHS/FDA's electronic version, a signed copy of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service

- Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), including Section 12, shall be maintained on file by the SSC.
- 4.) The certified single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer may be listed on the *IMS List* as a "PARTIAL" listing. A "PARTIAL" listing shall mean that only specific production rooms, or fabrication lines or machines have been evaluated in regard to specific containers and/or closures or specific size of containers and/or closures and conform to the specifications contained within Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

### 2. PREPARATION OF THE "REPORT OF CERTIFICATION"

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), the resultant rating shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products). The earliest certification date shall be the date of the first day of the certification.

**NOTE**: Certification of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturers conducted by SSCs may be valid for a period not to exceed one (1) year from the earliest certification date. The expiration date is one (1) year from the earliest certification date. For this one (1) year certification, with the earliest certification date of 6/15/2015, the expiration date would be 6/14/2016.

# J. EXAMPLES OF RATING, NCIMS HACCP LISTING, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTING FORMS AND SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS CERTIFICATION/LISTING FORMS

The following pages contain examples of Forms used in IMS ratings/listing audits and check ratings/FDA audits. These Forms include:

1.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF	7
	THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)	.40
2.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF	,
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)	
3.	FORM FDA 2359i-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C. EVALUATION	NC
	OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3)	.42
4.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FAR	M
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)	
5.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION É. MILK PLAN	
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5)	
6.	FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-	
•	PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT	
	PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING.	.45
7.	FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING	
	MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS)	47
8.	FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT	
	FORM FDA 2359i–INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (ELECTRONIC	
	SUBMISSION)	.50
10.	FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION	J
	NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT	
11.	FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW	
	REPORT	.54
12.	FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK	
	SHIPPER's LISTING	.55
13.	FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM	
	AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL	
	LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or	
	Milk Products)	.56
14.	FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service	
	Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	.57
15.	FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service	
	Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	.58

**NOTE**: These FORMS may be obtained at the following FDA web site:

http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/ReportsManualsForms/Forms/default.htm

# 1. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)

# MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

# SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING

(Ohin '- N	and Addresses	As o	of	(Data)
(Shipper's Name a	MILK SANITARIAN		ORDINANCE IN	(Date) EFFECT
			Edition	Date Adopted
TED BY (Name) (Title) (Agency)	DATE CERTIFIED BY PHS/FDA	RATING BASED ON	Editori	APPROVED LABORATORY (Name or #)
			Milk Ordinanaa	
		Edition of the Pasteurized	Milk Ordinance	Date
	SUMMARY	OF RATING RESULTS		
Number of Dairy Farms		Sanitation Compliance Rating of Raw Milk for	Pasteurization	
Number of Dairy Farms Inspected		Sanitation Compliance Rating of Milk Plant, Re	eceiving Station or Tran	sfer Station
Number of Milk Plants, Receiving Stations or Transfer Stations				
Number of Milk Plants, Receiving Stations or Transfer Stations In	spected	Enforcement Rating		
Total Pounds of Pasteurized Milk Produced Daily		Elliot certifiert Mating		
	Recommendati	ons of the Rating Officer		
RM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 1) (PREVIOUS	S EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)			

# 2. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)

TAC	E O	F RATING															ENFORCEMENT RATING					-
	Ī	DAIRY FARMS PART I							MILK PLANT PART II	Ī							INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER F	ATI	NG			Ì
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Completing	Verein various	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Whight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	item	Number Impected	Number Complying	Percent Camplying	Weight	Mean
1	3	All dainy furniess hold is valid perent			- 5		1	3	All milit ghant, receiving station and transfer station operations hold a valid permit		m	i	5		+		Enter TOTAL CREDIT ImmPART Lunder: Percent Complying				47	
2	5	All dainy farms expected inco-ewry size (ii) months or we request in Appendix "F"			15		2	5	Mik paint and receiving clasion(s) impected noce every time (S) months, alrepto and retort mik paint.		П	-	15		2		Enter TOTAL CREDIT from PART it under Persient Complying	П			4771 94	ì
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available			5			1	and hers for station(s) once every use (C) months				144		3	4	At milk and milk products property labeled	-			6	-
4	7	Picquerements integrated in accordance with PHG/ FDA PMC as indicated by past inspections			10		9	5	Inspection about pooled or available			T.	5		-	-	TOTAL CRE	OT PA	ST III			-
5	п	TB & BruceRoos Certification on tile as:	100	-	10		4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHSEPDA PMD as indicated by part impectors.				10				INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEME	-	-	-		_
6	7	required.  Water sumplies his bed and seports on the as			10	-	6	J. App.	Patricurculon equipment tested at required frequency (#401 required for interplic and refort milk plants)				15		A:	aprinc	N. SHPPOR OF RAW MUK FOR PASTEURIZATION, U PROCEEDING AND PAGNAGING OF RETORE PROCE	LTHA-F	MISTER	LFIZA	TION, HONG:	
2	5	Military firms into echoir program is substanted	-	-	5		.0	7	Individual and cooling water camples facted and reports on file as required				8		8		out Mik Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Statio reluate all tiems PART I, and record.	nc:				
B	É	All least four (4) satisfies collected from each dairy farm's supply every ox (8) months and all neoccury laboratory oxaminations made.	Г		10	+	7.	8	Sangles of each relicities risk and risk products collected at required frequency and all recessary laboratory enormalisms trade:				10			-E	Receiving Station(s) or Transfer Station(s): valuate all items PART I. valuate all items PART II., except Numbers 5 and i valuate all items PART III.	Divid	e by 7	5.		
5		Serging procesures approved by PHGFDA evaluation methods			10		Ü	April 1	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA. systation methods.				10		100	2000	IN. SHIPPER OF PASSEURIZED MLH AND MULEPRO It's and Relort Milk Plants: • With Unattached R					
10	3.5	Perhil Issuance, suspension; revocation, reinstatement, readings; and/or count actions baken all required.			15		0,	3.5	Frant outamis, suspension revication, sential-insert, hearings, and/or court actions belon as required.		1		16			-Ev	oluste all terms PART E., cept Number 5. Divide by 85. — Evaluate all item Attached Raw Supply: Number 1.	S PAR	T B., L			L
11		Records systematically translated and current			10		10		Ascurds systematically murisined and surrent				10			_	valuate all items PART I. valuate all items PART II. use 47 Weight					
		TOTAL CRE	DIT, P	ARTI	•				TOTAL CRED	HT, P	ARTI						valuate all items PART III.					
		REMARKS			-				REMARKS								REMARKS					

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2)

# MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

# SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES

SHIPPER	TI	ne calculations below address items	From	Sec	ctits	n B.	RE	POR	T OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS on Pag	e 2 o	this	Farr	n.
		For the Calculation DAIRY FARM SAMPLING PR (Refer to PART I, ITEM 9 on Page 2	200	ED			;	1	For the Calculation MILK PLANT SAMPLING PR (Refer to PART II, ITEM 8 on Page )	OC			
LOCATION	Number	Item	Mandar Inquited	8	Personal Completes	Weight	Chell	Name	Item	Mandar Unpecled	Namber Complying	Weght	Cast
BTU/PLANT NUMBER	i	Stopicy area area of any consequentials				ñ		T	Sert/opervalors of companion years			3	
/	6.	Esque bert (ingmi) multi-				E	_	1	APRIL Invitato utransalid			3	111
INSPECTING AGENCY	3.	Sanding summany sufrance prompty consults.				10		12	probably recommendates Athebayly selected			10	
	19	/M (samples (CAL)) with (min)				ū	b	*	of transport and a unit trend	ton	1019	WW	1
	#	Casping evaluationary on 25 year and report				30		ı	Campies meaning every ten (i) years and mosts and assets			io	
DATE(5)	0	Samoopment man belof again				5		0.	aminjm.de- d labic - um			10	
	T	Ferni supremover, leavenmental				16	. 5	7	French automin - land at many	IV-	100	W.	640
	0.	Message by the my name of and size if				16			has a symmica your and a face of			li.	
-						100						70	
		1	GTAL C	PRIDE				Г		OTAL C	REDIT	· [	
		REMARKS						Se	ITE tiens 4 and 7 above are not applicable when calculumping Procedures (Part II, Itam 5 from Section 8, "Report thatb" on Page 2 of this Form).	ting Mile 1 of Enk	Plant	et.	
								mi	Soutation of the Score. Divide the TOTAL CREDIT by it plants, receiving stations (RS) and transfer stations (TR FINAL TOTAL CREDIT (Max Plant RS	Ď.	tive (1	St In	
								H	REMARKS		-		

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 3)

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

4. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)

## MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

# SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

							Charles Co. C. Carlotte and A. Carlotte Charles Co. C. Carlotte Co. C. Carlotte Co.				rm.
(+	For the Calcula DAIRY FARM ENFO PROCEDUR Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Pa	RES	ENT		)		For the Calculation DAIRY FARM RECO	RD:	S	For	m)
Northe	(Eerry)	Numble Impected	Municipal Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Date	item.	Number Inspelled	Number Consilens	Percent Complying	Weight
(-)	Diggs / Provinced				701	1	Lingson Residences				25
2	Shippy I Arrest Special				30	1	P Selectif Indications				20
9	Stay VII Hamilton				12		3 Despriy/II convertex Francis				A
4.1	(almost):-Permit				25		1 Lanuary III - Plan France Con Street Con (Prince)				35
9	Celurary - Imeral car Astro-				21					8	100
				Ш,	100	_		DEAL	REDU	*	
		TOTAL C	PED(1				TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART I, then 11 "Prepar	t Comp	ine	colum	nut I
TO EGA	TAL CIREOTT to be entered into PART ( them (0) TM FDA 235R, Section 6, Page 2.	"Percent Comp	nog e	tolum	nd	1	FORM FDA 235N, Section B. Page 2				
	HEMARKS			-	-	1	REMARKS				
	TO PROPERTY OF THE PERTY OF THE	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Pa	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of the Item)    Item	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Fe  Item  Item	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form    Item	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form)    Item	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form)    Items	(Refer to PART 1, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART 1, ITEM 11 on Page  (Refer to PART 1, ITEM 11 on PART 1, ITEM 11 on Page  (Refer to PART 1	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Item)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Item)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Item)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Item)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Item)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Item)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Item)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Item)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of	(Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on Page 2 of this Form)  (Refer

5. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5)

## MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

# SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

ORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS on Page 2 of this Form	ia -
For the Calculation of MILK PLANT RECORDS (Refer to PART II, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form)	)
Number Inspected Number Complying Percent Complying Weight	Credit
† Category I - Permit Records 25	-
Calegory II - Inspection/Equipment Records 25	1
S Category III - Laboratory Records 25	
(Also Containers A (tamin Volume Control)     Category IV - Plan Review Files (Within Rating Period)     25	
100	-
TOTAL CREDIT *	_
TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART II, Item 10 "Percent Complying" column of FORM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	f.
REMARKS	

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 5)

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# 6. FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING

# STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING

Shipper	
Date of Rating	Sanitation Compliance Rating <sup>1</sup>

	-															ITE	MS	0	FS	AN	ITA	TIC	N													П	-1		
					Č	Milki	ng Ba tructi	on			-(	Cons	ilkho truct acili	ion a	nd				Ut	ensils quipn	s and ment		Milk	ing	Drug	js I	Perso	nnel			Inse	cts an	d Rode	ents		1	- F		
NAME OF DAIRY FARM	Pounds Sold Dafy (100# Units) <sup>3</sup>	Abnormal Milk	Sometic Cell Count*	Poors	Walls and Cellings	Separate Stafs	Lighling	Venillation	Cleanliness	Cowyaid	Hoors Walls and Califors	To Minn and Verdibline			Cleaniness	Tolet	WaterSupply		Construction	Cleaning	Sanitization	Socialis	Flanks, Udders and Teats	Protection from Contamination	Cleaners/Sentizers, Labeled, Handled and Stored	Labeled for Use, Stored Safely	Hand Washing Facilities	Personnel Cleanliness	Cooling		Ry Breeding Minimized Manure Packs Maintained	Mikhouse Openings Scheened, Doors Tight, Mikhouse Free of Insects	Approved Pestiddes Used, Equipment and Usersis not Exposed to Contamination	Surroundings Neat and Clean	Backerial Count or Drug Residue Analysis*	Total Debits?	Pounds Sold Deity (100# Units) <sup>2</sup> X Total Debits <sup>2</sup>		REMARKS
	ITEM	-		а	ь	2	d	e	3	4	A	В	5 C	D	E	7	1		9	10	11	12	13	14	15 a-c	de	16	17	18 ab	c	ab	cd	9 ef	ab				11.1	
	WEIGHT	5	5*	1	1	1	1	1	3	3		_	_	2 :		4	20	r 5	4	5	5	2	5	3	2-(7)		2	1	5 - (5		3	2	2	gh 2	10"	1			
		Ť		Ħ							÷.	Ŧ				H		+																	1	+			
												$\pm$	Ŧ	$\pm$	+	+	+	#				1	-							-					1	+			
		$\Leftrightarrow$									-	+	+	+	- # -	+	4	+				=	-		-		-			+		-			1	1	-		
			-								-	+	+	+	+	+	+	#				-	-							-	-		1		1	H			
							H		H		H	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			=	=	-			-		-		+	-			H	+	H			
		$\Leftrightarrow$					H				+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	+			-	=	-		-	-				=	-			H	+	₩			
												+	+	+	+	+	+	+				-	-							-					+	÷			
				-							-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+				+	-	$\exists$						$\pm$					1	+	$\vdash$		
							Η,					+	+	+	+	+		+				-								-			-		1	+			
1.				-				-				+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-			+	-							-					1	+			
												+	+	+	+	+	+	+				+	-	-		-				-					+	+			
2.		-										+	+	+	+	+	+	+				-	$\rightarrow$	-						-					-	+			
3.												+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-			-	+	-		-				-				-	-	+	-		
4.											-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+				-	-							-			-	-	-	+			
												+	-	+		+		-				-	-	_						4					-	+			
		- 171									11	+	+	-	-	-	-				-		-										-			100			
3.									-		-	-	-	+	-	+	4	#				_	-							_						+			
1.												+		-	4	1	4	1	Ш				_		-		-									1			
tal or Subtotal		11 1																11/		1	- 11																		

continuation of the "STATU	continuation of the "STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AN														ΓEU	IRIZ	ZAT	ION	, AS	ЕРТ	IC F	PRO	OCE	SSI	NG	AND	) PA	ACK	AG	ING	OR	RE	TOR	T P	RO	CES	SEI	D A	FTE	R PACKAGING"
				F	OR_																A	s o	F.										_							
	ITEM		1	a	ь	2 c	d	e	3	4	Α	В			E	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	2 13	3 14	4 a	15 -c d	1 e	6 1	7	18 ab	С	ab	19 cd	ef	gh		Total	Sold	ally <sup>2,3</sup>	REMARKS
	WEIGHT	5	5*	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	1 2	2	2	2	4	4	2 or 5	4	5	5	2	5	3	2-	- (7) -	5 :	2	1 5	5 - (5)	- 1	3	2	2	2	10*	. "	<b>□</b> #	<u> </u>	
Subtotals from PAGE 1									$\perp$		Ш	$\perp$			$\perp$	_						$\perp$	$\perp$		$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$		$\perp$		_					$oxed{oxed}$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\rightarrow$	
18.											Ш	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$							$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$		$\perp$		$\perp$			_		$oxed{oxed}$	$\perp$	┸	$\dashv$	
19.											Ш	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$									$\perp$		$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$		$\perp$							$oxed{oxed}$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\dashv$	
20.																																					$\perp$		$\perp$	
21.									$oxed{oxed}$		Ш	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$						┸	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$		$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$								$\perp$	┸	$\perp$	
22.		╄	╄	_					╄	_	$\perp \perp$	_	_	4	_	_	_		_	_	_	╄	+	_	+	$\perp$	$\perp$	_	4	_	_					<b>—</b>	$\perp$	+	$\downarrow$	
23.		╙	$oxed{oxed}$						╙	_	Щ	$\perp$	4	4	4	4	$\Box$				_	┸	$\perp$	_	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	4	$\perp$	_	_			_		ـــــــ	$\perp$	1	$\dashv$	
24.		╙	$\perp$						╙	_	Ш	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	4	_	$\Box$					┸	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$		$\perp$	4	$\perp$	_	_					Щ	$\downarrow$	1	$\rightarrow$	
25.		╙	$oxed{oxed}$						╙	_	Ш	4	_	4	_	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_	_	┺	$\perp$	_	_		$\perp$	_	$\perp$	_	_					Щ.	$\perp$	_	$\dashv$	
26.									┖		Ш	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$						$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$								$\perp$	┸	$\perp$	
27.											Ш	$\perp$		$\perp$		$\perp$						$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$								$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	
28.											Ш	$\perp$		$\perp$											$\perp$				$\perp$								$oxed{oxed}$	┸	$\bot$	
29.																																								
30.																																						Т	$\Box$	
31.																																						Т		
32.											П																		Т									Т	$\top$	
33.											П																											Т	$\top$	
34.											П			$\top$								Τ	$\top$						$\top$									Т	$\top$	
35.											П				T														$\top$									Т	$\top$	
36.											П			$\top$								Τ	Τ				$\top$		$\top$									Т	$\neg$	
37.											П																										Т	Т	T	
38.											П			$\top$								Τ	$\top$						$\top$								Т	Т	T	
39.											П			$\top$	1	$\neg$							$\top$						$\top$	$\neg$	$\neg$							$\top$	1	
40.										T	$\sqcap$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	T	$\dashv$	$\neg$				T	T	$\top$	T	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$		$\top$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$							$\top$	$\neg$	
Totals or Subtotal																																							$\Box$	
% of Dairy Farms Violating																																							$\prod$	

Footnotes: 1 Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)3 X Total Debits2

\* Used only when not in compliance.

C	 w	v	_	v	

Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Total Debits for each dairy farm is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an X under that Item).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Total Pounds Sold Daily are calculated in 100# Units.

7. FORM FDA 2359L- STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS)

### STATUS OF MILK PLANTS

(INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS and TRANSFER STATIONS)

Milk Plant		_																																
Date of Rating																									Sa	anita	tion	Co	mpl	ian	ce	Rat	ting <sup>1</sup>	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1														us c		AN	TAT	TIO	N														
NAME OF PLANT/	00# Unit							seggo					_ (	Equip Equip	ers ar	d	doles	3	8	L	Past	euriza	tion			Bottlin Cappir							70	
(MILK PRODUCT/ PASTEURIZATION/ FILLING AND CAPPING)	Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) 3	Floors	Wals and Celings	Doors and Windows	Lighting	Vertilation	Separate Rooms	TollebSewage Disposal Fa	Water Supply	Hand Weshing Facilies	Milk Plant Cleanliness	Sanbary Piping	Construction and Repair	Cleaning	Santzation	Storage of Clean Equipment	Storage of Single-Service A	Brokention from Confessions	TOROGEN FOR CONSTRUCT	Indicating and Recording Thermometers	Time and Temperature Controls	Adulberation Controls	Saveta	Temperature Recording Charts	Cooling	Container Filling Capping and Sealing	100.0	Vehides	Surroundings	Baderial Count?	Colforn Count	Total Debits?	Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) 2 X Total Debit	REMARKS
	ITEM	4	2	3	4a	4b	5	6	2	X	9	10	11	12ab	120-e	-13	14	15ac	155	(1)	(2)	16b	16c	164	37	18. 1	9 20	21	22					
	WEIGHT	1	1	2	1	τ	3	3.	4	2	3	3	3	15	5	3	2	13	5	4	15	3.	10	4.	15	15	1	τ	2	5*	10*			
			L	Ш			L	Ш		Ш									Ш				Ш		Ш	4	$\perp$	┖	Ш	Ш		Ц		
							L																			_	$\perp$							
				Ш			L												Ш				Ш		Ш	4	$\perp$	┖						
				Ш			L	Ш		Ш									Ш				Ш		Ш	4	$\perp$	┖	Ш			Ц		
																									Ш	$\perp$								
				Ш			L																Ш		Ш	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$						
																									Ш	$\perp$	$\perp$							

Footnotes: Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)<sup>2</sup> X Total Debits<sup>2</sup>

TOTALS

FORM FDA 2359L (11/15) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

Total Pounds Processed Daily (100W Units)<sup>2</sup>
Total Debits for each milk plant, receiving station or transfer station is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item or sub-item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an X under that Item.)

Total Pounds Processed Daily are calculated in 100# Units.

<sup>\*</sup> Used only when not in compliance. Prorate by product.

# 8. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEAL'				INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT (Submit an original and two (2) copies to the FDA Regional Office)												3-A, COUNTRY					
1 NAME OF GUIDDED					сор		2. CI		yione	n Onne	ω)	3. 91	L								
1. NAME OF SHIPPER							2. CI					3.81	AIE								
4. STREET				6	5.	PLA	ANT	r BTU#		-	6.		PRO	DUCTO	ODE	fs					
				_	7. SUR	VEY D	ATA			_				_		_	_				
	DA	IRY FARMS			, , , ,																
	TYPE	E OF RATING			NG OR STATION	N.		MILK P	LANT	,				ENFOR	CEME	NT					
RATING (%)																					
DATE OF RATING																					
TOTAL NUMBER														APPE	NDIX	N					
NUMBER INSPECTED						$\top$					╛	IS THE S PROVISI					EWIT	H THE			
VOLUME RECEIVED DAILY (CWI)						$\top$					╗	YE	S			N	0				
RATING AGENCY	CERTIFIE	D RATING OFFICER						ER'S CER		TION	T		EAR	LIEST R	ATIN	G DA	TE				
SHD SDL						15	KIPING	ATION DA	TE.		-	MONT	H.	D	AY	$\perp$	YE	AR			
SDA TPC											ų,		_		Ш	_	_				
AGENCY PROVIDING CONTINU	OUS SUPE	RVISION OF SUPPLY	,										EXPI	RATION	RATIN	NG D	ATE2				
											1	MONT	н	D	AY	I	YE	AR			
				8. LAI	BORAT	ORY C	ONT	TROL													
APPROVED LABORATORY NUM	BER	EXPIRATION DATE			PROCES	SSED M	IILK 1	ESTS AP	PROV	ED		1	RAWI	MILK TE	STSA	PPR	OVED				
A	-	A		SPC	COLI	PHO	os	RBC		G RESI		COUNTS		SOMATK		DR	UG RE	SIDUE			
B	-	В	- A		A.	A		A.	A.			A.	-		110	A.	100				
			В	_	В.	В.		В.	В.			В.	B.			В.					
DATE OF LAST TWO (2) SPLIT S	AMPLES		AF	PROV	ED WAT	ER LAB	ORA	TORY AN	D DAT	E		WATER	TEST	S APPR	OVED						
A. B. B.																					
9. PUBLICATION (	Million n	armiceian from a ch	inner	chall	ha filad	at a Re	nain	nal Office	of El	A nrin	r to t	he nublic	ation	of mile	n fiet	ina l					
LETTER OF PERMISSION			-				_	rES	TOT T	_	NO		-euce	Or return	grisse	my.,					
		10. SU	JBMIS	SION	OF RE	PORT	BY	RATING	AGEN	ICY											
DATE OF REPORT		SUBMITTED BY (Signi																			
		F	OR F	DA RE	EGIONA	L OFF	ICE	USE ON	LY												
Written permission fro	m shippe	er dated					_ c	n file ar	d pul	blicatio	on of	rating/li	sting	recom	nmen	ded	i.				
DATE	,	SIGNATURE (FDA MIII	k Spec	ialist)																	
Submit separate Form for each r	nilk plant.	<sup>2</sup> The expiration re expiration rating	date o	of 9/30/	2015, ex	cept if th	he En	forcement	Rating	is <90,	then	the expire	tion re	ating date	e is six	(6)	months				
		after the earliest	racing	core, i	non carrie	er rating	y can	e /s 10/1/2	O13 WI	ul a com	espor	rung expi	auon	raung da	the or a	ara 1/.	2014.				

48

11. MILK PLANTS: List below the Name and Address of all shippers of raw milk and milk products received during the thirty (30) days preceding the earliest rating date of the Rating; Sanitation Compliance Rating; and Expiration Rating Date. Plants receiving milk from an unlisted source(s), or source(s) with a Sanitation Compliance Rating below ninety (90), are not eligible for listing in the electronic publication, IMS LIST – SANITATION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT RATINGS OF INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPERS.

NAME OF SHIPPER (Include BTU or Plant #)	CITY AND STATE/COUNTRY	SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATING	EXPIRATION RATING DATE

#### INSTRUCTIONS:

Completed Forms shall be received by the Milk Safety Team (HFS-316) to be included in the IMS List. Additional explanation is offered for the following Items: Item 1: Name of Shipper – Limit shipper's name to not more than thirty-four (34) characters and spaces. If a receiving or transfer station is to be listed, please include "Receiving Station" or "Transfer Station" or "(RS)" or "(TS)" with the name of the shipper. Suggested abbreviations are published in the IMS List. Item 5: Plant or BTU # – When the IMS Number is less than five (5) digits, leave the left-hand square(s) blank.

Item 6: Product Code #s – Enter Product Code #s starting in the first (left-hand) space. Product Code #s are listed below:

#### PRODUCT CODES:

- 1. Raw Milk for Pasteurization (May Include Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 2. Pasteurized Milk, Reduced Fat, Lowfat, or Skim.
- 3. Heat-Treated (May Include Reduced Fat, Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 4. Pasteurized Half & Half, Coffee Cream, Creams
- 5. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Milk and Milk Products
- Aseptic Milk and Milk Products (Including Flavored)
- Cottage Cheese (Including Lowfat, Nonfat or Dry Curd)
- 8. Cultured or Acidified Milk and Milk Products
- 9. Yogurt (Including Lowfat or Skim)
- 10. Sour Cream Products (Acidified or Cultured)
- 11. Whey (Liquid)
- 12. Whey (Condensed)
- 13. Whey (Dry)
- 14. Modified Whey Products (Condensed or Dry)
- 15. Condensed Milk and Milk Products
- 16. Nonfat Dry Milk
- 17. Buttermilk (Condensed or Dry)
- 18. Eggnog
- 19. Lactose Reduced Milk and Milk Products
- 20. Low-Sodium Milk and Milk Products
- Milk and Milk Products with Added Safe and Suitable Microbial Organisms (Such as Lactobacillus acidophilus)
- 22. Dry Milk and Milk Products
- 23. Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 24. Cholesterol Modified Anhydrous Milk Fat

- 25. Cholesterol Modified Fluid Milk Products
- 26. Cream (Condensed or Dry)
- 27. Blended Dry Products
- 28. Whey Cream
- 29. Whey Cream and Cream Blends
- 30. Grade "A" Lactose
- 31. Raw Goat Milk for Pasteurization
- 32. Pasteurized Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 33. Cultured Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 34. Condensed or Dry Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 35. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 36. Aseptic Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 37. Raw Sheep Milk for Pasteurization
- 38. Pasteurized Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 39. Cultured Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 40. Concentrated Raw Milk Products for Pasteurization
- 41. Concentrated Pasteurized Milk Products
- 42. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Milk
- 43. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Whey
- 44. Raw Water Buffalo Milk for Pasteurization
- 45. Pasteurized Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 46. Cultured Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 47. Raw Camel Milk for Pasteurization
- 48. Pasteurized Camel Milk and Milk Products
- 49. Cultured Camel Milk and Milk Products

# 9. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION)

PUBLIC H FOOI	NT OF HEA AN SERVIC EALTH SEI D AND DRU NISTRATI	ES RVICES JG	INTERST	ATE MI REPO		IPPER's		11	NTERNA	L USE O	NLY:				
I NAME OF SHIP	51 H 22 St 35 St 7		2.CITY				9.STAT	E/DOUN	ITRY						
4.STREET			5 PLANT or B	TU#	_		6. PRO	DUCT CO	DE #s			_			
				7.5	URVEY	DATA									
		TYPE OF	FARMS RATING REA !		ECEIVING	G OR TATIONS	j	MILK PLA	ANT 1	E	ENFORCEMENT				
RATING (%)															
DATE OF RATIN															
TOTAL NUMBER											APPENDIX				
NUMBER INSPE	CTED									COMP	LIANCE W	TH THE			
VOLUME RECEI (Cwt)	VED DAILY	0								PROVIS	N T				
RATING AGENC	DA 🖰 SDL	CERTIFIED R	ATING OFFICE	R				R'S CER	TIPIDATIO	N EARLIE					
AGENCY PROVI		UOUS SUPE	RVISON OF SU	PPLY	_	_				EXPIRA	TION RAT	NG.			
Weak, Well	S-80-5-4-581007		Miles Tile							DATE <sup>2</sup>					
8,LABORATO	DRY CONT	ROL			P	ROCESS	ED MI	a	TS	RAW MILK TESTS APPROVED					
APPROVED LABORATORY NUMBER	EXPIRATION DATE	9 DATE OF	SAMPLES	SPLIT	SPC	cori	PHOS	RBC	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS	VIABLE COUNTS	SOMATIC CELL COUNTS	RESIDUE			
Α.	1	1 - y		7	-			-	77.7						
В.	- IE	1		1/				-	1	-					
C.	1.	: - d		F			1								
D.	1			V					-	1					
E. ADDRING	ED WATER LA	BORATORY	ADDD	OVED W	ATER I A	BORATOR	PATE	-	WATER	TESTS AS	PROVED				
partition.	CD WATER D	DOTO! ORE!	Seco	CYCD IV	A) EN EN	SOLVI DIL	UNIE		HATER	1EG1G.A	HOYED				
9.PUBLICAT publication YES	of a rating NO D	/listing.) ATE:				all be fil	ed at t	he Rat	ing Age	ncy pric	r to the				
10.SUBMISS												_			
DATE OF REPO	RT	3	UBMITTED BY				7	TILE							
			FOR FDA	REGIO	ONAL C	OFFICE U	JSE ON	LY							
DATE		- 1	DA Regional M	ilk Specia	list										
<sup>1</sup> Submit separate <sup>2</sup> Expiration rating 9/30/2013, except 10/1/2011 with a FORM FDA 2	g date is two (2 d if the Enforce corresponding	) years after to ment Rating be expiration rati	<90, then the	expiration											

# 10. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT

The second secon	th and Human Services ig Administration	MIL	LK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT								
FIRM NAME	TYPE OF AUDIT	REGULA	LICENSE/PERMIT NO. IMS PLANT NO.								
ADDRESS (Line 1)											
ADDRESS (Line 2)		CITY		STATE/COU	NTRY	ZIP/POSTAL CODE					
MS LISTED PRODUCT(S)	MANUFACTURED AND RE	VIEWED		Prerequisité P	rogram(s)	Issue Date(s)					
Hazard Analysis	HAC	CP Part		-							
issue Date(s)	1533	e Date(s)									
NOTE: This regulatory No your perms of dems marked Sections 3 and 6, and Appen	IMS System Audit Report of on this audit report are not	your milk plant, rec	e Critical Listing Element powing stallon, or transfer a lime of the next regulat	station serves as	a neblica establish	tion of the inlent to suspend ed timesnes (Refer to PMC					
Incords and determines featurals within and outsill in Written Hazard Analysis processing method ways on Written Hazard Analysis on Written Hazard Analysis Section 2 HACCP Plan properties of Written HACCP Plan properties of Written HACCP Plan imp	proof for exchains or group of not lemented. thiss of mill or not posted wher r.	court (including it) aterials, (overalations, consumers.	the deviation is:    Corrective action defined in the No.     D. Affected malk or AND a review in	emechina actions dell optracted. In taken for products p ACCP Plan.***  mile product product a determine product a that no adulterated re- minuscop.  If WACCP Plan perform	produced du ed disting the acceptability also gravites on	ACCT! I'van unsure the cause of ring a deviation from CL(e) in deviation segregates and held, performed, AND corrective action the product Stat is intureous to diffed accontangly.					
A. HACCP Plus lists CCP(s) reasonably listely to occur  B. (CP(s) lideratived are add safety incentive) iderative of comparison of the processing step iderative processing step iderative.  Section 4 HACCP PLU  A. HACCP Plus lists critical  H. CL(s) are address to compare to	quate central measures for the paid.  alted with CGP(s) listed are appropriat.  AN CRITICAL LIMITS (CL) limits for each CGP, which the hazard identifies. " Treating monitoring matricipating matricipating monitoring matricipating matricipating matricipating matricipating processings for each CGP.	y incomi identified on it or mile product inter at the or procedures.  (what, how,	A. HACCP plan date  D. Verification action  C. Floressessment of  1 After chang  V. After significations, pro-  intended us  D. Caldenders of Or  the Inquisory de  E. OCP monitoring required  F. Corrective action  G. Caldenders record	Eas are conducted in FHACEP Plan constru- es that could affect the cant changes in the plant townships in the dust formulation, pre- er or intended constant Process monitories fixed in the HACEP Processis in the HACEP Processis inviewed as record townships as a could reviewed as a tide and end product of lawed as required.	edimes prolotion of comply's color woman's section incomply in color woman's color wom	eting frequency.  AND PACEP From  Y, OR  Advise, OR  disting raw materials works: fields/systems, distribution  es performed as empared and or  that values are will in CA (n) as					

FORM FDA 2359m (10/13)

Page 1

Personal Property and Personal Property and

## Milk Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Station - NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT

# ITEMS MARKED DID NOT MEET THE NGIMS HACCP PROGRAM CRITERIA DESCRIBED BELOW

Scarred * * (territ-are)	Critical Listing Elements
Section 8 HACCP SYSTEM RECORDS  A. Required information included in the record, e.g., name/lacation of processor and/ or rise/fine of scrivity and/or signature/initials of person performing operation and/or identity of product/product code:  B. Processing/ether evilunation entered on mixed at time observed.  C. Paccords retained as required, e.g., one year for refrigerated products and two years for preserved, streff-stable or frozen products.  D. Respects retains to adequacy of equipment or processes retained for 2 years  E. HACCP records correct, correlate and available for official review.  F. Information on HACCP records not positived.**  Section 9 HACCP SYSTEM PREREQUISITE PROGRAMS (PPs)	Section 10 OTHER NCIMS REQUIREMENTS  A forceming mak supply from NCIMS laded education with nonlibron scores of 90 or better or acceptable HATCH Listing ""  (ii) thing residue control program implemented.""  (ii) thing residue control program records complete.  (ii) thouses complance as required.  (ii) Prevention of adultication of milk products.  (iii) Regulatory samples comply with standards.  (iii) Prevention Equipment design and construction.  (iii) Approved Lisboratory Utilized - (if not Flating not conducted).  (iii) Other demissionated.
A. Required PP written, impremented, and in substantial compliance by time.  1. Safety of the walls that comes; into contact with milk or milk contact sentaces (including alsom and log).  2. (Condition and chambiness of equipment milk contact sentaces;  1. Prevention of cross contamination from uncantary objects analize practices to milk and not products, packaging material and other milk contact anthons, including utereds, gloves, outer garments, etc., and from raw product to processed product.  4. Maintenance of band washing, fond sanitions, and talief facilities;  4. Protection of milk and milk product, milk pockaging material, and milk contact surfaces from adultioation with indicipate, find, posticides, cleaning compounds, sentiating agents, condensate and other chemical physical and blokopical contaminants:  9. Proper labeling, storage, and use of toxic compounds:  1. Control of employer health conditions that could result in the microhimingies contamination of milk and milk products, milk packaging materials, and milk contact surfaces; and	Section 11 HACCP SYSTEM TRAINING (Individuals Immed according to Appendix K or alternatively bave represented job experience.)  A PPs developed by trained personnel.  B HACCP Plan developed by trained personnel.  D HACCP Plan validation, enoblication or reasers several performed by trained personnel.  E HACCP Plan recents review performed by trained individual.  F Employees trained in pronouncy operations.  G. Employees trained in PP operations.  Section 12 HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT FOLLOW-UP ACTION  A Previous audit findings corrected.
3 Pest exclusion from the male plant, receiving statem, or transin station     B. Additional PP's required or partitled by the found analysis are written and implemented by from.     C. PP conditions and practices monitored as required.     D. PP monitoring performed at a frequency to ensure conformance.     E. Correctices performed in a finally magnet when PP receiving records reflect delications or not-conformities.     F. PP audited by fam.     D. PP monitoring records adequately reflect conditions observed.     H. PP signed and daled as required.	D. A series of observations that lead in a limiting of a promoted WCCP System before that is: likely to result in a compromise to milk or milk product safety."  Refer to attached Audit Discussion sheet(s) for details.
NAME OF AUDITORIS) [Please Print] SIGNATURE SIGNATURE	DATE

FORM FDA 2359m (10/13)

	HOOF STUTEM NOOM KE	PORT DISCUSSION SHEET	
NAME		DATE OF AUDIT	
TI	HE NCIMS HACCP PRO	ON-CONFORMITIES THAT DID NO GRAM CRITERIA entry field is non-expandable.)	OT MEET
NOTE: When Regulatory Adeviations, deficiencies and	udits are conducted, time d non-conformities shall	lines for corrections of all identified be established.	Ü

# 11. FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration	NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REP (To be included with all NCIMS HACCP Listings and FDA Audits)							
EGULATORY AGENCY		DATE OF EVALUATION						
		2000						
RM NAME	LICENSE/PERMIT NO.	IMS PLANT NO.						
DORESS								
OBLIGATIONS	ERNS NOTED REGARDING REG S UNDER THE NCIMS HACCP S Is additional sheets if necessary.)							
A narrative description shall be provided as a retort milk plants with NCIMS HACCP Listing								
1. Milk plant, receiving station or transfer st	tation holds a valid permit.							
Milk plant, receiving station or transfer st required frequency and follow-ups condu-		ory Agency auditor at the minimum						
Requirements interpreted in accordance	with the Grade "A" PMO as indicated by p	aet audits						
Pasteurization equipment tested at require plants and retort milk plants.)	d frequency. (Not applicable to receiving stat	ions, transfer stations, aseptin milk						
5. Individual and cooling water samples les	sted and reports on file as required.							
Samples of milk plant's milk and/or milk examinations made (Not applicable to re-		y and all necessary laboratory						
7 Sampling procedures approved by PHS/	FDA evaluation methods							
8 Permit ssuance, suspension, revocation	reinstatement, hearings, and/or court acti	ons taken as required						

FORM FDA 2359n (10/13)

	SION FOR PUBLICATION Ite Milk Shipper's Listing
ER'S NAME	
ESS	
You are hereby advised that on (date[s])	ne following results:
Producer Supply (BTU)	Transfer Station
Receiving Station	Milk Plant
Enforcement Rating (For all Ratings and	for attached farm supplies of HACCP listings)
the official Rating/Listing is valid for a perio subject to the rules of the National Conferer	Enforcement Rating is less than 90 percent (< 90%), then to exceed six (6) months from the earliest rating date not on Interstate Milk Shipments.
	publish the above-stated Rating or HACCP Listing for use by
review this supply at any time during the t above. It is further understood that we will	gned that the official Rating or HACCP Listing Agency may two (2)-year or six (6) month period, respectively, referred to notify the Rating or HACCP Listing Agency if any significant
change should occur, which affects our ray status, including products listed.	w milk supply, milk plant, receiving station or transfer station
status, including products listed.	to maintain the Rating or HACCP System at a level, which is
status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure acceptable for listing, shall result in immedi  It is further agreed that milk plants, receive products for processing into milk or milk p station is listed, are from a non-listed source.	to maintain the Rating or HACCP System at a level, which is
status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure acceptable for listing, shall result in immedi  It is further agreed that milk plants, receive products for processing into milk or milk p station is listed, are from a non-listed source.	to maintain the Rating or HACCP System at a level, which is ate withdrawal of this listing.  ving stations or transfer stations, which receive milk or milk roducts for which that milk plant, receiving station or transfer se or a source having a Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of ediately withdrawn from the Interstate Milk Shipper's List.  WITHIN FIVE (5)
status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure acceptable for listing, shall result in immediant is further agreed that milk plants, received products for processing into milk or milk postation is listed, are from a non-listed source less than ninety percent (90%) shall be immediated.	to maintain the Rating or HACCP System at a level, which is ate withdrawal of this listing.  ving stations or transfer stations, which receive milk or milk roducts for which that milk plant, receiving station or transfer se or a source having a Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of ediately withdrawn from the Interstate Milk Shipper's List.
status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure acceptable for listing, shall result in immediant is further agreed that milk plants, received products for processing into milk or milk postation is listed, are from a non-listed source less than ninety percent (90%) shall be immediated.  SIGN AND RETURN TO	to maintain the Rating or HACCP System at a level, which is ate withdrawal of this listing.  ving stations or transfer stations, which receive milk or milk roducts for which that milk plant, receiving station or transfer se or a source having a Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of ediately withdrawn from the Interstate Milk Shipper's List.  WITHIN FIVE (5)
status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure acceptable for listing, shall result in immedi  It is further agreed that milk plants, receive products for processing into milk or milk plants attain is listed, are from a non-listed source less than ninety percent (90%) shall be immediated.  SIGN AND RETURN TO DAYS OF RECEIPT.	to maintain the Rating or HACCP System at a level, which is ate withdrawal of this listing.  ving stations or transfer stations, which receive milk or milk roducts for which that milk plant, receiving station or transfer se or a source having a Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of ediately withdrawn from the Interstate Milk Shipper's List.  WITHIN FIVE (5)
status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure acceptable for listing, shall result in immediate it is further agreed that milk plants, receive products for processing into milk or milk postation is listed, are from a non-listed source less than ninety percent (90%) shall be immediated and products for processing into milk or milk postation is listed, are from a non-listed source less than ninety percent (90%) shall be immediated and processing into milk or milk postation is listed.  SIGN AND RETURN TO DAYS OF RECEIPT.	to maintain the Rating or HACCP System at a level, which is ate withdrawal of this listing.  ving stations or transfer stations, which receive milk or milk roducts for which that milk plant, receiving station or transfer se or a source having a Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of ediately withdrawn from the Interstate Milk Shipper's List.  WITHIN FIVE (5)

FORM FDA 23590 (10/13)

13. FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products)

Department of Health and Human Services

# NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING

Food and Drug Administration	PROGRAM CRIT	TICAL LISTING ELEMENTS Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products)
	Aseptic Processing and Packaging Pro tings/HACCP Listings and FDA Check	
MILK PLANT		DATE OF RATING
ADDRESS		LICENSE/PERMIT NO.
RATING AGENCY		
UNDER THE NCIMS ASE AND RETORT PR		
A narrative description shall be provided as a Processed after Packaging Program Ratings an evaluation of the following requirements:		ng and Packaging Program and Retort stings/HACCP Audits. This report shall include
Is the milk plant registered with FDA LA after packaging Grade "A" milk and/or m or Form FDA 2341a, respectively, or eq	rilk products covered by a filing with	w-acid aseptic and/or retort processed h the FDA LACF using Form FDA 2541c,
Are the milk plant's filed scheduled prod Grade "A" milk and/or milk products dev of thermal processing requirements?		and/or retort processed after packaging Authority qualified as having expert knowledge
	on who has attended a school app	stems and/or retort processed after packaging proved by the FDA (such as Better Process
Is the milk plant currently under an "Ord	ler of Determination of Need" for an	n Emergency Permit?

PSC Publishing Devices (201) 443-6740 EE

Food and Drug Administration

## STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS

(SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS)

				ITEMS OF SANITATION																										
NAME OF PLANT		Floors	Wells and Cellngs	Doors and Windows	Lighting and Ventilation	Separate Rooms	Tollet/Facilities / Sewage Disposal	Water Supply	Handwashing Facilities	Plant Cleanliness	Lockers and Lunchrooms	Disposal of Wastes	Personnel / Practices	Chalastina Cosso Pasi sociastina	Tuesdon From Constitution	Storage of Materials and Finished Product	Fabrication Equipment	Materials for Construction of	Containers and/or Closures	Waxes, Achesives, Sealants,	Coating and Inks	Handling of Containers, Closures and Equipment	Wrapping and Shipping		identification and records	Surroundings	Bacterial Count*	Coliform Count*	Total Debits?	REMARKS
	ITEM	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	18	9	10	11	12	13 a,b,c, f,g,i,k	13 d,e, h, j	14	15	16 a	16 b,c	17 a,b d,e	17 c	18	19	20 a,b,f	20 c,d,e,	21				
	WEIGHT	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	2	3	2	2	3	3	11	3	5	31	3	3	11	2	4	3	31	2	5	10		
	-			Н					H			-									-		Н							
							Н														$\vdash$	Н	Н							
	-			Ш			L		Ш			L	L								_	L	Н			L				
																							Н							
TOTALS																														

FORM FDA 2359e (11/15)

# 15. FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)

Kee	DEFARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES RE									DED	001	O.	CE	DT	IFICA	TIO			FOR FDA USE ONLY											
DEN			ID DAY					lote	IF				200	-		e Cont		-	a/or	1	T	2	.3		4			5		
									100							Milk P														
													IDE	NT	FIC	ATION			-		1			-		-				
1. N	AME	OF SI	NGLE:	SERVE	CE FA	BAIC	ATING	PLAI	T		- 1	cn	Y.						3 5	TATEK	DOUN	TRY								
4.5	TREE	ī									_				-	15	MEG	COL	E NO		-	6.	-	CODE	-	-	-			
	1110-4															-					1	PRODUCT CODE MATERIAL CODE								
7. AG	ENCY	OR S	SC, AS	APPLIC	CABLE	PRO	VIDE	NG RO	UTIN	E INC	PECT	M				.36	57	7	56	59			60		- 4	1		62		
																PROC	L T	2005	1800		144.77	-Biar	con	F 10.	Sec					
_				176	DATE	OF	ni aan	-	13	- EV	DIDATI	OM D	ATER	_		1. Co	daine	16	(cm)		1.8	Aetai	200							
10.00	7.8 PATENCY 7.8 DATE OF PLANT 7.8 EXPIRAT CLRTIFICATION PERSONNEL MONTH  7.6 SANITATION 57 68 0											JA II	MIE.			2. Ck	er pro	ducte		Paper (lociudes lamesstee)     Plastic     Hestire     Metal and paper										
PER												_	_						t other				arld pu and pi							
<b>1</b> 3												DAY	-	AR 2	72	6. Ck	ducts sures	and i	ather				and pl paper							
	SDA DITPO COMPUNICE RATING										1	1	+	-	-		ducts	s. de	sures	er 7. Metal, paper and plastic 8. Glass ares and 9. Rubber										
712													2	0			er pro			10. Paper, metal, plastic and glass 11. Ceramic										
***	a family	-5-	uto a se		PIRAT		-			260	in the		SRO.	OR:	55C															
not t	910	end or	# (1) e	r beo I	(Z) yes	ary fro	am the	water	it cert	Scatio	a perioc on date oficiation		CERT	FIC	ATIC	N REC	DRAKAS	NOE	D.	Tin.	LISTI	1973 7	VPE							
tiate	NOT	E Ce	rificatio red cree	ms cor	rducte	d by	35C4	shall.	only b	os val	id for a		CLITT	7.00	VES		□ No			1		010	1.7	0	PARTI	AL				
	-	-	THE R. II	CHIE						4234		LA	BOR	ATC	RY	CONTR	OL			-										
10. N	AME	AND	ADDRE	55 (	OR C	ODE)	OF A	PPRO	VED	LAB	DRATE	ORY															-			
-																														
	_	-			_	_		_	-	11.10	SPEC	HON	HESU	LTS	(Plan	ce an tx	under	Items	detate	d)			_	_			-			
	T	3	23	+	£		7	b.	4	30	11.	12	13	0.6		14 15	100	16 b.c	4.5	197	100	.15	20 46/	20		Ne	1303			
													DY.	t,	1			-	d,e	1			a.c./	-		HOVE	5			
- P												1	- 11		1			Т						Т			ш			
_		_		ш							_	-(5)		in.	7.01	70.50	_		_	_				L	_	Ш				
	-	200										_			_	TO PUB		.v		340		/3.1	100	_	CC 47					
			rission cles a								se a	id p	oublis	h I	he	above	-stat	ed (	certifi	cation	for	US	by	Re	egula	tory	Ratir	ng		
	- 1	igen	Late of the	acies fo	a coop	Section 1	e le																							
																al Rat														
																g the plication														
	- 1	Listin	g W													e, of a														
12.a		plant re oe	PLANT		-	-	_	-	-				-											-	-		-			
200																														
12.b.	OFF	CER A	UTHOR	RIZING	REL	EASE						12	C TO	ut																
						19.00	m man	(Control	u sar	per	mer -	V 10	Wine.	LET .	-		tet an	-	ú para	new -	6.10	en see		_						
13.4	DATE	EÖFR	EPORT		130							_		_	-	BY (Sig					SAP	PLICA	DLE			_				
	27111		-		ACCE		)		120		2.110		-			Tr. Indi	- Series		1											
					_		II.	YES	H.	NQ.		1	FOR	Eric	1100	E ONLY								_		_	_			
14. D	ATE	RECEP	/ED		15. F	UBU	CATIC	N OF	RATIO	NG R	ECOM	MEND		TUV	_		]NO	- 0	יסער לו	', indic	ote w	tre.t	5	_		_				
		100					2 1 2	7.01							_															
16. D	ATE 7	TRANS	MITTE	D	17.3	HGNA	TURE	(FDA	Mile	Spec	ialist)																			
				-																										

Older 1 DH 25550 (12711)

# K. EXAMPLES OF HOW TO PROPERLY COMPLETE RATING, NCIMS HACCP LISTING, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM listing FORMS AND SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS CERTIFICATION/LISTING FORMS

The following pages provide examples of Forms that have been completed to demonstrate how observations should be recorded and how the Forms should be completed. These include:

1.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF
	THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)61
2.	
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY)
3.	FORM FDA 2359j- MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C.
	EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY)
	(Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION
	B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II, Item 8)63
4.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5) (EXAMPLE: MILK
	PLANT ONLY) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING
	REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II,
	Items 9 and 10)64
5.	
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU AND RECEIVING
6.	FORM FDA 2359j- MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C.
	EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM
	BTU AND RECEIVING STATION) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION
	RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2),
	Part I, Item 9 and Part II, Item 8)66
7.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:
	MULTIPLE FARM BTU) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION
	RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2),
	Part I, Items 10 and 11)67
8.	$\boldsymbol{J}$
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5) (EXAMPLE:
	RECEIVING STATION) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING
	REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II,
	Items 9 and 10)
9.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: SINGLE FARM BTU)69

10.	FORM FDA 2359 <sub>J</sub> -MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:
	SINGLE FARM BTU) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING
	REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part I,
11.	Items 10 and 11)
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU)
12.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:
	MULTIPLE FARM BTU) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION
	RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2),
	Part I, Items 10 and 11)
13.	FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION. ULTRA-
	PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT
	PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING
14.	FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING
	MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: MILK
	PLANT)
15.	FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING
	MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: MILK
	PLANT WITH A RECEIVING AND TRANSFER STATION)
16.	FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT
	FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (EXAMPLE: ELECTRONIC
_,,	SUBMISSION)
18.	FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION
10.	NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT
19.	FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW
1,	REPORT8
20.	FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (EXAMPLE: NCIMS HACCP
	LISTING)
21.	FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK
	SHIPPER's LISTING (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT HACCP LISTING)
22.	FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK
	SHIPPER's LISTING (EXAMPLE: BTU AND MILK PLANT RATING LISTING)
23.	FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM
	AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL
	LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or
	Milk Products)
24.	Milk Products)
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: ASEPTIC AND/OR RETORT MILK PLANT)89
25	FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service Containers
	and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)90
26.	FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service
_0.	Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)91

f A B	rown Dai	ry	(Shippers Name ar	of Address ()	_	As of June 14, 2016						
	RY AGENCY epartmen	t of Healt		MILK SANITARIAN M.I. Good			ORDINANCE IN Edition 201		April 1, 2015			
TED BY	(Name) ater	(Title) SRO	(Agency) State HD	June 17, 2015		RATING BASED ON 2015 Edition of the Pasteurized Mi	k Ordinance	#63540 Date July 20, 2015				
				SUMI	MARY OF RA	TING RESULTS						
	Number of Dairy	Farms			314	Sanitation Compliance Rating of Raw M	lk for Pasteurization	ation 91				
	Number of Dairy	Number of Dairy Farms Inspected										
	Number of Milk	Plants Receiving 5	Rations or Transfer Station		1	Sanitation Compliance Rating of Milk Plu	or Transfer Station	94				
	Number of Milk:	Plants Receiving	Stations or Transfer Station	s inspected	1							
	Total Pounds of	Pasteurized Milk P	roduced Daily		1,628,000	28,000 Enforcement Rating						
		-				the Enforcement Rating are a n, the observations made duri			-			
the m	ilk sanitation	program. Th	ese include:									
	1. Atte	ntion should	be directed to the	Items of sanitation, which we	re found in v	iolation at twenty-five percent	(25%) or more	of the dairy farms (Item	#s 3,6,12 ar			
	2. In th	e milk plant,	particular attentio	n should be directed to the H	TST pasteuri	zation deficiencies (Item 16p(I	3) 2).					
						frequency for inspecting milk						
	A VANIE	ten notices o	f intent to suspens	the permit should be issued	when there	ara rangat violations						

Recommendations of the Rating Officer

NOTE: Two (2) new farm bulk milk storage tanks, manufactured after January 1, 2000, that were recently installed were not equipped with acceptable recording devices.

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 1) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE

# MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

# SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Milk Plant Only)

SHIPPER Clear Milk Dairy

DA	IE	OF RATING June 1			116	_		1		MILK PL	ANI	-					INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING				
DAIRY FARMS PART I										PART				PART III							
Number	Ordnance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordnance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordnance Section Mumber Inspected Number Complying Percent Complying				
A.	ß	An dury terriers hold a valid permit						,	3	At milk plant, receiving station and merufer station operators hold visid permits					5	1	Enter Total Credit from Part 1 under Percent Complying 47 N				
2	5	All dainy famos inspected once every six (5) months or as required in Appendix "P"				10		,		Milk plant and receiving station(s) respected once everythree (3) excrite, assistic and reset this plant and transfer station(s) once every six \$6) women.	8	8	100		15	2	Eigher Total Chedit from Part II 84.5 47 79				
3	6	Inspection sheet poited or available				2	1. (	3	5	Impedion sheet posted or available			200		5	3	4 properly labeled 5 4 80 6 4				
4 Pleguterners interpreted in accord- ance with PHS/PDA PMC as 10 miscales by past respections 10					1.	7	Requirements interpreted in accord- ance with PHISPIDA PMO as indicated by past impections	1	.8	80	10	8		TOTAL CREDIT, PART III							
5 8 Effections systication on tile. 10							App.	Pacteut/ation equipment tested at hequired frequency pack required for insolic and retort mile plants.)	8	6	75	10	11.25	INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization, Aseptic Processing and Packaging or Retort Processed after Packaging							
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on tile as required						1.	1	individual and occurry water samples tested and reports on the as required	8	6	75		3.75		Without Milk Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Station:				
7	6	William inspection program established						Ť,		Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required triguency and all necessary aboratory examinations made	5	4	80	10	8	- Evaluate all Items Part I and record.  - With Receiving Station(s) or Transfer Station(s): - Evaluate all Items Part I Evaluate all Items Part II., except Numbers 5 and 7. Divide by 75 Evaluate all Items Part III.  Individual Shipper of Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products:  - Aseptic and Relort Milk Plants: - Evaluate all Items Part III Evaluate all Items Part III.					
		At least four (4) samples collected from each deep farm's milk outpily every six (6) months and at necessary laboratory examinations, made.				10			6 Acol	Sampling pricedures approved by FHSFDA evaluation methods	1	.90	90	10	9.0						
9	App 0	Sampling procedures approved by PHSPCA evaluation helfhods				10		,	3,5,	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatument, hearings, and/or usuat actions balais as required.	1	.80	80	is	12	ľ	With Attached Raw Supply: Evaluate all items Part I. Evaluate all items Part II., use 47 Weight.				
10		Permit issuance, surperiport, revolubliori, reinstatament, hearings, andro court actions taken as required.				16	10	10		Records systematically mentaged and current	1	.75	75	107	7.5	Evaluate all Herrs Part III.     With Unattached Raw Supplies:     Evaluate all Herrs Part III., use 94 Weight,     Evaluate all Herrs Part III., except Number 1.					
11		Records systematically miantained and current				10		TOTAL CREDIT, Part II 84.5							4.50		REMARKS				
	-70.0	TOTAL CREDIT, Part								REMARK	8										
REMARKS . Violation of Item 16b(2)(d) (15 pts) existed but was not marked on								(2) water samples were missi noual vitamin assay for fat fre				Records Evaluations on Page 63.									
te last inspection. On a previous inspection em 15a(a) was marked, but under remarks it described a packaging olation. This should have been correctly marked under Item 18(b) (5 ls).  Two of 8 tests (6/21/2015 and 3/2/2016) were not completed roperly.							5 9. Ev	Refer to Section C. Evaluation of Sampling Procedures Page 62,     Refer to Section E. Milk Plant Enforcement Action and Records     Evaluations on Page 63,     O. Refer to Section E. Milk Plant Enforcement Action and								Part III REMARKS  3. "Grade A" only in yogurt ingredients statement.					

# SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES

FEMARKS

5-One (1) of two (2) State Regulatory Officials, who collects samples at this plant, and one (1) of six (6) milk plant receiving personnel, who samples incoming tankers, have not been

8-Add the Number Inspected under #s 3 and 5 to arrive at a total

evaluated in the last two (2) years.

for the Number Inspected to enter in #8 (10).

(Example: Milk Plant Only)

SHIPPER	[	7	The calculations below address Ite	ems	from	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON PA	AGE 2	of	his	For	m.
Clear Milk Dairy		ı	For the Calculati DAIRY FARM SAMPLING (Refer to PART I, ITEM 9 on PAG	PRO	OCE			_	,	For the Calculati MILK PLANT SAMPLING I (Refer to PART II, ITEM 8 on PA	PRO	CE			
One Milk Road Cowtown, ST 00000		Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	
BTU/PLANT NUMBER		1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified				5		1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified	2	2	100	0 5	T
72-125	[	2	Adequate training program provided				5		2	Adequate training program provided	1	1	100	0 5	Т
INSPECTING AGENCY		3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated				10		3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated	2	2	100	0 10	Ŧ
State Dept. of Health	- 11	4	All samplers hold a valid permit				10		4.	All samplers hold a valid permit	N/A	NA	N/A	4	1
DATE(S)	$\Box$	5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed				30		5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed.	8	6	75	30	22
June 12-13, 2016	1 [	6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance				15		6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance	6	6	100	0 15	T
		7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required				15		7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required.	N/A	N.OA	N/A	4	N
	[	0	Records systematically maintained and current				10		0.	Records systematically maintained and current	10	10	100	10	T
							100							75	1
			re	TAL C	REDIT	•					TOTAL	CRED	T I	<b>→</b>	87.
		C.	REMARKS alculation of the Score for the	he M	Aille	Dla	nt:		1	NOTE: Items 4 and 7 above are not applicable Sampling Procedures (Part II, Item 8 from Secti Enforcement Methods" on PAGE 2 of this Form	on B, "R		-	Aik P	ant
		C	67.50/75 X 100 = 9				III.		fo	olculation of the Score: Divide the TOTAL Cit is milk plants, receiving stations (RS) and transchen multiply by 100 to create a percentage. FINAL TOTAL CREDIT (Milk Pla	sfer sta	tions	(TR)	_	

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 3) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

4. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY-PART II, ITEMS 9 AND 10)

# MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

# SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

was available and reviewed at the milk plant.

(Category II-Inspection Records)

(Example: Milk Plant Only)

SHIPPER		7	The calculations below address It	ems	from	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON PA	IGE	2 of	this	Fort	77.
Clear Milk Dairy			For the Calculate MILK PLANT ENFOR PROCEDURE (Refer to PART II, ITEM 9 on PA	RCE	ME		orm	1)		For the Calculati MILK PLANT REC (Refer to PART II, ITEM 10 on PA	OR	DS		For	m
One Milk Road Cowtown, ST 00000		Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	
PLANT NUMBER		1	Category I-Permit Issuance	1	1	100	20	20	1	Category I-Permit Records	1	1	100	25	2
72-125		2	Category II-Permit Suspension	1	0	0	20	0	2	Category II-Inspection Records	1	0	0	25	1
INSPECTING AGENCY		3	Category III-Permit Revocation	1	1	100	20	20	3	Category III-Laboratory Records	1	1	100	25	2
State Dept. of Health		4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	1	1	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	1	1	100	25	2
DATE(S) June 12-13, 2016		5	Calegory V-Hearing/Court Action	1	1	100	20							100	Ţ
		_	TOTAL	CDE	DIT	*		0	-	TOTAL	CE	EDI	_	100	7!
		Ite	DTAL CREDIT to be entered into: m 9 "Percent Complying" column of A 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	PAR	T II,	_	ľ		Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into em 10 "Percent Complying" column ORM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2	PAR of			+	
			REMARKS						Г						_
		(3	Permit was not suspended o /15/2015). (Category II-Perm						H	REMARKS					Ī
		700	The second second second				,			Last inspection report (5/13/2 issing from the regulatory file				, it	Ī

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 5) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU and Receiving Station)

SHIPPER Clear Milk Coop (BTU)-RS

AC	TE	OF RATING June 14			16			_										ENFORCEME					
		DAIRY FA		IS						MILK PL PART							IN	IDIVIDUAL SHI PAR			RATI	NG	
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	item	Number	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
4	3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit.	25	25	100	5	5	1	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid				5	5	1	Г	Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying		П	90.41	47	42.49
2	5	All dainy farms inspected once every six (6) morths or as required in Appendix 19°	25	20	80	15	12	2	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once everythree (3) months; aseptic and retort milk plant and transfer station(s) once every six (5) months	8	6	75	15	11.25	2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			90.67	47 /94	42.61
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available	25	25	100	5	5	3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5	5	3	:4	All milk and milk products properly labeled	1	1	100	6	6
4	Z	Requirements interpreted in accord- ance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	25	20	80	10	8	4	7	Requirements interpreted in accord- ance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	1	.9	90	10	9	TOTAL CREDIT, PART III 91.1							
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10	10	5	7 App I	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for acaptic and retort milk plants.)	NA	NA	NA	15	NA		dual St	NDIMDUAL SHIPPER ENFO ipper of Raw Milk for Pasteur and Packaging or Retort Proc	izatio	n, Ultri	a-Pasteur	ization	, Aseptic
	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required	25	25	100	5	5	6	7	individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required	8	6	75	151	3.75		Withou	f Milk Plant, Receiving Station uate all Items Part I and recor	n or T				
7	5	Milking time inspection program established				5	5	7	6	Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made	NA	NA	NA	10	NA		With R Eval Eval	eceiving Station(s) or Transfe uate all Items Part I. uate all Items Part II., except I	e Stat			ide by	75.
8	6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made	25	20	80	10	8	8	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	.90	90	.10	9.0	ndMi	dual St Asepti	uate all Items Part III. sipper of Pasteurized Milk and c and Retort Milk Plants: uate all Items Part II., except I				5.	
9	6 App.B	Sampling procedures approved by PHSFDA evaluation methods	1	.791	79.1	10	7.91	9	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required.	1	Н	100	15	15		- Eval	Hached Raw Supply: uate all Items Part I. uate all Items Part II., use 47	Neigh				
10		Plemit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required.	1	.98	98	15.	14.7	10		Records systematically maintained and current	1	1	100	30	10	ŀ	With U Eval Eval	uate all Items Part III. Inatlached Raw Supplies: uate all Items Part III., except uate all Items Part III., except uate all Items Part III, except I	Weigh	nt. ber 1.			
11		Records systematically maintained and current	1	.98	98	10	9.8			TOTAL CREDIT, Part					68.0			Remar	ks				
		TOTAL CREDIT, Pa	urt I				90.41	Г		(68.0/ 75 X 100 Remarks		.67)				2. Tv	vo ins	Part II Re pection frequencies mis			15 and :	2/201	6)
		Remark	es							Remarks  2. Two inspection frequencies missed.(9/2015 and 2/2016) sufficient number of samples collected from five (5) dairy farms.  4. Violations of 15b(c) (5 pts) and 17d (5 pts) existed but were not marked on the last inspection.													
		um inspection interval was n irms #3, 7, 9, 11 and 18)	ot met	on fiv	e (5) o	dairy	farms.			to Section C. Evaluation of Sa	amplin	g Proc	edures	on F	Page 5. Recirculated cooling water sampling frequency was missed wice (5/2015 and 1/2016).								
. 5	ignifi	cant violations existing durin ed at five (5) dairy farms on						10. Evi	Refe	r to Section D. Dairy Farm En ons on Page 66.	forcen	nent A	ction an	nd R	ecords		efer t	o Section C. Evaluation	of S	ampli	ng Proc	edure	es on
Da	iry Fa	rms #1-item 8a; #6-items 2: #20-item 16a)																d					

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2)

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU and Receiving Station)

SHIPPER		The calculations below address It	ems	from	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON PA	AGE 2	of t	his i	Form	n.
Clear Milk Coop (BTU)-RS		For the Calculat DAIRY FARM SAMPLING (Refer to PART I, ITEM 9 on PA	PRO	OCE			-		For the Calculati MILK PLANT SAMPLING I (Refer to PART II, ITEM 8 on PA	PRO	CE			
Two Milk Road Cowtown, ST 00001	Namber	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
BTU/PLANT NUMBER	1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified	2	2	100	5	5	1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified	2	2	100	5	5
72-122/72-152	2	Adequate training program provided	1	1	100	5	5	2	Adequate training program provided	1	1	100	5	5
INSPECTING AGENCY	3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated	2	2	100	10	10	3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated	2	2	100	10	10
State Dept. of Health	4	All samplers hold a valid permit	12	8	66.7	10	6.67	4	All samplers hold a valid permit	NA	N/A	NIA		N/A
DATE(S)	5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed	12	6	50	30	15	5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed	4	3	75	30	22.5
June 14-16, 2016	6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance	6	5	83	15	12.4	6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance	3	3	100	15	15
	7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required	12	12	100	15	15	7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A
	8	Records systematically maintained and current	14	14	100	10	10	8	Records systematically maintained and current	6	6	100	10	10
						100							75	
		1	OTAL C	REDIT	+	79	.12			TOTAL	CREDI	· •	6	7.50
	4	REMARKS 1 - Eleven (11) bulk milk hauler/sampl	ers w	ere io	lentif	ied fi	rom	s	OTE: Items 4 and 7 above are not applicable vi ampling Procedures (Part II, Item 8 from Section inforcement Methods) on PAGE 2 of this Form)	on B, "R			k Pla	nt
	or p	weight tickets found at the dairy farms 30) days, plus one (1) field person who einstatement samples. Three (3) "weeks person were not permitted. - In addition to the four (4) individuals	from takes and" h	some some	atic o	us the	irty sunt ield	Cal	iculation of the Score: Divide the TOTAL CK milk plants, receiving stations (RS)and trans hen multiply by 100 to create a percentage.	REDIT b	ions (	TR).	_	
	p	permitted bulk milk hauler/samplers were	e not e	value	ited in	n the	last	l	FINAL TOTAL CREDIT (Mik Pla	nt, RS o	r TR)	-	L	90

REMARKS
MILK PLANT

5-One (1) evening/weekend receiver had not been evaluated in the last two (2) years.

8-Add the Number Inspected under #'s 3 and 5 to arrive at a total for the Number Inspected to enter in #8 (6).

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 3) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

two (2) years.

6 - One (1) of the samplers that had been evaluated was observed committing the following violations: Failing to sanitize the

thermometer that was used to check the temperature of the milk;

sampling the milk before the required agitation time had elapsed, filling the sample container over the open tank, and not taking a temperature control sample at the first stop.

8 - Add the Number of Inspected under #'s 3 and 5 to arrive at the

# SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU)

SHIPPER
Clear Milk Coop (BTU)-RS
LOCATION
Two Milk Road
Cowstown, ST 00001
BTU NUMBER
72-122
INSPECTING AGENCY
State Dept. of Health DATE(S)
June 14-16, 2016

_	The calculations below address Ite	ems	fron	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON	PAGE	20	f this	Form	n.
	For the Calculati DAIRY FARM ENFOR PROCEDURE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on PA	CE	ME		Form	1)		For the Calcula DAIRY FARM RE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on F	CO	RDS		Forr	n)
Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	_	Weight	Credit	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	Category I-Permit Issuance	25	25	100	20	20	1	Category I-Permit Records	25	25	100	25	25
2	Category II-Permit Suspension	25	22	88	20	17.6	2	Category II-Inspection Records	25	23	92	25	23
3	Category III-Permit Revocation	25	25	100	20	20	3	Category III-Laboratory Records	25	25	100	25	25
4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	25	25	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	25	25	100	25	25
5	Category V-Hearing/Court Action	25	25	100	_	-	L						
					-	97.6						100	
L	TOTAL	CRE	DIT	•	9	8	L	TOT	AL C	RED	IT •	• !	98
Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into 1 em 10 "Percent Complying" column DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2	of	r I;				Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered in em 11 "Percent Complying" colu- DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Pag	mn of				
	REMARKS						Г						
t! 32	Regulatory action not prop hree (3) dairy farms. (Dairy X; #15-Item 2a-4X; and #17 Category II-Permit Suspensi	Fan	ms m 8	#4-1	tem	16-	tv le	REMARKS Inspection results were to (2) dairy farms on their dgers. (Dairy Farms #5 and Category II-Inspection Records)	indi	vidu #16	ıal	e fo	r

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 4) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORD EVALUATIONS

(Example: Receiving Station)

SHIPPER	The calculations below address Items from Section B. Report of Enforcement Methods on PAG	3E 2 of this Form.
Clear Milk Coop (BTU)-RS	For the Calculation of  MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT  PROCEDURES  (Refer to Part II, ITEM 9 on PAGE 2 of this Form)  For the Calculation  MILK PLANT RECO  (Refer to Part II, ITEM 10 on PAGE)	ORDS
Two Milk Road Cowtown, ST 00000	Number Inspected Number Complying Percent Complying Weight Credit Number	Number Inspected Number Complying Percent Complying Weight Credit
PLANT NUMBER	1 Category I-Permit Issuance 1 1 1 100 20 20 1 Category I-Permit Records	1 1 100 25 25
72-122	2 Category II-Permit Suspension 1 1 1 100 20 20 2 Category II-Inspection Records	1 1 100 25 25
INSPECTING AGENCY	3 Category III-Permit Revocation 1 1 100 20 20 3 Category III-Laboratory Records	1 1 100 25 25
State Dept. of Health	0 100 2	1 1 100 25 25
ATE(8) June 14-16, 2016	5 Category V-Hearing/Court Action 1 1 100 20 20	
_	100	100
	TOTAL CREDIT → 100 TOTAL C	CREDIT → 100
	TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART II, Item 9 "Percent Complying" column of FORM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.  TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART II, Item 10 "Percent Complying" column of FORM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	
	REMARKS	
	No Debits Observed	
	No Debits Observed	

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 5) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

76

(Example: Single Farm BTU)

SHIPPER United Dairy (BTU)
DATE OF RATING June 16, 2016
ENFORCEMENT RATING

EC					_		_															_
			S																ERR	ATIN	IG	
Ordinance Section	Item	Number impetted	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordnance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
31	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit	1	1	100	,	5	Ī,	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid permit				,		1		Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying			47		
5	All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix 197.	4	3	75	15	11.25	,	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once everythree (3) months, aseptic and retost milk plants and transfer station(s) once every six b(i) months.				15		2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			47 /94		
5	Inspection sheet posted or available	1	1	100		5	3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				ı,		3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled	П	П	6		Г
7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past impections	1	.91	91	10	9.1	ī	,	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections				10		T		TOTAL CREDIT,	PAR	T UL			Г
81	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10	10	,	App I	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for asseptic and retoff milk plants.)				15				Shipper of Raw Milk for Paster	urization	n, Ultra-	Pasteuri	zation	. Ase
71	Water samples tested and reports on file as required	5	4	80	6	4	6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required				6			<ul> <li>With</li> </ul>	out Milk Plant, Receiving Stati	on or Ti				
51	Milking time inspection program established				I.	5	,		milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary						ľ	- Ev	aluate all Items Part I. aluate all Items Part II., except			d 7. DM	ide by	75.
6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made.	1	0	0	10	0		6 App 8	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods		Г		10			vidual • Asep	Shipper of Pasteurized Milk ar- tic and Retort Milk Plants:				15.	
6 App 8	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	1	100	10	10	١,	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15		1	- Ev	aluate all Items Part I.	7 Weigh				
3,5. 6,16	Permit is suance, suspension, hevocation, neinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	1	.60	60	15	9	10		Records systematically maintained and current				10		1	· Ev · Ev · Ev	aluate all Items Part III. Unattached Raw Supplies: aluate all Items Part II., use 94 aluate all Items Part III., excep	Weight Numb	st. per 1.			
	Records systematically maintained and current	1	.75	75	10	7.5	Γ								1							
	TOTAL CREDIT, Par REMARKS	ti				75.85			culated cooling water sam				wa	ıs	Pro 10	Ref	ures. er to Section D. Dairy	Farm	Enfo	_	ent A	ctio
fiola	tions: 2a (1 pt), 14 (3 pts)	and	8c (5		exis	ting	wa 8.	ter w	ell (WW) system (4RC + 1 ficient number of samples	WW	= 5 To	otal 8	Sam	nples)	<ol> <li>Refer to Section D. Dairy Farm Enforcement Action and Records Evaluations on Page 69.</li> </ol>							
	5 5 7 8 7 5 6,16	Item  S All dairy farmers hold a valid permit  All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) morths or as required in Appendix P*  Inspection sheet posted or available accordance with PHSFDA PMO as indicated by past inspections  T B & Brustellosis certification on tile as required  Miser samples tested and reports on tile as required  Miser samples tested and reports on tile as required  Miser samples tested and reports on tile as required  As teast four (4) samples colected from each dairy farms mits supply every six (6) morths and all necessary laboratory examinations made  Sampling procedures approved by PHSFDA evaluation methods  Permit is suence, suspension, nevocation, neinstatement, hearings, and or court actions taken as required  Records systematically maintained and current  TOTAL CREDIT, Par REMARKS  One inspection frequency missional courts are considered and current responsible.	DAIRY FARMS PART I  Item  James State Stat	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Item    Second   Part   Part	DAIRY FARMS PART I  Item  Japan Japa	Item    Page   P	DAIRY FARMS PART I  Item  January September 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DAIRY FARMS PART I  Item  Jan 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Item  Item	Item  Item I	DAIRY FARMS PART I  Item    1	BIEM  BIEM	BIEM INCOME IN THE PART II    Bit   Bit	BART I  Item    Part   Part	BART I  Item    Part   Part	Item    Item	Blem    Blem	DAIRY FARMS PART I    Item	DAIRY FARMS PART I    Item	DART I  NEM  Rem  Rem  Rem  Rem  Rem  Rem  Rem  Re	DARY FARMS PART I  Item  Item

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2)

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

(Example: Single Farm BTU)

SHIPPER
United Dairy (BTU)
LOCATION
100 Dairy Lane Bossy, ST 00009
90-100
INSPECTING AGENCY
State Dept. of Health DATE(S)
June 16, 2016

	The calculations below address Ite	ms	from	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON I	PAGE	2 of	this	Form	n.
	For the Calculati DAIRY FARM ENFOR PROCEDURE (Refer to Part I, ITEM 10 on PA	CE	ME		Forn	n)		For the Calcula DAIRY FARM RE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on P	CO	RDS		Forr	n)
- Number	Item  Calegory I-Permit Issuance	Number Inspected	O Number Complying	O Percent Complying	20 Weight	Credit	Number 1	Item  Calegory I-Permit Records	Number Inspected	→ Number Complying	Percent Complying	25 Weight	Credit
1	Category II-Permit Suspension	1	0	0	20	-	2	Category II-Inspection Records	1	1	_	25	
3	Category III-Permit Revocation	1	1	100		-	F	Category III-Laboratory Records	1	0	0	25	-
4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	1	1	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	1	1	100	25	25
5	Category V-Hearing/Court Action	1	1	100			L						
	WAWAI			Ę	100			***				100	
⊢	TOTAL	CRE	DIT	*	6	0	L	101.	AL C	REDI	1	• 1	75
Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into F em 10 "Percent Complying" column DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	of	ΓI,				Ito	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into om 11 "Percent Complying" colur DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page	nn of	RT I,			
	REMARKS						Г						$\neg$
	Dairy farm was not inspect						H	REMARKS				-	$\equiv$
Н	suing a permit two (2) years Permit Issuance)				_			Laboratory records for S ere not maintained on led					
Si (1	A warning letter was not is amples exceeding the stand 0/31/2015). (Category II-Pe uspension)	ard	for				th	ne samples were collected erified from the lab reports aboratory Records)	d/ana	lyze	ed a	nd	

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 4) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU)

SHIPPER Great Cows BTU

DAT	EC	FRATING August 1			016													<b>ENFORCEMENT</b>	RA	TING	_ 9	0		
		DAIRY FA PART		S						MILK PLA PART I								INDIVIDUAL SHI PAR		RR	ATIN	IG		
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	
4	3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit.	25	25	100		5	,	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid permit				5		2		Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying			.47			
2	5	All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P"	25	20	80	15	12	2	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once everythree (3) months, asseptic and refort milk plant and transfer station(s) once every six (6) months				15		2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			47 /94			
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available	25	25	100		5	3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available						3	14	All milk and milk products properly labeled			6			
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	25	19	76	10	7.6	4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections				10		TOTAL CREDIT, PART III  INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS								
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10	10	5	App I	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for asseptic and retort milk plants.)				15				INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER EN Shipper of Raw Milk for Paste, and Packaging or Retort Pro-	irization	, Ultra-l	Pasteuri	zation	Aseptic	
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required	25	21	84	5	4.2	6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required				5			With	out Milk Plant, Receiving Statio	on or Tr					
,	5	Milking time inspection program established				l,	5	7	6	Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made				10		ŀ	- Ev	aluate all Items Part I and reco Receiving Station(s) or Transf aluate all Items Part I.	er Stati					
8	6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) morths and all necessary laboratory examinations made	25	23	92	10	9.2		6 Aco 6	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10			- Ev	aluate all items Part II., except aluate all items Part III. Shipper of Pasteurized Milk an filc and Retort Milk Plants:	d Milk I	Products	c		75.	
9	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	.791	79.1	10	7.91	9	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15		1	· With	stuate all Items Part II., except Attached Raw Supply: stuate all Items Part I.	Numbe	er 5. Div	ide by 8	5.		
10	3.5. 6.16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, neinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required.	1	.98	98	10	14.7	10		Records systematically maintained and oursert				10		ŀ	· Ev · Ev · Ev	sluate all Hems Part II., use 47 sluate all Hems Part III. Unattached Raw Supplies: sluate all Hems Part III., use 94 sluate all Hems Part III., except sluate all Hems Part III., except	Weigh Numb	t. er 1.				
11		Records systematically maintained and current	1	.98	98	10	9.8			TOTAL CREDIT, Part							REMARKS							
		TOTAL CREDIT, Part REMARKS	tT.				90.41	19c	#1	REMARKS I-Item 8c; #15-Item 9b; and #1		n 18c)					Refer to Section C. Evaluation of Sampling Procedures on Page 65.							
		um inspection interval not me irms #6, 9, 12 and 19)	et on f	our (4	) dair	y far	ms.			ted water samples at four (4) and 17)	dairy f	arms.	(Dair	y Fa	irms			r to Section D. Dairy Fan Evaluations on Page 66		orcem	ent Ad	tion a	nd	
insp (Da	ry Fa	ons existing on six (6) dairy f n and were not marked on th irms #1-Item 5 floors; #4-Item	ne last n 7; #	inspe	ction		ets.			cient samples from two (2) da erms #3 and 20)	iry fam	ms.						r to Section D. Dairy Fan Evaluations on Page 66		orcem	ent Ad	tion a	nd	

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU)

SHIPPER	
United Dairy (BTU)	
LOCATION	$\dashv$
100 Dairy Lane	
Bossy, ST 00009	
Bossy, 31 outo	
BTU NUMBER	$\neg$
90-100	
INSPECTING AGENCY	$\neg$
State Dept. of Health	
DATE(S)	
June 16, 2016	

г	The calculations below address Ite	ms	from	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON	PAGE	2 of	this	Form	2.
	For the Calculati DAIRY FARM ENFOR PROCEDURE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on PA	CE	ME		Forn	1)		For the Calcula DAIRY FARM RE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on F	CO	RDS		Forr	n)
Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	Category I-Permit Issuance	25	25	100	20	20	1	Category I-Permit Records	25	25	100	25	25
2	Category II-Permit Suspension	25	22	88	20	17.6	2	Category II-Inspection Records	25	25	100	25	25
3	Category III-Permit Revocation	25	25	100	20	20	3	Category III-Laboratory Records	25	23	92	25	23
4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	25	25	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	25	25	100	25	25
5	Category V-Hearing/Court Action	25	25	100	_	-							
					_	97.6						_	98
ᆫ	TOTAL	CRE	DIT	•	9	8	L	TOT	AL C	REDI	Τ.	٠ !	98
Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into I em 10 "Percent Complying" column DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	of	CI,				Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered in em 11 "Percent Complying" colur DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page	nn of				
	REMARKS												$\neg$
	Regulatory action not prop						H	REMARKS				=	=
3	ree (3) dairy farms. (Dairy a-4X; #14-Item 16a-3X; and X) (Category II-Permit Susp	#1	6-Ite	em '			le #	Drug residue tests not red dgers for two (2) dairy far 10 and #22) (Category III- ecords)	ms.	(Dai	ry F	arn	ns

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 4) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING

DATE OF RATING August 10-12, 2018

SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATING<sup>1</sup> 91

															IT	EM	S O	FS	ANI	TA	TIC	N															
						Milkir Const	ng Ba tructi	arn ion			С	onstr	khou uctio cilitie	n and					tensil Equip			Milki	ng	Drug	gs	Perso	nnel		T	Insec	cts ar	nd Roo	dents				
NAME OF DAIRY FARM	Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) <sup>3</sup>	Abnormal Milk	Somatic Cell Count*	Floors	Walls and Cellngs	Separate Stalls	Lighting	Ventilation	Cleanliness	Cowyard	Walls and Cellings	2	Miscellaneous Requirements	Cleaning Facilities	Cleanliness	Tollet	Water Supply	Construction	Oeaning	Sanifization	Storage	ders	Profedion from Contamination	dand S	Labeled for Use, Stored Safety	Hand Washing Facilities	Personnel Cleanliness	An alter	Suino	Fly Breeding Minimzed Manure Packs Maintained	Milkhouse Openings Screened, Doors Trold Milkhouse Free of Insecte	sticides Used, E Exposed to Con		Drug	Total Debits <sup>2</sup>	Pounds Sold Daily (100 # Units) <sup>2</sup> X Total Debits <sup>2</sup>	REMARKS
	ПЕМ	115	1			2	1		3	4	Ů.			_	6	7	8	g	10	11	12	13	14	15		16	17		8	1		19				7	
	WEIGHT	5	5*	a 1	b	1	1	e 1	3	3	A B	2	-		4	4	2 or 5	4	5	5	2	5	3	a-c (7)	_	2	1	ab 5 - (8	C 5) - 1	ab 3	cd 2	_	gh 2			+4.3	
Roy Harris	17		-	1	1			-		-	1	+	1	-			5			_		21		2			1	- (		-	-	1	-		9	153	Major Water Violation
2. James Henley	21.			1								H	1	+				4					-			H								1	4	84	
3. W. T. Miller	5	+	5						3	3	1		+	+					H		2	5	+			-		5	Х		Н			10	34	170	Insufficient Milk Samples
4. John Barkley	11					1					+	+	+-	+		-			-	-		5		2	-	2				1	H	1			11	121	Only Cold Water to Hand Sink
5. K. R. Olson	15								3			t	2				2																		7	105	Minor Water Violation
6. Robert Taylor	10		5		Н	1	Н				+	+			Н							1									Н	1			5	50	2 of 4 SSC W/Last 1 Violative
7. Pete Carhart	18				1				3	3												5		-											12	216	Cooling Pond-Dirty Cows
B. Davis & Nelson	33								3	3	1												1	-									1		7	231	MTI
9. Al Hart	10								3						4								$\dashv$										1		7	70	
10. Don Meyers	8		5					1		+					4							5	+	2											12	96	MTI
11. Wm. Long	12				1			-	3			-						4		-			+									2			10	120	3r - Feed Storage
12. Jon Jones	27					1					1		2	1	4										5										12	324	Drugs W/O Directions
13. John Marshall	16										1	15		1								5	3	2	5										15	240	Drug Storage and Pig Medicine
14. R. W. Ripple	12				1			-		-	1	1		1		-				-		-								-			2		3	36	
15. N. W. Williams	23	5					1	- 4				2		1			2		=		=				- 1										9	207	Dirty Abnormal Equipment-Barn
16. R. A. Wolf	19	5				l Li	1												=						- 1										6	114	Dirty Abnormal Equipment in Milkhouse
17. Frank Ecker	11				Ħ				3			T	1	T	4							T												1	7	77	Minitodae
18. Henry Ronan	13					E		= 1	3										5	5	2			11						1					12	156	
otal or Subtotal		2	2	4	3				7	_	- ,	1.	2				~	2	1	3	3	5			2		4	1	10	1		1	1	1	182	2570	
of Dairy Farms Violatin	281	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	1	3	+2	+1	12	1	4		3	2	1	1	3	5	1	4	2	1	1	1	_1			-	-		1		

FORM FDA 2359k (12/17) PAGE 1

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

Continuation																							ΤE	UR	IZΑ	TIO	N, A	٩S	EPT									
OR RET	ORI	PK	OC	ES	) S E	י ט:	AF	IEK	P	CK	AC	חוכ	G	FOI	₹_	<u> </u>	JKE	AL	Cov	$\neg$	BI	<u>u</u>	_	_				_		AS	OF		<u>A</u>	UGL	JST	10-	12, 2	2018
	ITEM		1	а	b	2 c	d	e	3	4	Α	В	5 C	D E	<b> </b>	3 7	1	8	9 1	10 1	11	12	13	14		5 de	16		18 ab	c	ab		9 ef	gh				REMARKS
	WEIGHT	5	5*	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	1	2	2	2 4	4	2 0	or 5	4	5	5	2	5	3	2-(	7) - 5	2	1	5 - (8	5) - 1	3	2	2	2	10*			
Subtotals from PAGE 1	281	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	7	3		2	1	2	- 4		- 3	3	2	1	1	3	5	1	4	2	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	182	2570	
19. Smith & Jones	4			1	1	1	1		3										4			2	5													18	72	
20. H. Adams	42			1																		2			2											5	1	No Veterinarian's Name on Prescription Cattle Drugs
21. Joe Lamb	9			1	1																	2													10	14	126	2 of 4 SPC, Last 1 Violative
22. B. Forest	12			1							$\top$	T		2		$\top$				$\top$	$\top$							T				2				5	60	
23. Anna Bowers	11				1				3	$\neg$	$\top$	T	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	十	十	$\top$	5						$\Box$	T						$\vdash$		9	99	
24. L.R. Hayser	4								П	$\dashv$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\dagger$	$\top$	$\top$	$\dagger$	$\top$		5	$\top$	2	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\vdash$	$\dashv$						$\vdash$	T	7	28	
25. Pete Carson	15				1						$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$^{\dagger}$	1	5	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$		$\neg$					$\top$						$\vdash$		6	90	Major Water Violation
26.											$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$^{\dagger}$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$		$\neg$					$\top$						$\vdash$				
27.										$\dashv$	+	$\dagger$	$\top$	+	+	+	+	$\top$	$\top$	+	$\top$		$\dashv$	$\dashv$			$\vdash$	$\forall$						$\vdash$			$\vdash$	
28.		$\vdash$								$\dashv$	+	$\dagger$	$\top$	+	+	+	+	$\top$	$\top$	+	$\top$		$\dashv$	$\dashv$			$\forall$	$\forall$						$\vdash$			$\vdash$	
29.		$\vdash$								$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\top$	+	$^{+}$	+	$\dashv$	_				$\forall$	+			Н			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
30.		$\vdash$								$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\dashv$		$\forall$	+			$\vdash$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
31.										$\dashv$	+	$^{+}$	+	$^{+}$	+	+	+	$\dashv$	+	+	+		$\dashv$				$\forall$	$\dashv$			$\Box$			$\vdash$			$\vdash$	
32.			$\vdash$							$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\forall$	+			$\vdash$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
33.										$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\forall$	+			$\vdash$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
34.										$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\forall$	+			$\vdash$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
35.										$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\vdash \vdash$	+			$\mid \cdot \mid$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
36.										$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\vdash \vdash$	+						$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
37.									$\vdash$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\vdash \vdash$	+			$\mid - \mid$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
38.	-	$\vdash$			$\vdash$				$\vdash$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\vdash \vdash$	+			$\mid - \mid$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
39	-				$\vdash$					$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\vdash \vdash$	+			$\mid - \mid$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
40.					$\vdash$					$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		$\vdash \vdash$	+			$\mid - \mid$			$\vdash$	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
Total or Subtotal	378	2	2	5	7	2	2	1	9	3	-	2	1	3 -	- 4	+-		4	3	2	2	7	6	1	5	2	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	2	246	3255	
% of Dairy Farms Violating			8					4	36						0 1				12								4	_	4	4	0	4	4	4		240	5250	
o or Dairy Farms Violating		o	0	20	20	0	0	4	30	12	0	0	7	2	ין י	۲	<u>'</u>	0	12	۰	۰	20	24	*	20	U	7	7	4	4	U	4	4	4	0			

Footnotes: 1 Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)3 X Total Debits2 = 100 - 3255 = 100 - 8.6 = 91.4 = 91

\* Use only when not in compliance.

## COMMENTS

Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)<sup>3</sup>

2 Total Debits for each dairy farm is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an X under that Item).

<sup>3</sup> Total Pounds Sold Daily are calculated in 100# Units.

# 14. FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS)

## STATUS OF MILK PLANTS

(INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS and TRANSFER STATIONS)

Milk Plant I.M.A. DAIRY		
Date of Rating September 20-21, 2016	Sanitation Compliance Rating <sup>1</sup>	90

		Г													- 1	TEM	s o	FSA	NITA	TON																
	a	h		П		Г	Т	П	Т	Т	Т			c	ontak Equi	ners a	nd	Г	Т		П	Pas	teuris	ration		Т	Bot	tiling	П			П				
NAME OF PLANT (MILK PRODUCT/ PASTEURIZATION/ FILLING AND CAPPING)	Pounds Processed Daily (16 Units)*	Room	Wats and Celling	Doors and Windows	Daylorg	Vertilation	Separate Rooms	ToldGenape Deposed Facilities	Withr Supply	Listed Mississon Excellent	hand/hashing recebes	MR Plant Ceaniness	Sentary Poing	Construction and Repair	Charing	Sentication	Starage of Clean	Storage of Single-Service		Protection from Contamination	Indicating and Recording Themometers	Time and Temperature Controls	Asultenation Corbois	Regenerative Heating	Temperature Recording	Cooling	Container Filling	Capping and Sealing	Personnel Cleaniness Protestive Cisting	Vehicles	Sumandings	Bacterial Count?	Coliforn Count?	Total Decision	Pounds Processed Dully (1004)Jiby X Total Debts	REMARKS
	ITEM	1	2	3	44	46	5	6	7	-	0	9	10	11	12vib	120	13	14	15e	156	(1)	(2)	166	160	160	17	10	19	20	21	22					
	Weight	1	7	2	1	1	3	3	4	1	2	3	3	3	5	5	3	2	3	5	4	15	3	10	4	5		5	1	1	2	5.	10°			
I.M.A. Dairy	5,000	Ш		Ц			3		$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$						$\perp$		3			$\perp$		$\perp$		$\perp$								6	30,000	
Buttermilk Vat #1		Н		П			$\vdash$		+	$\perp$	+	_				$\vdash$	$\perp$		$\vdash$	$\perp$		+		+	$\vdash$	$\perp$	$\vdash$		Н	_	_	_	_			Inlet Valve not Remove
(15)		Ц		Ц		L	╀	<u> </u>	+	4	4	4			L	╄	╀	┡	╄	╄	╙	15	1	4	╄	1	┡	Ш	Ц	4	4	4		15	225	from Vat During Holding
C. Cheese Starter Vat (3)		Н		Н	_	$\vdash$	+	$\vdash$	+	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$\dashv$			$\vdash$	+	$^{+}$	╁	+	+	$\vdash$	+	H	+	4	+	H	$\vdash$	Н	$\forall$	$\dashv$	$\forall$		4	12	Air Space Reading NOT Made at BOTH the
		П		П			Г		Т	T	T					Г	Т	Г		Т		Т		Т	Т	Т	Г									Beginning and End of the Holding Period
By Products HTST (360)		П		П			Г		Т	T	T						Т					15		10		T								25	9,000	Plant Operating Computer Can Start the
		П		П		Г	Г		Т	Т	Т				Г	Т	Т	Г	Т	Т	П	Т	Г	Т	Т	Т	Г	П	П	$\neg$						Booster Pump in Divert Mode
1% Milk (500)										I	Ī															5						5	10	20	10,000	Insufficient # of Sample Taken in Last 6 Months
		П		П																																
Tub Container (70)		П		П					I	I	I	$\Box$				L	I					I		I		I		5		$\Box$		$\Box$		5	350	Hand Capping of 5 lb. Containers
		Ш		Ш						$\perp$	$\perp$						$\perp$							$\perp$		$\perp$										
Sour Cream (5)		Ц		Ц							$\perp$	$\Box$																	Ц				10		50	2 of Last 4 Coli Counts High (Last One Positive
TOTALS	5,000	Ш		Ш																														85	49,637	

Footnotes: Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits = 100 - 49.637 = 100 - 9.9 = 90.1 = 90

Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) 5,000

FORM FDA 2359L (11/15) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total Debits for each milk plant, receiving station or transfer station is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item or sub-item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an X under that Item).

Total Pounds Processed Daily are calculated in 100# Units. Use only when not in compliance. Prorate by products.

15. FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT WITH A RECEIVING AND TRANSFER STATION)

#### STATUS OF MILK PLANTS

(INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS and TRANSFER STATIONS)

Milk Plant Metro Dairy Company

Date of Rating October 30-31, 2016

Sanitation Compliance Rating 91

	20													ITE	MS	OF	SA	NITA	TIC	N															
	(100# Units)	П					Γ	500		Г			С	entain Equip	ers an	d					Past	teuriza	tion	_		Bott	ling	П		П					
(MILK PRODUCT/ PASTEURIZATION/ FILLING AND CAPPING)	Pounds Processed Daily (10	Floors	Walls and Celling	Doors and Windows	Ughting	Veritiation	Separate Rooms	Toleb'Semage Disposal Facili	Water Supply	Hand Washing Facilities	Mik-Plant Cleaniness	Sanbary Piping	Construction and Repair	Cleaning	Sanbation	Storage of Clean Foultness	Storage of Service Address	No. of column St. of column St.	TOWNS TOT TOTAL STREET	Indicating and Recording Themometers	Time and Temperature Controls	Adulteration Controls	Repensizative Heating	Temperature Recording Charts	Cooling	Container Filing	Capping and Sealing	Personnel Cleaniness Protective Cistring	Vehicles	Sumoundings	Dacterial Count	Colibra Count	Total Debts <sup>2</sup>	Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) <sup>2</sup> X Total Debis <sup>2</sup>	REMARKS
	ITEM	1	2	3	40	4b	6	6	7	8	9	10	11	1200	120-е	13	14	15ac	158		(2)	166	16c	-16d	17	18	19	20	21	22					
	WEIGHT	1	1	2	4	1	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	5	5	3	2	3	5	4	15	3	10	4	5	5		1	1	2	5"	10"			
Metro Dairy Co.	1,000	Ц											3						5							П	$\Box$	$\Box$	$\Box$	$\Box$			8	8,000	100 - 8 = 92
Metro Receiving Station (680)		1		2								3				$\vdash$		3	t							Н		$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$	+		9		Above 90, (Would not be included in
		П	_				F								L	F			F				F			П	_	$\neg$	4	$\neg$					Plant Score)
White Milk Transfer Station (220)							$\vdash$					3				$\vdash$			t						5			+	1	2	+		11		100 - 11 = 89, (Below 90)
		Ц					L									L			L				Г			Ц		$\Box$	$\Box$	$\Box$					Subtract Transfer Station Score
		Н	_	_	_		┝	H	H	H				H		╁	H	$\vdash$	t	$\vdash$	H	$\vdash$	H		H	Н	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	Н	3	660	From Plant Score) 92 - 89 = 3 X 220 660
		Ħ					F			F						F			t				F				$\dashv$		$\exists$	$\dashv$	$\exists$				
		Н	_	_			$\vdash$		$\vdash$	H		$\vdash$		$\vdash$	$\vdash$	+	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	t	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	H	$\vdash$		Н	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\vdash$			
TOTALS	1,000	П					Т									Т			Т				Т			П	$\neg$	$\neg$	$\neg$	$\neg$	$\neg$			8,660	

Footnotes: <sup>1</sup> Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - <u>Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)</u> <sup>2</sup> X <u>Total Debits</u> = 100 - <u>8.660</u> = 8.7 = 91.3 = **91**Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)

1,000

FORM FDA 2359L (11/15) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total Debits for each milk plant, receiving station or transfer station is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item or sub-item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an X under that Item).

<sup>3</sup> Total Pounds Processed Daily are calculated in 100# Units.

<sup>\*</sup> Used only when not in compliance. Prorate by product.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALT SERVICES FOOD AND DRUG ADM		IN	TER	(Su	bmit a	ILK SH an origina he FDA R	and tw	0 (2)	)	OR'	Т		B	3-A. C	OUNT	RY	
1. NAME OF SHIPPER				2. CITY	3.10-0	NOT DATE	egionai	Ollic	~)		1.5	STATI					
Clean Milk Dairy				Moos	ville						- 1.	State		7			
4. STREET		5.	_	ANT or B				6.			_	DUCT C					
2525 Milky Way			$\overline{}$	0	0 2	2 5	0	1	2	4	5	7	9 1	n la	18	19	20
zeze ming may							U	1	2	-4	15	7 3	, 1	<u>,                                    </u>	10	19	20
				7. 8	URVE	EY DATA											
	DAIRY FARMS TYPE OF RATING AREA X INDIVIDUAL	TRAN	/ING OF			MILK PLAN	r i					ENFO	RCEM	ENT			
RATING (%)	92	N	A			91							90				
DATE OF RATING	8/5-7/2016	N	A		:	8/3-4/20	16					8/2	2/201	6			
TOTAL NUMBER	120	N	A			1						APP	ENDIX	N.			
NUMBER INSPECTED	34	N	A			1						N COMP		EWIT	н тне		
VOLUME RECEIVE DAILY (Cwt)		N	A			9,800			PROVI		X YES	APPEND G	NA N. ?	1	□ NK	0	
RATING AGENCY	CERTIFIED RATING					S CERTIFIC	ATION				E	ARLIES1	RATIN	IG DA	TE		
SHD SDL	Mary Milkra	ter				9, 2017			м	ONTH			YAC	Т		YEAR	t.
OTHER									0	П	8	0	3	$\top$	1	Т	6
AGENCY PROVIDING CONTIN	UOUS SUPERVISION	OF SUPPL	Υ.							_	EXP	PIRATIO		NG D/		_	
State Department o	f Health								7.00	NTH	_	-	AY	+	_	YEAR	
				1400		DV CONT	201		0		8	0	2		1	_	8
APPROVED LABORATORY	EXPIRATION					RY CONTI		_									
NUMBER	DATE	F	ROCES	SED MIL	K TES	TS APPROV	ED	4			RAWI	MILK TE	STSA	PPRO	VED		
A. 00001 B. 00302	A. 02/2017 B. 09/2017	SPC	COLI	PHOS	RBC		RESIDUE STS		COUN		CI	SOMAT ELL COL		D	RUG	RESI	DUE
0.0002	D. 05/201/	A. 2_	21	A 28	22	A. 9C28	9D3	A	2		A	12		A 90	C285	9D3	
			B.		8.												
DATE OF LAST TWO SOLUTIONS	MDI FR	B	-	6	-	B	T.C.	_	3_	cere	_	16	_	В			-
A. 09/2015	A. 04/2016	APPROVE				RY AND DA Dept. La		1	VATER TI	E915/	MPPR		MPN				
в. 04/2014	в. 09/2015					10/2015						240					
	N (Written permission	n from a s	•					of FF	A prior	to the	publ	ication :	of a rat	ing/lis	stina 1		
LETTER OF PERMISSION TO						X YE			_	] NO	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			-	-		
					REPO	ORT BY RA		GEN	-								
DATE OF REPORT		ED BY (Sig															
8/10/2016	Mary					ation R			icer								
			FOR FL	A REG	ONAL	. OFFICE (	JSE ONL	.Y									
Written permission from :					n file	and public	cation of	ratio	ng/listin	g rec	omn	nendec	i.				
DATE	SIGNATU	RE (FDA M	nik Spec	selist)													
Submit separate Form for each     The expiration rating date is tw     Enforcement Rating is <90, than     date of 3/31/2016.	o (2) years after the ear																

FORM FDA 23591 (10/13) FRONT (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

11. MILK PLANTS: List below the Name and Address of all shippers of raw milk and milk products received during the thirty (30) days preceding the earliest rating date of the Rating; Sanitation Compliance Rating; and Expiration Rating Date. Plants receiving milk from an unlisted source(s), or source(s) with a Sanitation Compliance Rating below ninety (90), are not eligible for listing in the electronic publication, IMS LIST - SANITATION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT RATINGS OF INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPERS

NAME OF SHIPPER (Include BTU or Plant #)	CITY AND STATE/COUNTRY	SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATING	EXPIRATION RATING DATE
ABC BTU	Bulls Role, State/Country	91	12/19/2017
Udderly Delightful BTU	Tootle Town, State/Country	92	06/21/2018
GMI Good Dairy	Paradise, State/Country	90	04/28/2018

#### INSTRUCTIONS:

Completed Forms shall be received by Milk Safety Branch (HFS-316) to be included in the IMS List.

Additional explanation is offered for the following items:

Item 1: Name of Shipper - Limit shipper's name to not more than thirty-four (34) characters and spaces. If a receiving or transfer station is to be listed, please include "Receiving or Transfer Station" or "(RS)" or "(TR)" with the name of the shipper. Suggested abbreviations are published in the IMS List.

Item 5: Plant or BTU # - When the IMS Number is less than five (5) digits; leave the left-hand square(s) blank.

Item 6: Product Code #'s - Enter Product Code #s starting in the first (left-hand) space. Product Codes # are listed below:

#### PRODUCT CODES:

- 1. Raw Milk for Pasteurization (May Include Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 2. Pasteurized Milk, Reduced Fat, Lowfat, or Skim
- 3. Heat-Treated (May Include Reduced Fat, Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 4. Pasteurized Half & Half, Coffee Cream, Creams
- 5. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Milk and Milk Products
- 6. Aseptic Mlk and Mlk Products (Including Flavored)
- 7. Cottage Cheese (Including Lowfat, Nonfat or Dry Curd)
- 8. Cultured or Acidified Milk and Milk Products
- 9. Yogurt (Including Lowfat or Skim)
- Sour Cream Products (Acidified or Cultured)
- 11. Whey (Liquid)
- 12. Whey (Condensed)
- 13. Whey (Dry)
- 14. Modified Whey Products (Condensed or Dry)
- 15. Condensed Milk and Milk Products
- 16. Nonfat Dry Milk
- 17. Buttermilk (Condensed or Dry)
- 18. Eggnog
- 19. Lactose Reduced Milk and Milk Products
- 20. Low-Sodium Milk and Milk Products
- Milk and Milk Products with Added Safe and Suitable Microbial Organisms (Such as Lactobacillus acidophilus)
- 22. Dry Milk and Milk Products
- 23. Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 24. Cholesterol Modified Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 25. Cholesterol Modified Fluid Milk Products

- 26. Cream (Condensed or Dry)
- 27. Blended Dry Products
- 28. Whey Cream
- 29. Whey Cream and Cream Blends
- 30. Grade "A" Lactose
- 31. Raw Goat Milk for Pasteurization
- 32. Pasteurized Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 33. Cultured Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 34. Condensed or Dry Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 35. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 36. Aseptic Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 37. Raw Sheep Milk for Pasteurization
- 38. Pasteurized Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 39. Cultured Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 40. Concentrated Raw Milk Products for Pasteurization
- 41. Concentrated Pasteurized Mlk Products
- 42. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Milk
- 43. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Whey
- 44. Raw Water Buffalo Milk for Pasteurization
- 45. Pasteurized Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 46. Cultured Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 47. Raw Camel Milk for Pasteurization
- 48. Pasteurized Carnel Milk and Milk Products
- 49. Cultured Camel Milk and Milk Products

FORM FDA 2359i (10/13) BACK (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

PUBLIC H FOOL	NT OF HEALT IN SERVICES EALTH SERV O AND DRUG NISTRATION	ICES	INT	ERSTATE MI REPO		IPPER's		INTE	RNAL US	E ONLY	AL271	11
1. NAME OF SHIP			2.CITY					TE (COL	NTRY			
ABC MILK PLAN			ANYW	VT or BTU #			_	DUCTO	ODEW		_	_
92 BOOMESBOR	O AVENUE			ARMS 123					04 08	10		
				7, 5	URVE	DATA	-					
		DAIRY	FARMS							1		
		TYPE OF	RATIN		ECEIVIN		Ι.	MILK PL	ALTE!		NFORCEM	ENT
			EA @	TRA	SFER 5	TATIONS		MILK PL	-ever		N. COLCER	E141
RATING (%)		- 9	0.					V.	Ĭ.	1	. 87	
DATE OF RATIN		10/01	/2014					10/03	2014		10/05/20	994
TOTAL NUMBER			0					- 1		180	APPENDO	
NUMBER INSPE	CTED	-1	D					- 1		COME	LIANCEV	ATH THE
VOLUME RECEN	VED DAILY							100	00	1	N ?	
RATING AGENC  SHO SE  TPG CT  AGENCY PROVI	A SOL RO	GER RABE	ut.					ATION O	RTIFICATIO IATE	10 / 01)	ST RATING 2014	
STATE DEPARTI	market by the second of the second			707 5077-21						DATE <sup>2</sup> 03/31/		1100
8.LABORATO	RY CONTRO	DL.			- 1	ROCESS	PROV		STS		MILK T	
APPROVED LABORATORY NUMBER	EXPIRATION DATE	DATE OF	LAST	TWO (2) SPLIT	SPC	COL	PHOS	RBC	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS	VIABLE	CELL COUNTS	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS
A: 00012	02 / 2016	07 / 20	15	0872014	2	29	26	22	C3.C14.D3	2.3	12	CEDS
B		- 1		x-								
C		-										
D.			-	1								
E APPROVE	D WATER LABO	PATORY	-	APPROVED WA	TERIA	BORATORY	DATE		WATER	TESTS AP	PROVED	
Sernove	60012	ana ront		SPECIAL VEC	02/201		UNIC		THE STATE OF	-24	enoves	
	of a rating/i	isting.) E: 10 /	09 /	2014		all be fil	ed at	the Ra	ting Age	ncy prio	r to the	
10.SUBMISS				TED BY		_	_	TITLE				
DATE OF REPOR	11		OGER	RABBIT					OFFICER			
				R FDA REGI		OFFICE I	JSE O	NLY				
DATE		F	DA Reg	ponal Milk Spico	NAT.							
9/30/2013 except 10/1/2011 with a r	date is two (2) y	ears after the ent Rating e piralion rati	:=90, lb									

# 18. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration	1003				ACCP SYSTE			
DATE TYPE OF A								
January 23-25, 2016 REGU	LATORY* REG	ULATO	RY	FOLL	ow-up X	LISTING	FDAA	AUDIT OF LISTING
FIRM NAME My HACCP Dairy Plant		-	CEI 23	NSE/	PERMIT NO.		IMS PLANT 00-123	T NO.
ADDRESS (Line 1) 234 Milk Road								
ADDRESS (Line 2)		CITY	(				STATE/	ZIP CODE
		My	Cit	У			COUNTRY	11111
IMS LISTED PRODUCT(S) MANUFACTURE								(s) Issue Date(s)
Vitamin D Milk, Vitamin A & D Reduced For D Fat Free Milk, Chocolate Vitamin D Milk						3/15/2	012	
Vitamin A&D Lowfat Nutrish 1%, and Cho	colate Vitamin A & D Fat Fre	e Milk	(IN	MS P	roduct Code 2)			
Hazard Analysis	HACCP Plan							
Issue Date(s) 3/15/2014	Issue Date(s) 3/15	/2014	1					
ITEMS MARKED (	ND NOT MEET THE NCIMS I Starred ★★ Items ar					SCRIB	ED BELOW	
*NOTE: This regulatory NCIMS System Aud								
permit if items marked on this audit report Sections 3. and 6., and Appendix K. for deta		ime of	the	neod	t regulatory audit or	within e	stablished time	elines. (Refer to PMO
Section 1 HAZARD ANALYSIS		5	Sect	tion 6	HACCP PLA	AN COR	RECTIVE ACT	ION
<ul> <li>A. Flow Diagram and Hazard Analysis cond group of milk or milk product processed."</li> </ul>		1		Α.	Corrective actions whe deviations occurred.	n defined	in the HACCP PI	lan were followed when
<ul> <li>B. Written Hazard Analysis identifies all pote hazards and determines those that are re</li> </ul>	asonably likely to occur (including	Ţ		В.	Predetermined corrects cause of the deviation			ACCP Plan ensure the
hazards within and outside the processing XX C. Written Hazard Analysis reassessed after	changes in raw materials, formulation			C.	Corrective action taken defined in the HACCP		ucts produced du	ring a deviation from CL(s)
processing methods/systems, distribution  D. Written Hazard Analysis signed and date		0		D.	Affected milk or milk pr held, AND a review to			deviation segregated and bility performed, AND
Section 2 HACCP PLAN		┨			corrective action taken that is injurious to healt			ted milk and/or milk product
A. Written HACCP Plan prepared for each k processed.**	nd or group of milk or milk product	10		E.	Cause of deviation was	correcte	d.	
<ul> <li>B. Written HACCP Plan implemented.</li> </ul>		1		Ε.	Reassessment of HAC	CP Plan	performed and me	odified accordingly.
C. Written HACCP Plan identifies all milk or reasonably likely to occur.	milk product safety hazards that are	- D		G.	Corrective actions docu	umented.		
D. Written HACCP Plan signed and dated a:	required.							
		5	Sect	tion 7	HACCP PLA	AN VER	IFICATION & V	ALIDATION
Section 3 HACCP PLAN CRITICAL C	ONTROL POINTS (CCP)	1		Α.	HACCP plan defines w	erification	procedures, incl	uding frequency.
A. HACCP Plan lists CCP(s) for each milk of as reasonably likely to occur.	milk product safety hazard identifie	d [		В.	Verification activities ar	re conduc	ted and comply w	with HACCP Plan.
<ul> <li>B. CCP(s) identified are adequate control m safety hazard(s) identified.</li> </ul>	easures for the milk or milk product	Ü		C.	Reassessment of HAC	CP Plan	conducted annual	lly, OR
C. Control measures associated with CCP(s	listed are appropriate at the	-			1. After changes that	could affe	ect the hazard and	alysis, OR
Processing step identified.  Section 4 HACCP PLAN CRITICAL LI	MITS (CL)	$\dashv$				mulation,	processing meth	uding raw materials and/or ods/systems, distribution
A. HACCP Plan lists critical limits for each C		- L		D.				ts performed as required and
B. CL(s) are adequate to control the hazard     C. CL(s) are achievable with existing monits:		-11	_		at the frequency define			is perioritied as required arisi
D. CL(s) are met.		Ţ		E.	CCP monitoring record as required.	ls review	ed and document	that values are within CL(s)
Section 5 HACCP PLAN MONITORIN	·	1		F.	Corrective action recor	d review	ed as required.	
A. HACCP Plan defines monitoring procedu frequency, whom, etc.)	res for each CCP. (what, how,	r		G.				s testing results defined in
B. Monitoring procedures as defined in the I		- I`	_		HACCP Plan reviewed			
<ul> <li>C. Monitoring procedures as defined in the I CL(s) at each CCP.</li> </ul>	1ACCP Plan adequately measure	I		H.	Records reviewed as n	equired, i	ncluding date and	f signature.
D. Monitoring record data consistent with the	e actual value(s) observed during							
the audit.								

FORM FDA 2359m (10/13)

Page 1

Milk Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Station - NCIMS HACCP S	YSTEM AUDIT REPORT
ITEMS MARKED DID NOT MEET THE NCIMS I	IACCP PROGRAM CRITERIA DESCRIBED BELOW
Starred ★★ Items an	e Critical Listing Elements
Section 8 HACCP SYSTEM RECORDS	Section 10 OTHER NCIMS REQUIREMENTS
<ul> <li>A. Required information included in the record, e.g., nameflocation of processor and/or date/time of activity and/or signature/initials of person performing operation and/or identity of product/product code.</li> </ul>	A. Incoming milk supply from NCIMS listed source(s) with sanitation scores of 90 or better or acceptable HACCP Listing.**
B. Processing/other information entered on record at time observed.	☐ B. Drug residue control program implemented.**
C. Records retained as required, e.g., one year for refrigerated products and two	C. Drug residue control program records complete.
years for preserved, shelf-stable or frozen products.	D. Labeling compliance as required.
D. Records relating to adequacy of equipment or processes retained for 2 years.	□ E. Prevention of adulteration of milk products.
<ul> <li>E. HACCP records correct, complete and available for official review</li> </ul>	F. Regulatory samples comply with standards.
☐ F. Information on HACCP records not falsified.**	G. Pasteurization Equipment design and construction.
Section 9 HACCP SYSTEM PREREQUISITE PROGRAMS (PPs)	H. Approved Laboratory Utilized - (if not, Rating not conducted)
A. Required PP written, implemented, and in substantial compliance by firm.	L. Other items as noted.
1. Safety of the water that comes into contact with milk or milk contact	
surfaces (including steam and ice);	Section 11 HACCP SYSTEM TRAINING (Individuals trained according to Appendix K. or alternatively have equivalent
<ul> <li>2. Condition and cleanliness of equipment milk contact surfaces.</li> </ul>	job experience.)
<ul> <li>3. Prevention of cross contamination from unsanitary objects and/or practices to milk and milk products, packaging material and other milk</li> </ul>	A. PPs developed by trained personnel.
contact surfaces, including utensils, gloves, outer garments, etc., and	B. Hazard Analysis developed by trained personnel.
from raw product to processed product;	C. HACCP Plan developed by trained personnel.
Maintenance of hand washing, hand sanitizing, and toilet facilities;	D. HACCP Plan validation, modification or reassessment performed by trained
<ul> <li>5. Protection of milk and milk product, milk packaging material, and milk contact surfaces from adulteration with lubricants, fuel, pesticides,</li> </ul>	personnel.
cleaning compounds, sanitizing agents, condensate and other chemical, physical and biological contaminants;	HACCP Plan records review performed by trained individual.
	F. Employees trained in monitoring operations.
Proper labeling, storage, and use of toxic compounds.	G. Employees trained in PP operations.
<ol> <li>Control of employee health conditions that could result in the microbio- logical contamination of milk and milk products, milk packaging</li> </ol>	Section 12 HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT FOLLOW-UP ACTION
materials, and milk contact surfaces; and	A. Previous audit findings corrected.
<ul> <li>B. Additional PP's required or justified by the hazard analysis are written and implemented by firm.</li> </ul>	B. Previous audit findings remain corrected at time of this audit.
XX C. PP conditions and practices monitored as required	C. A series of observations that lead to a finding of a potential HACCP System
D. PP monitoring performed at a frequency to ensure conformance.	failure that is likely to result in a compromise to milk or milk product safety.**
<ul> <li>E. Corrections performed in a timely manner when PP monitoring records reflect deficiencies or non-conformities.</li> </ul>	
XX F. PP audited by firm.	
<ul> <li>G. PP monitoring records adequately reflect conditions observed.</li> </ul>	
H. PP signed and dated as required.	Refer to attached Audit Discussion sheet(s) for details.
NAME OF AUDITOR(S) (Please Print)	•
I. M. A. Milkrater	
SIGNATURE	DATE 22.25 2016
I. M. A. Milkrater	January 23-25, 2016

FORM FDA 2359m (10/13)

Page 2

#### NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT DISCUSSION SHEET

FIRM NAME

DATE OF AUDIT

My HACCP Dairy Plant

January 23-25, 2016

# EXPLANATION OF DEVIATION/DEFICIENCIES/NON-CONFORMITIES THAT DID NOT MEET THE NCIMS HACCP PROGRAM CRITERIA

(Use additional sheets as necessary if entry field is non-expandable.)

NOTE: When Regulatory Audits are conducted, timelines for corrections of all identified deviations, deficiencies and non-conformities shall be established.

Section 1.C. - The firm has failed to reassess the hazard analysis after changes in raw materials, formulations, processing methods/systems, distribution, and intended use or consumer as evidenced by the lack of the hazard analysis being reviewed and re-dated after the 6/2015 addition of a new ingredient, chocolate slurry and again after the case washing area was relocated 7/31/2015. The current hazard analysis documented and signed is dated 3/15/2014.

Section 9.A.2. - The plant has failed to write and implement required prerequisite programs that are in substantial compliance with the HACCP requirements. Specifically, the plant has failed to monitor and comply with the HACCP requirement for the Condition and Cleanliness of Milk Contact Surfaces of Equipment as evidenced by the following: Product residues were observed in raw silos #1, #2 and #3, blending vat B and tank R7 following CIP; stabilizer residues were observed on the bottom of raw storage tank R16 after it had been cleaned; and there is no brief written description or checklist of monitoring the cleaning effectiveness after cleaning has occurred.

Based upon the equipment cleaning history at this milk plant, cleaning effectiveness checks shall be addressed in the written prerequisite program.

Section 9.C. & F. - The plant has failed to monitor or audit prerequisite program conditions, as required to ensure conformance. Specifically, the written procedures for CIP of raw silos #1, #2 and #3, blending vat B and tank R7 stipulated an alkali wash at 147°F for 20 minutes. An examination of the CIP charts for those circuits indicated that the temperature of the alkali wash ranged from 118°F to 128°F. There was no evidence that any of the CIP charts were monitored and signed by the operator or verified by the sanitation shift supervisor as required by the prerequisite program. The operator shall monitor, and the sanitation shift supervisor shall verify CIP charts as required by the written prerequisite program.

Section 11.D. - The plant failed to adequately train employees in their responsibilities related to the HACCP System. Specifically the employees operating the CIP systems and their supervisors evaluating the CIP recording charts. (Refer to Section 9. C. & F comments.)

I. M. A. Milkrater

FORM FDA 2359m (10/13)

Audit Report Discussion Sheet

NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration (To be included with all NCIMS HACCP Listings and FDA Audits) STATE REGULATORY AGENCY DATE OF EVALUATION January 23-25, 2016 State Department of Health FIRM NAME IMS PLANT NO. LICENSE/PERMIT NO. My HACCP Dairy Plant 123 00-123 ADDRESS 234 Milk Road, My City, MY 11111

# EXPLANATION OF CONCERNS NOTED REGARDING REGULATORY AGENCY OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM

(Use additional sheets if necessary.)

A narrative description shall be provided as a part of all NCIMS HACCP Listings and FDA Audits, including aseptic and/or retort milk plants with NCIMS HACCP Listings. This report shall include an evaluation of the following requirements:

- 1. Milk plant, receiving station or transfer station holds a valid permit.
- My HACCP Dairy Plant permit #123 is valid. It was issued January 1, 2016 and expires December 31, 2016.
- Milk plant, receiving station or transfer station audited by a HACCP trained Regulatory Agency auditor at the minimum required frequency and follow-ups conducted as required.
- The routine milk plant regulatory audits were conducted at the required frequencies. Follow up audits to verify correction of non-conformities from previous audits are not being conducted until the next routine audit. The last sweet water sample (January 5, 2016) was violative; therefore, the previous minimum frequency of once each six (6) months has been changed to once each four (4) months. (Note: The follow up sample taken January 11, 2016 was satisfactory.)
- 3. Requirements interpreted in accordance with the Grade "A" PMO as indicated by past audits. The regulatory audit made August 3-5, 2015 did not note the need to re-evaluate the hazard analysis after the new chocolate slurry system was installed or after the case washer was moved. The October 26-28, 2015 regulatory audit did not question the equipment plant cleaning prerequisite program even though ongoing problems with equipment cleaning were observed in the plant records and by observation of the regulatory inspector. In the case of such repeated problems, in addition to assuring that the equipment is cleaned before being used again, the Regulatory Agency should be requiring the milk plant to investigate the cause of the problem and modify their HACCP system, if needed, to prevent reoccurrence.
- Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency. (Not applicable to receiving stations, transfer stations, aseptic milk plants and retort milk plants.)

All equipment tests were conducted at the required frequencies for HTST #1 and HTST #2.

- Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required.
   Sweet water and glycol samples were taken at the required frequency and, with the exception of the January
   2016 sample, all results were satisfactory.
- Samples of milk plant's milk and/or milk products collected at the required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made. (Not applicable to receiving and transfer stations.)
   Only three (3) samples of fat free chocolate milk were taken between March 2015 and September 2015.
- Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods.

One (1) evening/weekend Industry Plant Sampler had not been evaluated in the last two (2) years.

- Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required.
- Two (2) of four (4) high Coliform counts for whole milk chocolate were observed (April 6, 2015 [Coliform 40] and June 21, 2015 [Coliform 26]; however a warning letter was not sent.
- Records systematically maintained and current.
- Overall, the records are generally up to date and accurate.

FORM FDA 2359n (10/13)

POOD AND DE			ES	INTE		(Subm	it an o	orig	inal a	and to	NO (2	2)	PO	RT			3-A.	COUNT	RY	
1. NAME OF SHIPPER								-DA	Reg	iiona	Om	ce)		_						
My HACCP Mi	Il Plant					My (								- 1 -	MY		11			
4. STREET	ik i iant			5.		PLANT	_				6.			_	ODUC				_	
234 Milk Road				5.				_	-	-	-		-	_	_	_	_		_	_
254 MIIK ROAU						0	0	1	2	3	2	4	5	7	8	9	$\perp$			$\perp$
					7. SUI	RVEY D	ATA													
	TYPE O	FARMS FRATING INDIVIDUAL		CENING					PLANT						ENF	ORCE	EMEN.	г		
RATING (%)	9	0*		NA					P Lis ptab	9.0					Ac	cept	table			
DATE OF RATING				NA			1/2	23-2	25/20	16										
TOTAL NUMBER				NA					1						API	PENC	OX N.			
NUMBER INSPECTED				NA					1									EWITH	TH	E
VOLUME RECEIVE DAILY (Cwf)				NA				9,	800			PRO		YES	F APP	PEND	OX N.?	□ N	0	
RATING AGENCY		RATING OFFIC					FICER			CATIO	ON			EA	RLIES	TRA	TING	DATE		
SDA DE	I. M. A	. Milkrate	r			-	ct 12					М	ONT	н		DAY	,		YEA	R
OTHER	_											0	Т	1	2	П	3	1	T	6
AGENCY PROVIDING CO			F SUPPLY	Y								<b>—</b>	ONTH	_	RATIO	N RA		DATE	YEA	D
State Departmen	nt of Hea	ilth										0	T	1	2	_	2	1	Ť	8
				8. L	ABORA	TORY	CONT	ROL					_	_		_	_	1	_	
APPROVED LABORATOR	RY NUMBER	EXPIRATION	DATE	Ι.,	ROCESS	SED MILE	TEST	re a	DODO	VED			_	DAM	MILKS	FEST	e add	ROVED	_	
A. 00001		A. 02/2017		l-	T	T MILE	TEST					-		I						
B. 00302		B. 09/2017		SPC	COLI	PHOS	RB	C	DRUG	RESI		COU		c	SOM ELL C			DRUG	RES IST	
				A. 2	A 21	A. 28	A. 2	2	A. 90	288	D3	A. 2	_	A.J	12		_ /	9C2	28.9	D3
				B	В	в	В		B		_	в. 3	_	B				B		
	09/2015 09/2015			APPRO		te Heal tate EF	th D	ept.	Lab			WATE	R TE	STS	APPRO 24	-MI				
9. PUBLICA	TION (Writte	n permission fr	om a sh	ipper sh	all be file	ed at a F	Region	al C	ffice	of FD	A prik	or to th	е ри	blicat	tion of	a ra	ting/li	sting.)		
LETTER OF PERMISSION	TO PUBLISH	IS TRANSMITT	ED WITH	THIS RE	PORT?		X	YES				□ N	10							
			10. SUB	MISSIO	N OF R	EPORT	BY R	ATII	NG A	GEN	CY									
DATE OF REPORT		SUBMITTE	D BY (Sk	gnature a	nd Title)															
1/26/2016		2. 24.	A. Mil	Inator,	Milk	Sanita	ation	ı R	atin	g O	ffic	er								
			FC	OR FDA	REGIO	NAL OF	FICE	USE	ONL	Y.										
Written permission fr dated	om shipper						on file	e ar	nd pu	blica	tion	of rati	ng/li	sting	reco	mm	ende	d.		
DATE		SIGNATUR	RE (FDA A	Milk Speci	ialist)															
Submit separate Form for The expiration rating date Enforcement Rating is <90 date of 3/31/2/016	is two (2) year	ers after the earlie																		

FORM FDA 23591 (10/13) FRONT (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

11. MILK PLANTS: List below the Name and Address of all shippers of raw milk and milk products received during the thirty (30) days preceding the earliest rating date of the Rating; Sanitation Compliance Rating; and Expiration Rating Date. Plants receiving milk from an unlisted source(s), or source(s) with a Sanitation Compliance Rating below ninety (90), are not eligible for listing in the electronic publication, IMS LIST — SANITATION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT RATINGS OF INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPERS

NAME OF SHIPPER (Include BTU or Plant #)	CITY AND STATE/COUNTRY	SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATING	EXPIRATION RATING DATE
Cows BTU #1	Milktown, State/Country	90	12/19/2017
Udderly Delightful BTU #2	Tootle Town, State/Country	92	06/02/2016
Moosville BTU	Cow Palace, State/Country	94	04/12/2016

#### INSTRUCTIONS:

Completed Forms shall be received by Milk Safety Branch (HFS-316) to be included in the IMS List.

Additional explanation is offered for the following Items:

Item 1: Name of Shipper - Limit shipper's name to not more than thirty-four (34) characters and spaces. If a receiving or transfer station is to be listed, please include "Receiving or Transfer Station" or "(RS)" or "(TR)" with the name of the shipper. Suggested abbreviations are published in the IMS List.

Item 5: Plant or BTU # - When the IMS Number is less than five (5) digits; leave the left-hand square(s) blank.

Item 6: Product Code #'s - Enter Product Code #'s starting in the first (left-hand) space. Product Codes # are listed below:

#### PRODUCT CODES:

- 1. Raw Milk for Pasteurization (May Include Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- Pasteurized Milk, Reduced Fat, Lowfat, or Skim.
- 3. Heat-Treated (May Include Reduced Fat, Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 4. Pasteurized Half & Half, Coffee Cream, Creams
- 5. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Milk and Milk Products
- 6. Aseptic Milk and Milk Products (Including Flavored)
- 7. Cottage Cheese (Including Lowfat, Nonfat or Dry Curd)
- 8. Cultured or Acidified Milk and Milk Products
- 9. Yogurt (Including Lowfat or Skim)
- 10. Sour Cream Products (Acidified or Cultured)
- 11. Whey (Liquid)
- 12. Whey (Condensed)
- 13. Whey (Dry)
- 14. Modified Whey Products (Condensed or Dry)
- 15. Condensed Milk and Milk Products
- 16. Nonfat Dry Milk
- 17. Buttermilk (Condensed or Dry)
- 18. Eggnog
- 19. Lactose Reduced Milk and Milk Products
- 20. Low-Sodium Milk and Milk Products
- Milk and Milk Products with Added Safe and Suitable Microbial Organisms (Such as Lactobacillus acidophilus)
- 22. Dry Milk and Milk Products
- 23. Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 24. Cholesterol Modified Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 25. Cholesterol Modified Fluid Milk Products

- 26. Cream (Condensed or Dry)
- 27. Blended Dry Products
- 28. Whey Cream
- 29. Whey Cream and Cream Blends
- 30. Grade "A" Lactose
- 31. Raw Goat Milk for Pasteurization
- 32. Pasteurized Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 33. Cultured Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 34. Condensed or Dry Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 35. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 36. Aseptic Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 37. Raw Sheep Milk for Pasteurization
- 38. Pasteurized Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 39. Cultured Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 40. Concentrated Raw Milk Products for Pasteurization
- 41. Concentrated Pasteurized Milk Products
- 42. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Milk
- 43. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Whey
- 44. Raw Water Buffalo Milk for Pasteurization
- 45. Pasteurized Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 46. Cultured Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 47. Raw Carnel Milk for Pasteurization
- 48. Pasteurized Camel Milk and Milk Products
- 49. Cultured Carnel Milk and Milk Products

FORM FDA 2359i (10/13) BACK (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

# 21. FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT HACCP LISTING)

Interstate Mili	FOR PUBLICATION k Shipper's Listing	
PPER's NAME		
HACCP Milk Plant		
RESS		
Milk Road, My City, MY 11111		
You are hereby advised that on (date[s]) January	23-25, 2016	a Rating or
HACCP Listing Audit was conducted with the foll	lowing results:	
Producer Supply (BTU) 90*	Transfer Station NA	
Receiving Station NA	Milk Plant Acceptable HA	CCP Listing
Enforcement Rating (For all Ratings and for atta	sched farm supplies of HACCP	istings) Acceptable
The results will be transmitted to the U.S. Food an in the "IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Rating or HACCP Listing is valid for a period not date, except if the Enforcement Rating is less that a period not to exceed six (6) months from the except conference on Interstate Milk Shipments.	cement Ratings of Interstate Mile to exceed two (2) years from n 90 percent (<90%), then the	Ik Shippers". The official the earliest rating/listing official Rating is valid for
Publication	Permission Section	
Permission is hereby granted to release and publis		CP Listing for use by
Regulatory Agencies and prospective purchasers.		or many tor and of
It is understood and agreed by the undersigned t	hat the official Rating or HACCP	The law Assume areas
review this supply at any time during the two (2) above. It is further understood that we will notify change should occur, which affects our raw milk status, including products listed.	)-year or six (6) month period, res the Rating or HACCP Listing Age	pectively, referred to ney if any significant
above. It is further understood that we will notify change should occur, which affects our raw milk	y-year or six (6) month period, res the Rating or HACCP Listing Age supply, milk plant, receiving stati intain the Rating or HACCP Syste	pectively, referred to ney if any significant on or transfer station
above. It is further understood that we will notify change should occur, which affects our raw milk status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure to main	p-year or six (6) month period, res the Rating or HACCP Listing Age supply, milk plant, receiving stati intain the Rating or HACCP Syste loval of this listing. or transfer stations, which receive to that milk plant, receiving station wing a Milk Sanitation Compliance	pectively, referred to ney if any significant on or transfer station on at a level, which is milk or milk products or transfer station is e Rating of less than
above. It is further understood that we will notify change should occur, which affects our raw milk status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure to mai acceptable for listing, may result in immediate rem  It is further agreed that plants, receiving stations of for processing into milk or milk products for which listed, are from a non-listed source or a source has	p-year or six (6) month period, rest the Rating or HACCP Listing Age supply, milk plant, receiving stati intain the Rating or HACCP Systemoval of this listing. For transfer stations, which receive the that milk plant, receiving station riving a Milk Sanitation Compliance rawn from the Interstate Milk Shipp	pectively, referred to ney if any significant on or transfer station on at a level, which is milk or milk products or transfer station is e Rating of less than
above. It is further understood that we will notify change should occur, which affects our raw milk status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure to mai acceptable for listing, may result in immediate rem It is further agreed that plants, receiving stations of for processing into milk or milk products for which listed, are from a non-listed source or a source had ninety percent (90%), shall be immediately withdrested that Department of MY State Department of MY State Department of the source of	p-year or six (6) month period, res the Rating or HACCP Listing Age supply, milk plant, receiving stati intain the Rating or HACCP Syste- loval of this listing. or transfer stations, which receive to the that milk plant, receiving station around a Milk Sanitation Compliant rawn from the Interstate Milk Shippent of Health	metale pectively, referred to ney if any significant on or transfer station on at a level, which is milk or milk products or transfer station is the Rating of less than over's List.
above. It is further understood that we will notify change should occur, which affects our raw milk status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure to mai acceptable for listing, may result in immediate rem  It is further agreed that plants, receiving stations of for processing into milk or milk products for which listed, are from a non-listed source or a source had ninety percent (90%), shall be immediately withdown SIGN AND RETURN TO MY State Department DAYS OF RECEIPT.  NAME OF SHIPPER  My HACCP Dairy Plant	p-year or six (6) month period, res the Rating or HACCP Listing Age supply, milk plant, receiving stati intain the Rating or HACCP Syste- loval of this listing. or transfer stations, which receive to the that milk plant, receiving station around a Milk Sanitation Compliant rawn from the Interstate Milk Shippent of Health	metale pectively, referred to ney if any significant on or transfer station on at a level, which is milk or milk products or transfer station is the Rating of less than over's List.
above. It is further understood that we will notify change should occur, which affects our raw milk status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure to mai acceptable for listing, may result in immediate rem  It is further agreed that plants, receiving stations of for processing into milk or milk products for which listed, are from a non-listed source or a source had ninety percent (90%), shall be immediately withdread SIGN AND RETURN TO MY State Department DAYS OF RECEIPT.  NAME OF SHIPPER  My HACCP Dairy Plant  SIGNATURE OF REPRESENTATIVE	p-year or six (6) month period, res the Rating or HACCP Listing Age supply, milk plant, receiving stati intain the Rating or HACCP Syste- loval of this listing. or transfer stations, which receive to the that milk plant, receiving station around a Milk Sanitation Compliant rawn from the Interstate Milk Shippent of Health	metale pectively, referred to ney if any significant on or transfer station on at a level, which is milk or milk products or transfer station is the Rating of less than over's List.
above. It is further understood that we will notify change should occur, which affects our raw milk status, including products listed.  It is understood and agreed that the failure to mai acceptable for listing, may result in immediate rem  It is further agreed that plants, receiving stations of for processing into milk or milk products for which listed, are from a non-listed source or a source had ninety percent (90%), shall be immediately withdown SIGN AND RETURN TO MY State Department DAYS OF RECEIPT.  NAME OF SHIPPER  My HACCP Dairy Plant	p-year or six (6) month period, res the Rating or HACCP Listing Age supply, milk plant, receiving stati intain the Rating or HACCP Syste- loval of this listing. or transfer stations, which receive to the that milk plant, receiving station around a Milk Sanitation Compliant rawn from the Interstate Milk Shippent of Health	metale pectively, referred to ney if any significant on or transfer station on at a level, which is milk or milk products or transfer station is the Rating of less than over's List.

# 22. FORM FDA 2359o-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING (EXAMPLE: BTU AND MILK PLANT RATING LISTING)

	OR PUBLICATION Shipper's Listing	
PPER's NAME	,	
an Milk Dairy		
RESS 25 Milky Way, Moosville, State 00007		
You are hereby advised that on (date[s]) August 3-	-7, 2016	a Rating
or HACCP Listing Audit was conducted with the follo	owing results:	
Producer Supply (BTU) 92%	Transfer Station NA	
Receiving Station NA	Milk Plant 91%	
Enforcement Rating (For all Ratings and for attack	hed farm supplies of HACCI	P listings) 90%
in the "IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforce Rating or HACCP Listing is valid for a period not to date, except if the Enforcement Rating is less than a period not to exceed six (6) months from the ea Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments.	o exceed two (2) years from 90 percent (<90%), then the	n the earliest rating/listing official Rating is valid for
Publication F Permission is hereby granted to release and publish Regulatory Agencies and prospective purchasers.	Permission Section the above-stated Rating or HA	CCP Listing for use by
It is understood and agreed by the undersigned that review this supply at any time during the two (2)-yabove. It is further understood that we will notify the change should occur, which affects our raw milk so status, including products listed.	ear or six (6) month period, re e Rating or HACCP Listing A	espectively, referred to gency if any significant
It is understood and agreed that the failure to main acceptable for listing, may result in immediate remove		stem at a level, which is
It is further agreed that plants, receiving stations or for processing into milk or milk products for which listed, are from a non-listed source or a source have ninety percent (90%), shall be immediately withdray	that milk plant, receiving stati ing a Milk Sanitation Complia	on or transfer station is nee Rating of less than
SIGN AND RETURN TO State Department of He DAYS OF RECEIPT.	alth (Name of Agency)	WITHIN FIVE (5)
NAME OF SHIPPER		
Clean Milk Dairy		
SIGNATURE OF REPRESENTATIVE  I. M. Bosse		
TITLE		DATE
Chief Operating Officer		August 12, 2016

# 23. FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products)

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration

#### NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS

(Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products)

(To be included with all NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and Retort Processed after Packaging Program Ratings/HACCP Listings and FDA Check Ratings/HACCP Audits.)

MILK PLANT
ASEPTIC DAIRY

ADDRESS
100 PLANT DRIVE
MOTOPIA, USA 00000

DATE OF RATING
10/8-9-2016

LICENSE/PERMIT NO.
80-001

RATING AGENCY USA MILK CONTROL AGENCY

# EXPLANATION OF CONCERNS NOTED REGARDING CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS UNDER THE NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM

(Use additional sheets as necessary.)

A narrative description shall be provided as a part of all NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and Retort Processed after Packaging Program Ratings/ HACCP Listings and FDA Check Ratings/HACCP Audits. This report shall include an evaluation of the following requirements:

 Is the milk plant registered with FDA LACF and are all of the milk plant's low-acid aseptic and/or retort processed after packaging Grade "A" milk and/or milk products covered by a filing with the FDA LACF using Form FDA 2541c, or Form FDA 2341a, respectively, or equivalent electronic filing?

Yes - FCE number 000000; Grade "A" Products: White Milks (Whole, 2%, 1% and Skim), Flavored Milk, including chocolate (Whole, 2% and Skim).

SID 2005-01-12/001 indirect UHT processor. SUP SID 2005-01-12/2003 Tetra Pak A3/Flex. (Or refer to attached list of additional SIDs and SUP SIDs.)

2. Are the milk plant's filed scheduled processes for all of its low-acid aseptic and/or retort processed after packaging Grade "A" milk and/or milk products developed by a recognized Process Authority qualified as having expert knowledge of thermal processing requirements?

YES-Sterilization Processing System #1 and 2: Processing Authorities, Inc., 400 SE 1<sup>st</sup>, Aseptic, State 00000 (George reviewer); Aseptic Fillers #3 and 4: Good Packaging, LLC, 1111 Filler Lane, Bottle, State 00000 (Johnny B. Sterile).

3. Are the operators of the milk plant's aseptic processing and packaging systems and/or retort processed after packaging systems under the supervision of a person who has attended a school approved by the FDA (such as Better Process Control School or recognized equivalent)?

YES-Supervisors on site are: Jeff Plant-Better Processing Control School-Purdue University (10/2011); Robert Fixer-Better Processing Control School-WA State University (6/2005); and Jamie Boss-Better Processing Control School-University of Arkansas (8/2010).

Is the milk plant currently under an "Order of Determination of Need" for an Emergency Permit?

No.

FORM FDA 2359p (10/13)

# SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Aseptic or Retort Milk Plant)

SHIPPER ASEPTIC OR RETORT DAIRY
DATE OF RATING 10/8-10-2016

ENFORECEMENT RATING 91

		DAIRY FA PART		s						MILK PLA PART I								INDIVIDUAL SH PAR		ER I	RATIN	IG	
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number impacted	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit				5		,	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid permit				5	5	1		Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying			NA	47	NA
2	5	All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P"				15		2	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once every three (3) months; aseptic and retort milk plant and transfer station(s) once every six (5) months	4	3	75	15	11.25	2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			92.06	47 /94	86.5
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5		3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5	5	3	4	All milk and milk products properly labelled	5	4	80	6	4.8
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections				10		4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHSFDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	1	.90	90	10	9								91.3
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10		5	App.	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for aseptic and retort milk plants.)		NA	NA	15	NA	INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization, Ar Processing and Packaging or Retort Processed after Packaging:							
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required				5		6	7	individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required	6	6	100	5	5	Ι.	wen	out Milk Plant, Receiving Stati	on or T	ransfe	r Station:		
7	5	Milling time inspection program established				5		,	6	Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made	5	4	80	10	8.00	Evaluate all Items Part I and record.     With Receiving Station(s) or Transfer Station(s):     Evaluate all Items Part I.							
	6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made.				10			6	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	1	100	10	10	Evaluate all Items Part II., except Numbers 5 and 7. Divide by 75.     Evaluate all Items Part III.  Individual Shipper of Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products:     Assolic and Retort Milk Plants:							
9	6 4pp B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10		,	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	1	1	100	15	15	Evaluate all Items Part II., except Number 5. Divide by 85.     With Attached Raw Supply:     Evaluate all Items Part I.							
10	3,5. 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, neinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15		10		Records systematically maintained and ourset	1	1	100	10	10	١	- Ev	aluate all Items Part II., use 47 aluate all Items Part III. I Unatlached Raw Supplies: aluate all Items Part III., excep aluate all Items Part III., excep aluate all Items Part III., excep	Weight Numb	st. per 1.			
11		Records systematically maintained and oursert				10		Γ		TOTAL CREDIT, Part					92.06	Γ		REMA					
(1) #4 ma	Viola ke-u	ation of Item 7(b) (4 pts)-Sub p tank. Item 15b(c) (5 pts)-C	onth i	ed wa	ter inl	et in	the CIP	#7 di	-Ase	Remarks was in very poor condition. All on the last inspection. ptic (or Retort) 2% chocolate is have a vitamin assay conduct	l exis	vitam	ins A &									rade	
ırı	a: ar	s storage silo #2 and the CIF nd Item 1(a) (1 pt)-The floorin	ng in t	the AP	PS (	or RF	PPS)	L		78.25/85 = 9	2.0	6				L							

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

## STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS

(SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS)

Plant	Blow Mold Plastics	
-------	--------------------	--

															ITEM	SOF	SAN	ITAT	CION	7										
NAME OF PLANT		Roors	Walls and Ceilings	Doors and Windows	Lighting and Ventilation	Separate Rooms	Toilet/Facilities- Sewage Disposal	Water Supply	Handwashing Facilities	Plant Cleanliness	Lockers and Lunchrooms	Disposal of Wastes	Personnel - Practices	Protection From	Contantination	Storage of Materials and Finished Product	Fabrication Equipment	Materials for Construction of Containers	and/or Closures	Waxes, Achesives, Sealants,	Coating and luks	Randling of Containers, Closures and Equipment.	Wrapping and Shipping	Thomas of the same	identification and Kecords	Surroundings	Ontrody Parents	Coliform Count	Total Delote	REMARKS
	ITEM	1	2			15		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	13 d,e,h,j	14		100	16 6,c	17 a,b, d,=	17	18	19	20 a,b,f	20 c,d	_				
	WEIGHT	1	1	2	2	197	3	4	2.	3	2	2	3	3	11	3	5	11	3	3	11	2	4	3)	11	2		10		
Blow Mold Plastics		1	11											1		3				11					e-1	1			1:	5
																											1		L	
									E																		ł		-	SCR = 100-15 = 8
																										1	1	1	1	
								-				9 =														1	1	-	1	
																										H	-	-	-	
TOTALS	1							1	1										H	-					1	1	+	1	1	

Footnotes:

FORM FDA 2359e (11/15)

<sup>1</sup> Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - Total Debits

<sup>2</sup> Total Debits for each manufacturing plant are the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (<u>NOTE</u>: Any Item or sub-item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an "X" under that Item.)

<sup>\*</sup>Used only when not in compliance.

# 26. FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)

nicu.		CALL Y	FILA		art Ch		terns.	-			DEI	200	TO		EDT	neu	CAT	ION			16			FO	R FD	A US	E O	Y.W		-1
Vien			O DR					~			ricatio	of.	Single	e-Se	ervic	e C	ontal	ners		br	1	T	2	3	1	4			5	Ī
									-				ID	ENT	IFIC	ATK	ON.										_			7
1. NA		OF SIT		ERVIC	EFA	BRICA	TING	PLAN	1	Blow		Z. C	onta	iner						3 S	oun	try	ITRY							I
1	STRE	ET	ection	n Poi	nı						_					5	-	W G	3000	NO			6. PROD	-	ODE	M	ATERI	ALCO	NDE 300	H
7 ACF	NCV	ness	CASA	PPLIC	AFLE	PRO	VID)N	G RC	LITINE	E INS	PECTIO	N			-	1	56	57	To	58	56			50	T	6	1	T	62	÷
Resin 2100 Nozzl Za R CERT	Sin	gle-	Servi a Poi	ce Co	onsu	ltan	ts									P		CTO	-	0	1		RIAL	i CODE	(62)			-	3	
7.a.R	ATIN	G/	0000	7,6.	DATE	OF	PLAN	T		'.a. D	PIRATI	ON C	ATE"		_	2,	Close					2. P	etal aper (	includ	es lan	ninaté	ize			
PERS	ONN	TION		CER	TIFIC	21/2	1018									4	Cont	r prodi ainers ainers	and o		¢\$	A. M	etal a etal a							
D 59	10. 1	rios.	á I	1						MOST	я	DAY	9	EAR		products 6. Faper and plastic 6. Closures and other 7. Metal, paper and plastic products 8. Glass														
D St	4	DIE.		DO:	9.00	NCE I	N	6	-	-	5 2	-	_	72	19															
1100				_	_	5							4				Onles	brook	Cit				eramie		Petries	C GFK	Gara			4
				vice m		owning	plane				a peno	e H	SRQ amme			SSC														
NOTE	den e	Sape is Sticatio	one (1)	or two	(2) y ty 55	cars f	rom th	re ear	liest or	rafical	tion state	9	CERT	2.3	ATIO YES		-	NO	DED		50	4-7	NG T		ПРА	UKTIV	AL.			
		-	-									L	ABOR	ATC	DRY	CON	TRO	L	_		-	_			-	_	_			
XX-)	1	2	3	4	5	0	7	9	0	11.	BISPE(	12	RESI	d	(Pla	09 at	15	der ite	eme di	17 a.b.	17	18	to	20	30 c.c.e	21	HAKE!	TREET		
1	X			+		H	H	H		-	+	-		H	1	X		Н		7	H			-	E	Н	-	+		
	4					1.				1			PER	1000		-	NI ION I	711	1				-		r	Ц.		-		_
	it it	ind p is u ne si inder	nders ngle s	tood service that	and te fail	agre abno	ers. eed b aling to m	y the	e un	dersi t an	gned y time bove a able,	that e du	the o	ffici tne	al R per	ating	g Ag	ency me t	or S	SC, above	as ap e cei	plica tifica wal	able, ation from	may is in	revie	ew a	nd a	ppra	ise her	
12.5.7			Aold		stic	s																								
12 b. /	OFFIC	ER A		izing.								1	2 E TI		wne	or.														
	211	1816				13	SUB	Miss	ion i	IF RE	PORT	BY N	EK S	_		_	TINE	ACE	NCY	08.5	SC A	SAPE	TICA	BLE						
13.6 DATE OF REPORT 13.6 RECOMMENDED CLASSIFICATION ACCEPTED   ▼ 15.6 RECOMMENDED CLASSIFICATION ACCEPTED   ▼ 15.6 RECOMMENDED CLASSIFICATION ACCEPTED												BMI	TTED	BY	Signa	nure a				1 1. 1.								Ī		
				_	_		121	162	IJ	INC		_	FOR	? FD	A US	E 01	ULY													
14 DA	TE R	ECEN	ED		15.	PUBLI	CATK	ON D	RATI	NG R	ECOM	MENID	(ED)		[]Y	ES.		10	104 11	10 0	ndicar	e-why	(.)							
16 DATE TRANSMITTED 17 SIGNATURE (FDA MIN Specialist)																														

FORM FDA 2359d (12/17)

# Number of Dairy Farms or Milk Plants Violating an

# TABLE FOR COMPUTING PERCENT VIOLATION

## Number of Dairy Farms or Milk Plants in Sample

	Number of Dai	my raims of with	ik Flants in Sample	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	13 14 15 16 17 18 1	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 2	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	38   39   40   41   42   43   44   50
100 50 33 25 20 17 14 13 11 10 9 8	8 7 7 6 6 6 5	5 5 5 5 5 4 4	4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 1
100 67 50 40 33 29 25 22 20 18 17	15 14 13 13 12 11 1	11 10 10 9 9 8 8 8	8 7 7 7 7 7 6 6 6 6 6 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 4 2
100 75 60 50 43 38 33 30 27 25	23 21 20 19 18 17 1	16 15 14 14 13 13 12 1	12 11 11 10 10 10 9 9 9 9 8 8	8 8 8 7 7 7 7 6 3
100 80 67 57 50 44 40 36 33	31 29 27 25 24 22 2	21 20 19 16 17 17 16 1	15 15 14 14 13 13 13 12 12 11 11 11	11 10 10 10 10 9 9 8 4
100 83 72 63 56 50 45 42	38 36 33 31 29 28 2	26 25 24 23 22 21 19 1	19 18 17 17 16 16 15 15 14 14 14 13	13 13 12 12 12 12 11 10 5
100 86 75 67 60 55 50	46 43 40 38 35 33 3	32 30 29 27 26 25 24 2	23 22 21 21 20 19 19 18 18 17 17 16	16 15 15 15 14 14 14 12 6
100 88 78 70 64 58	54 50 47 44 41 39 3	37 35 33 32 30 29 28 2	27 26 25 24 23 23 22 21 21 20 19 19	18 18 18 17 17 16 16 14 7
100 89 80 73 67	62 57 53 50 47 44 4	42 40 38 36 35 33 32 3	31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 24 26 22 22	21 21 20 20 19 19 18 16 8
100 90 82 75	69 64 60 56 53 50 4	47 45 43 41 39 38 36 3	35 33 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 26 25 24	23 23 22 22 21 21 20 18 9
100 91 83	77 72 67 63 59 56 5	53 50 48 46 44 42 40 3	38 37 36 35 33 32 31 30 29 29 28 27	26 25 25 24 24 23 23 20 10
100 92	85 79 74 69 65 61 5	58 55 52 50 48 46 44 4	42 41 39 38 37 36 34 33 32 31 31 30	29 28 28 27 26 26 25 22 11
	92 86 80 75 71 67 6	63 60 57 55 52 50 48 4	46 45 43 41 40 39 38 36 35 34 33 32	32 31 30 29 29 28 27 24 12
	100 93 87 81 77 72 6	69 65 62 59 57 54 52 5	50 48 46 45 43 42 41 39 38 37 35 35	36 33 33 32 31 30 30 26 <b>13</b>

100 94 90 100 94

100 95 90 8

91 88 84 81 78 7 96 92 88 85 82 7 100 96 92 89 85 8

9 77 74 72 70 6 3 80 77 75 73 7

> 81 79 77 74 72 70 84 82 79 77 75 73

> > 88 86 83 81 79 77 75 73 91 89 86 84 82 80 78 76 94 92 89 87 84 82 80 78

> > > 4 92 89 87 85 83 81 79 77 75 7 94 92 90 87 85 83 81 79 77 00 97 95 92 90 88 85 83 81 80

100 97 95 92 90 88 86 84 82 72 100 97 95 93 90 88 86 84 74 100 97 95 93 91 88 86 76 100 98 95 93 91 89 78

100 98 95 93 91 80 4 100 98 95 93 82 4 100 98 96 84 4

100 88 4

For Example: An Item violated 16 times during a rating of 25 dairy farms equals a 64% violation rate.

# TABLE FOR COMPUTING PERCENT VIOLATION

(Percentage rounded to nearest whole number)

#### APPENDIX A.

## GUIDELINES FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT RATINGS

(FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2))

## PART I. DAIRY FARMS

Enforcement evaluation is based on NCIMS requirements, not on individual State's and/or Country's laws or regulations.

The term "permit", whenever it appears in this document shall also mean a MC operating under the ICP possessing a valid MOA with a TPC.

- 1. All dairy farm operators hold valid permits (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.
  - a. Every dairy farm operator, in compliance, holds a valid permit.
  - b. Permits not transferable with respect to person and/or location.
- 2. All dairy farms inspected at least once every six (6) months or as required under Appendix P. (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS and APPENDIX P. PERFORMANCE-BASED DAIRY FARM INSPECTION SYSTEM). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.

**NOTE:** A single dairy farm BTU shall be prorated by the number of inspections in compliance with the required frequency.

Every dairy farm inspected at least once every six (6) months or as required by Appendix P.

**NOTE:** Use *MMSR*, Section D., 1., e. and D., 2., e. as a guide: "The interval shall include the designated period, plus the remaining days of the month in which the inspection is due."

3. Inspection sheets posted or available (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.

A copy of the most recent inspection report shall be available at the dairy farm.

4. Requirements interpreted in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* as indicated by past inspections (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.

**NOTE:** A single dairy farm BTU shall be prorated by significant interpretation violation(s) not noted on previous inspection reports. For each Item that is identified as being misinterpreted, the value to be taken off from a possible 100 points corresponds to the weight value identified per Item on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-

PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING.

- a. Sanitarian's criterion is neither too lenient nor too stringent.
- b. Significant violations, including construction, debited by the sanitarian on the most recent inspection.
- c. Sanitarian recognizes violations and debits as appropriate on the previous inspection reports.
- 5. Tuberculosis and Brucellosis Certification on file as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 8. ANIMAL HEALTH and APPENDIX A. ANIMAL DISEASE CONTROL). All or nothing Item based on record verification.
  - a. Located in a Certified Brucellosis Free Area as defined by USDA and enrolled in the testing program for such areas; or
    - 1.) Meet USDA requirements for an individually certified herd; or
    - 2.) Participate in an approved milk ring testing program; or
    - 3.) Have individual blood agglutination testing done annually; or
    - 4.) For goat, sheep, water buffalo, or any other hooved mammal herds/flocks, excluding cattle and bison, they are included in an official annual written certification from the State Veterinarian documenting their brucellosis-free status.
  - b. Located in an Area, which has a Modified Accredited Advanced Tuberculosis status or greater as determined by USDA. Other Areas or herds shall have passed an annual tuberculosis test or the Area has established a tuberculosis testing protocol that assures tuberculosis protection and surveillance of the dairy industry and is approved by FDA, USDA and the State Regulatory Agency.
  - c. Tuberculosis and/or Brucellosis certificates on file as required by the Regulatory Agency.
  - d. Notice of status changes readily available to the Regulatory Agency.
  - e. Milk from Brucellosis reactor animals withheld as required.

**NOTE:** For the ICP, references to USDA and/or State within 5. above, shall mean the Government Agency responsible for animal disease control in the Country or region of that Country. The term "State Veterinarian" shall mean an individual veterinarian authorized for those activities in said Country or region of that Country.

6. Water samples tested and reports on file as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS, APPENDIX D. STANDARDS FOR WATER SOURCES and APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL TESTS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance. A dairy farm missing one (1) water sample during a required time period shall not receive any credit for this Item.

**NOTE:** A single dairy farm BTU shall be prorated by the number of water samples tested during the required time period vs. the total number of water tests due per water system.

- a. Samples of private water supplies and recirculated cooling water systems taken upon initial construction/installation and within thirty (30) days after extensive repairs or alterations.
- b. Private water supplies sampled every three (3) years.
- c. Hauled water (cisterns) sampled in at least four (4) months out of six (6), at the point of use.
- d. Recirculated water sampled every six (6) months.
- e. Water supplies with buried well seals sampled every six (6) months.

**NOTE:** Use *Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7., Item 8r, ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES #7, as a guide: "To determine if water samples have been taken at the frequency established in this Section, the interval shall include the designated period plus the remaining days of the month in which the sample is due."

- f. Sampling is not required for public, community, or rural water system(s), which are under EPA/applicable Government Water Control Authority and in compliance with their requirements.
- g. Appropriate follow-up investigation and re-sampling of the supply/system following a positive bacteriological result. (Within thirty (30) days.)
- h. Heterotrophic count performed when required by APPENDIX G. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- i. Samples submitted to a laboratory acceptable to the Regulatory Agency.
- j. Current record of sample results on file at the Regulatory Agency, back to the last rating.

<u>NOTE</u>: Applicable Government Water Control Authority requirements, which are less stringent than the *Grade "A" PMO*, shall be superseded by the *Grade "A" PMO*. Applicable Government Water Control Authority requirements, which are stricter than the *Grade "A" PMO*, shall not be considered in determining the acceptability of water supplies during ratings, check ratings, single-service listing evaluations and audits.

<u>For Example</u>: If the applicable Government Water Control Authority's law required more frequent individual water supply samples to be taken, a SRO conducting a rating, which includes that dairy farm, shall give that dairy farm full credit for water sample frequency, if the *Grade "A" PMO* minimum sampling frequency requirement is met, even though, the applicable Government Water Control Authority's frequency is not met.

Supplies other than individual water supplies, which have been approved as safe by the applicable Government Water Control Authority, shall be considered to be acceptable sources, as provided in Section 7. of the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, for Grade "A" inspections, as well as for all other IMS purposes, without further inspection of the spring, well or reservoir treatment facility(ies), testing records, etc.

7. Milking Time Inspection Program established (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS and Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS). All or nothing Item.

**NOTE:** Until FDA guidance is developed for a Milking Time Inspection Program; full credit is given for this Item.

- 8. At least four (4) samples collected in at least four (4) separate months from each dairy farm's milk supply, during any consecutive six (6) months, except when three (3) months show a month containing two (2) sampling dates separated by at least twenty (20) days, and all necessary laboratory examinations made (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.
  - a. Four (4) samples taken from each dairy farm during any consecutive six (6) month period. However, if the production of Grade "A" raw milk is not on a continuous monthly basis and; therefore, cannot meet PMO sampling frequency as cited, then a sample of the Grade "A" raw milk shall be collected during each month of production for any consecutive six (6) month period. (Use *MMSR*, Page 10 as a guide.)

**NOTE:** Use *MMSR*, Section B., 2., e.2.), as a guide for frequency determination.

- b. Required bacterial counts, somatic cell counts, drug residue and cooling temperature checks performed on each sample in an Official or Officially Designated Laboratory.
- 9. Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS; *EML*; and STANDARD METHODS FOR THE EXAMINATION OF DAIRY PRODUCTS (*SMEDP*)).

**NOTE:** Use *MMSR*, "GUIDANCE FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT CREDIT FOR PART I, ITEM 9 AND/OR PART II, ITEM 8 OF FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT, SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)".

- 10. Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings and/or court action taken as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS and Section 16. PENALTY). The BTU shall be prorated by enforcement action(s) in compliance per dairy farm. Five (5) Categories (a-e) shall be utilized for determining compliance with this Item and each shall possess a value of twenty percent (20%) compliance. The Categories are as follows:
  - a. Category I: Permit Issuance;
  - b. Category II: Permit Suspension;
  - c. Category III: Permit Revocation;
  - d. Category IV: Permit Reinstatement; and
  - e. Category V: Hearing/Court Action.

The Categories relate to the following Sanitation Requirements and Product Compliance. Compliance shall be prorated based on **full** compliance with each of the five (5) Categories.

**NOTE:** Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4). (Refer to Section J. #4 for an example of the Form.)

# **SANITATION REQUIREMENTS**

# Category I: Permit Issuance

- a. Inspected prior to the issuance of a permit.
- b. Permit issuance based on compliance.

## Category II: Permit Suspension

- a. Notice issued for intent to suspend permit if an inspection(s) discloses a violation of a *Grade "A" PMO* requirement(s). Reinspection(s) made as required.
- b. Permit suspension upon violation of:
  - 1.) Section 3. for a serious health hazard or interference by the permit holder in the performance of the Regulatory Agency's duties; or
  - 2.) Section 5. for consecutive violation(s) of the same requirements of Section 7.
- c. Milk produced during suspension or while a monetary penalty is imposed for repeated inspection violations is not eligible for sale as Grade "A".

**NOTE:** Grade "A" PMO, Section 3. states: "The Regulatory Agency may forego suspension of the permit, provided the milk and/or milk product in violation is not sold or offered for sale as a Grade "A" milk and/or milk product. A Regulatory Agency may allow the imposition of a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension, provided the milk and/or milk product in violation is not sold or offered for sale as a Grade "A" milk and/or milk product. Except, that a milk producer may be assessed a monetary penalty in lieu of permit suspension for violative counts provided ....."

The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

## Category III: Permit Revocation

Action to revoke a permit taken upon multiple suspensions.

## Category IV: Permit Reinstatement

Reinstatement procedures followed.

**NOTE:** Grade "A" PMO, Section 3. states: "Within one (1) week of the receipt of such notification {of correction}, the Regulatory Agency shall make an inspection/audit of the applicant's facility and as many additional inspections/audits thereafter as are deemed necessary to determine that the applicant's facility is complying with the requirements."

# Category V: Hearing/Court Action

Hearings provided for as required.

# **PRODUCT COMPLIANCE**

## Category II: Permit Suspension

- a. All milk produced during suspension or while a monetary penalty is imposed for bacterial, somatic cell, cooling temperature or drug residue violation is not eligible for sale as Grade "A".
- b. When two (2) out of the last four (4) samples exceed the standards, a written notice is sent, and an additional sample is taken within twenty-one (21) days of the date of the notice, but not before three (3) days.
- c. Permit suspension; stop sale; or imposition of a monetary penalty upon violation of:
  - 1.) Section 3. for serious health hazard; or
  - 2.) Section 6. for:
    - i. Three (3) out of the last five (5) samples exceeding the bacterial, somatic cell, or cooling temperature standards; or
    - ii. "Four (4) in six (6) months" positive antibiotic (not of Appendix N. origin); or
  - iii. If pesticide contaminated milk is not withheld from sale.

<u>NOTE</u>: The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

## Category IV: Permit Reinstatement

- a. Temporary permit issued as required on reinstatement(s) following somatic cell count resampling, which indicates the milk supply to be within acceptable limits; or reinspection (bacterial or cooling temperature standards violation) made within one (1) week following proper notification, except after reinstatement for a drug residue or with resampling for somatic cell standard.
- b. "Reinstating accelerated sample(s)" for bacterial, cooling temperature, or somatic cell counts taken at a rate of not more than two (2) per week on separate days within a three (3) week period.

**For Example**: FORM FDA 2359j-PART I, Item 10 Calculation (Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4). (Refer to Section J. #4 for an example of the Form.)

	Number	Number	Percent	Weight	Credit
	Inspected	Complying	Complying		
Category I	25	25	100	20	20
Category II	25	22	88	20	17.6
Category III	25	25	100	20	20
Category IV	25	25	100	20	20
Category V	25	25	100	20	20

**TOTAL CREDIT**  $\triangleright$  97.6 = 98

**TOTAL CREDIT** to be entered into PART I, Item 10 "Percent Complying" column of FORM FDA 2359j. (Refer to Section K. #s 5, 9 and 11 for examples.)

11. Records systematically maintained and current (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS, and Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS). Make use of both general record-keeping deficiencies and record keeping by dairy farm to determine the value. The BTU shall be prorated by the number of identified record-keeping deficiencies per dairy farm. The four (4) Categories (a-d) listed below shall be utilized for determining compliance with this Item and each shall possess a value of twenty-five percent (25%) compliance. Compliance shall be prorated based on **full** compliance with each of the four (4) Categories.

**NOTE:** Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4). (Refer to Section J. #4 for an example of the Form.)

a. Category I: Permit records available, accurate and current, including permit suspension, impositions of a monetary penalty, notices, reinstatement, etc. The results shall be entered on appropriate ledger forms. The use of a computer or other information retrieval system may be used.

<u>NOTE</u>: The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

- b. Category II: Inspection reports on file as directed by the Regulatory Agency and retained at least twenty-four (24) months. The results are entered on a milk ledger form or computer.
- c. Category III: Bacterial counts, somatic cell counts, cooling temperatures, drug residues, pesticide results, and water analysis results promptly recorded on a milk ledger form or a computer program for each individual dairy farm. (Use the arithmetic average for bacterial counts, somatic cell counts and cooling temperature determinations when samples are collected from the same dairy farm on the same day from multiple storage tanks.)
- d. Category IV: Within the Rating Period: Plan review file in order and written approval given for construction during the rating period.

**For Example**: FORM FDA 2359j-PART I, Item 11 Calculation (Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4). (Refer to Section J. #4 for an example of the Form.)

	Number	Number	Percent	Weight	Credit
	Inspected	Complying	Complying		
Category I	25	25	100	25	25
Category II	25	25	100	25	25
Category III	25	23	92	25	23
Category IV	25	25	100	25	25

**TOTAL CREDIT** ▶ 98

**TOTAL CREDIT** to be entered into PART I, Item 11 "Percent Complying" column of FORM FDA 2359j. (Refer to Section K. #s 5, 9 and 11 for examples.)

#### PART II. MILK PLANTS

Enforcement evaluation is based on NCIMS requirements, not on individual State's and/or Country's laws or regulations.

The term "permit", whenever it appears in this document shall also mean a MC operating under the ICP possessing a valid MOA with a TPC.

- 1. All milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations operators hold valid permits (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS). All or nothing Item.
  - a. All milk plants, receiving and transfer stations hold a valid permit.
  - b. Permits retained only by those in compliance with the *Grade "A" PMO* requirements.
  - c. Permits not transferable with respect to persons and/or locations.
- 2. Milk plants and receiving stations inspected at least once every three (3) months (transfer stations, aseptic milk plants and retort milk plants once every six (6) months) (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF MILK PLANTS). Prorate by the number of inspections in compliance with the required frequency.

#### For Example:

- = # of three (3) or six (6) month periods with an inspection conducted Total # of three (3) or six (6) month periods in rating period
- a. Milk plants and receiving stations inspected at least once every three (3) months.
- b. Transfer stations, aseptic milk plants and retort milk plants inspected at least once every six (6) months.

**<u>NOTE</u>**: Use *MMSR*, Section D., 1., e. as a guide: "...the interval shall include the designated period plus the remaining days of the month in which the inspection is due."

3. Inspection sheets posted or available (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF MILK PLANTS). All or nothing Item.

A copy of the most recent inspection report shall be available at the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station.

4. Requirements interpreted in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* as indicated by past inspections (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS.) Prorate by significant interpretation violation(s) not noted on previous inspection reports.

**NOTE:** For each Item that is identified as being misinterpreted, the value to be taken off from a possible 100 points corresponds to the weight value identified per Item on FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS.

- a. Sanitarian's criterion is neither too lenient nor too stringent.
- b. Significant violations, including construction, debited by the sanitarian on the most recent inspection.
- c. Sanitarian recognizes violations and debits as appropriate on the previous inspection reports.
- 5. Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS and APPENDIX I. PASTEURIZATION EQUIPMENT AND CONTROLS-TESTS). Prorate by the number of units per quarter that were correctly tested within the required testing frequency vs. the total number of units.

**NOTE:** Not required for aseptic and retort milk plants, except when the APPS is utilized to produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" milk and/or milk products and pasteurized and/or ultra-pasteurized Grade "A" milk and/or milk products. The APPS shall then be tested by the Regulatory Agency in accordance with the requirements cited in Section 7. of the *Grade* "A" PMO.

a. Total required tests performed based on pasteurization system(s) equals the # number of Vat Pasteurizers, plus the number of HTST Pasteurizers, plus the number of HHST Pasteurizers, plus the number of APPSs, if applicable as cited above, at the milk plant.

#### **For Example:**

\*= # of three (3) month periods X # of pasteurizers properly checked within each period # of three (3) month periods X Total # of pasteurizers

\*NOTE: No credit for a period is given for a pasteurization unit unless all required tests for that unit have been correctly completed and recorded.

b. Test performed at required frequency, including semi-annual and quarterly tests conducted by the Regulatory Agency and daily tests conducted by an operator.

**NOTE:** Use *MMSR*, Section D., 4., a.1.) as a guide: "...the interval shall include the designated period plus the remaining days of the month in which the test(s) is due."

- c. All tests made and properly recorded (required calculations available). The results shall be entered on appropriate ledger forms. A computer or other information retrieval system may be used.
- 6. Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS, APPENDIX D. STANDARDS FOR WATER SOURCES, and APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL TESTS). Prorate by the number of water samples tested during the required time period vs. the total number of water tests due per water system.
  - a. Total required water tests performed based on each water system requiring testing at the milk plant, receiving or transfer station.

#### **For Example:**

- = # of test(s) performed at the required frequency per water system X # of water systems # of test(s) due at the required frequency per water system X # of water systems
- b. Samples of private water supplies and recirculated cooling water, including sweet water and glycol systems, taken upon initial construction/installation; within thirty (30) days after extensive repairs or alterations; and every six (6) months thereafter.
- c. Sampling is not required for public, community, or rural water system(s), which are under EPA/applicable Government Water Control Authority and in compliance with their requirements.
- d. Condensing water for milk evaporators and water reclaimed from milk or milk products complying with APPENDIX D. requirements.
- e. Hauled water (cisterns) sampled in at least four (4) months out of six (6) months, at the point of use.
- f. Water supplies with buried well seals sampled every six (6) months.

**NOTE:** Use *Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7., Item 7p, ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES #7 as a guide: "To determine if water samples have been taken at the frequency established in this Section, the interval shall include the designated six (6) month period plus the remaining days of the month in which the sample is due."

- g. Appropriate follow-up investigation and re-sampling of the supply/system following a positive bacteriological result. (Within thirty (30) days.)
- h. Heterotrophic count performed when required by APPENDIX G. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- i. Samples submitted to a laboratory acceptable to the Regulatory Agency.
- j. Current record of sample results on file at the Regulatory Agency, back to the last rating.

**NOTE:** Applicable Government Water Control Authority requirements, which are less stringent than the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, shall be superseded by the *Grade* "A" *PMO*. Applicable Government Water Control Authority requirements, which are more strict than the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, shall not be considered in determining the acceptability of water supplies during ratings, check ratings, single-service listing evaluations and audits.

<u>For Example</u>: If the applicable Government Water Control Authority's law required more frequent individual water supply samples to be taken, a SRO conducting a rating, which includes that milk plant, shall give that milk plant full credit for water sample frequency, if the *Grade "A" PMO* minimum sampling frequency requirement is met, even though, the applicable Government Water Control Authority's frequency is not met.

Supplies other than individual water supplies, which have been approved as safe by the applicable Government Water Control Authority, shall be considered to be acceptable sources, as provided in Section 7. of the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, for Grade "A" inspections, as well as for all other IMS purposes, without further inspection of the spring, well or reservoir treatment facility(ies), testing records, etc.

- 7. Samples of each milk plant's milk and/or milk products collected at the required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 6. THE EXAMINATION OF MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS). Prorate by the number of milk and/or milk products in compliance. (Refer to M-a-98, latest revision, for the FDA validated and NCIMS accepted test methods for the specific milk and/or milk products.)
  - a. During any consecutive six (6) months, at least four (4) samples of raw milk, after receipt by the milk plant, including aseptic and retort milk plants, shall be collected, prior to pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, or retort processed after packaging, in four (4) separate months, except when three (3) months show a month containing two (2) sampling dates separated by at least twenty (20) days.
  - b. During any consecutive six (6) months, at least four (4) samples of each Grade "A" milk and/or milk product processed, as defined in Sections 1. and 6. of the *Grade* "A" *PMO* shall be collected in four (4) separate months, except when three (3) months show a month containing two (2) sampling dates separated by at least twenty (20) days. However, if the production of any Grade "A" milk or milk product, as defined in the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, is not on a continuous monthly basis and; therefore, cannot meet the PMO sampling frequency requirement as cited, then a sample of the Grade "A" milk or milk product shall be collected during each month of production.
  - c. All required examinations performed on each sample (bacterial, coliform, drug residue, phosphatase, and cooling temperature) in an Official or Officially Designated Laboratory.

<u>NOTE</u>: All pasteurized and ultra-pasteurized milk and/or milk products required sampling and testing is to be conducted only when there are test methods available that are validated by FDA and accepted by the NCIMS. Milk and/or milk products that do not have validated and accepted methods are not required to be tested. (Refer to M-a-98, latest revision, for the specific milk and/or milk products that have FDA validated and NCIMS accepted test methods.)

- d. Assays of Vitamin A, D, and/or A and D fortified milk and/or milk products, including aseptically processed and packaged low-acid milk and/or milk products and retort processed after packaging low-acid milk and/or milk products, conducted at least annually in an IMS Listed Laboratory. Credit for vitamin-fortified milk and/or milk products is not given unless vitamin analysis is completed and records are available. Each vitamin fortified product is evaluated separately. (Refer to M-a-98, latest revision, for the specific milk and/or milk products that have FDA validated and NCIMS accepted test methods for vitamins.)
- 8. Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS; *EML*; and *SMEDP*).

**NOTE:** Use *MMSR*, "GUIDANCE FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT CREDIT FOR PART 1, ITEM 9 AND/OR PART II, ITEM 8 OF FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2).

Items 4 and 7 on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT- SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) are not applicable for milk plants, receiving and transfer stations when calculating enforcement scores for FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II, Item 8.

**NOTE:** Divide by seventy-five (75) instead of 100 when making the calculations.

9. Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings and/or court action taken as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS, Section 5. INSPECTION OF MILK PLANTS, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS and Section 16. PENALTIES). Prorate by enforcement action(s) in compliance.

**NOTE:** A milk plant shall be prorated by enforcement action(s) in compliance. Five (5) Categories shall be utilized for determining compliance with this Item and each shall possess a value of twenty percent (20%) compliance. The Categories are as follows:

- a. Category I: Permit Issuance;
- b. Category II: Permit Suspension;
- c. Category III: Permit Revocation;
- d. Category IV: Permit Reinstatement; and
- e. Category V: Hearing/Court Action.

The Categories relate to the following Sanitation Requirements and Product Compliance. Compliance shall be prorated based on **full** compliance with each of the five (5) Categories.

**NOTE:** Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5). (Refer to Section J. #5 for an example of the Form.)

#### **SANITATION REQUIREMENTS**

#### Category I: Permit Issuance

- a. Inspected prior to the issuance of a permit.
- b. Permit issuance based on compliance.

#### Category II: Permit Suspension

- a. Notice issued for intent to suspend permit if an inspection(s) discloses a violation of a *Grade "A" PMO* requirement(s). Reinspection(s) made as required.
- b. Permit suspension upon violation of:
  - 1.) Section 3. for a serious health hazard or interference by the permit holder in the performance of the Regulatory Agency's duties; or
  - 2.) Section 5. for sanitation and/or uncorrected critical processing elements; or
  - 3.) Section 5. for consecutive violation(s) of the same requirements of Section 7.
- c. Milk products processed during suspension or while a monetary penalty is imposed for repeated inspection violations is not eligible for sale as Grade "A".

**NOTE:** Grade "A" PMO, Section 3. states: "The Regulatory Agency may forego suspension of the permit, provided the milk and/or milk product in violation is not sold or offered for sale as a Grade "A" milk and/or milk product. A Regulatory Agency may allow the imposition of a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension, provided the milk and/or milk product in violation is not sold or offered for sale as a Grade "A" milk and/or milk product. The option it issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

#### Category III: Permit Revocation

Action to revoke a permit taken upon multiple suspensions.

#### Category IV: Permit Reinstatement

Reinstatement procedures followed.

**NOTE:** Grade "A" PMO, Section 3. states: "Within one (1) week of the receipt of such notification {of correction}, the Regulatory Agency shall make an inspection/audit of the applicant's facility and as many additional inspections/audits thereafter as are deemed necessary, to determine that the applicant's facility is complying with the requirements."

#### Category V: Hearing/Court Action

Hearings provided for as required.

#### **PRODUCT COMPLIANCE**

#### Category II: Permit Suspension

a. All milk and/or milk products produced during a permit suspension or while a monetary penalty is imposed for bacterial count, coliform count, cooling temperature or drug residue violations are not eligible for sale as Grade "A".

**NOTE:** The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

- b. When two (2) out of the last four (4) samples exceed the limits, a written notice is sent, and an additional sample is taken within twenty-one (21) days of the date of the notice, but not before three (3) days.
- c. When three (3) out of the last five (5) samples exceed the standards; or a positive drug residue or pesticide residue, the permit is immediately suspended.
- d. Violation of Vitamin Fortification Levels (Refer to Appendix O. of the *Grade "A" PMO*): Determine the cause and re-sample or withhold product from the market.
- e. Positive Phosphatase: Determine the probable cause and if the cause is improper pasteurization it shall be corrected before further sale of milk is allowed.
- f. Positive Drug Residues or Pesticide Test: Investigate, determine the probable cause and correct before further sale of milk is allowed.
- g. Permit suspension upon violation of:
  - 1.) Section 3. for serious health hazard; or
  - 2.) Section 6. for bacterial counts, coliform counts and cooling temperature violations if the product is not otherwise withheld.
- h. All permits suspended as required by the *Grade "A" PMO*.

#### Category IV: Permit Reinstatement

- a. All milk and/or milk product violations followed promptly by an inspection to determine the cause(s).
- b. Temporary permit issued as required on reinstatement(s) and reinspection made within one (1) week following proper notification (except for drug residues).
- c. "Reinstating accelerated samples" for bacterial, cooling temperature, or coliform counts taken at a rate of not more than two (2) per week, on separate days, within a three (3) week period.
- d. All permits reinstated as required by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 10. Records systematically maintained and current (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS, Section 4. LABELING, Section 5. INSPECTION OF MILK PLANTS, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS, and Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS.) Make use of both general and specific record-keeping deficiencies to determine the value. The four (4) Categories (I-IV) listed below shall be utilized

for determining compliance with this Item and each shall possess a value of twenty-five percent (25%) compliance. Compliance shall be prorated based on **full** compliance with each of the four (4) Categories.

**NOTE:** Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5). (Refer to Section J. #5 for an example of the Form.)

a. Category I: Permit records available, accurate and current, including permit suspension, imposition of a monetary penalty, notices, reinstatement, etc. The results shall be entered on appropriate ledger forms. The use of a computer or other information retrieval system may be used.

**NOTE:** The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

- b. Category II: Inspection reports and equipment tests filed as directed by the Regulatory Agency and retained for at least twenty-four (24) months. The results are entered on a milk ledger form or computer.
- c. Category III: All test results for bacterial, coliform, cooling temperature, phosphatase, drug residues, pesticide, if available, and vitamin assay promptly recorded on an appropriate ledger or computer for each individual milk and milk product. (Use the arithmetic average for bacterial counts, coliform counts, and cooling temperature determinations when samples are collected of the same milk or milk product from the same milk plant on the same day from multiple storage tanks or silos.)
- d. Category III: Records maintained on bacteriological examination of milk containers, if required.
- e. Category III: Vitamin volume control records complete and on file at the milk plant as required.
- f. Category IV: Within the Rating Period: Plan review file in order and written approval given for construction during the rating period.

#### PART III. INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING

- 1. Refer to the "Total Credit", Part I value and multiply by "47", if an attached raw supply (dairy farms) is included with the milk plant listing. (Refer to the instructions below Part III on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2).) If an attached raw supply (dairy farms) is not included with the milk plant listing, leave this Item blank.
- 2. Refer to the "Total Credit", Part II value and multiply by "47", if an attached raw supply (dairy farms) is included with the milk plant listing; or by "94", if only an unattached raw supply(ies) (dairy farms) is utilized. (Refer to the instructions below Part III on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2).)

- 3. All milk and/or milk products properly labeled (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 4. LABELING).
  - a. Prorate by Milk and/or Milk Product: Number of different milk and/or milk products correctly labeled vs. total number of milk and/or milk products, including raw.
  - b. Include in Label Review:
    - 1.) A representative label(s) for all milk and/or milk products produced, including raw. Milk and/or milk products are labeled according to the *Grade "A" PMO* definition(s) and requirements and applicable CFRs.
    - 2.) Vehicles hauling milk shall be properly identified with the name and address of the milk plant or hauler. (Include under raw milk.)
    - 3.) Milk cans from dairy farms properly identified. (Include under raw milk.)
    - 4.) Bills-of-lading and dairy farm weight tickets contain all the required information, including BTU #. (Include under raw milk where applicable.)

<u>NOTE</u>: All records shall be summarized in ledger form. Computer ledgers are acceptable. Records include:

- a. Inspections of dairy farms, milk plants, receiving and transfer stations, samplers, milk tank trucks, etc.;
- b. Laboratory information, i.e., raw milk, finished milk and/or milk products, vitamin assays, water, cooling media, etc.; and
- c. Equipment tests.

# GUIDANCE FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT CREDIT FOR PART I, ITEM 9 AND/OR PART II, ITEM 8 OF FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)

FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT- SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) shall be used to determine enforcement credit for Part I, Item 9, FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (Dairy Farms), and Part II, Item 8, FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (Milk Plant). Items 4 and 7 on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT- SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) do not apply when calculating Enforcement Ratings for milk plants, receiving and transfer stations for FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II, Item 8.

#### Item 1. Sampling Surveillance Officers (SSOs) Properly Certified

- a. All SSOs are certified by FDA.
- b. Certification is currently valid (three years).
- c. SSOs shall be a certified SRO, LEO or Regulatory Supervisor per "Procedures" Section V., F.

#### Item 2. Adequate Training Program Provided

- a. Reference material available to samplers.
- b. Training program conforms to established procedures.
- c. Training program implemented.
- d. Copies of training materials and other related information are on file for review.

#### **Item 3. Sampling Surveillance Authority Properly Delegated**

- a. Proper delegation procedures have been conducted.
- b. Only those eligible receive delegated authority.
- c. Initial Delegation: Comparison evaluations shall be performed on at least five (5) bulk milk hauler/samplers during a routine milk pick-up at a dairy farm; one (1) plant sampler that collects raw and finished milk and/or milk product samples and single-service container/closures at one (1) milk plant, if applicable; and one (1) industry plant sampler that collects a raw milk sample from a milk tank truck at one (1) milk plant, if applicable, with at least eighty percent (80%) agreement on each listed Item.
- d. Re-delegation conducted at least each three (3) years. Comparison evaluations shall be performed on at least two (2) bulk milk hauler/samplers during a routine milk pick-up at a dairy farm; one (1) plant sampler that collects raw and finished milk and/or milk product samples and single-service containers/closures at one (1) milk plant, if applicable; and one (1) industry plant sampler that collects a raw milk sample from a milk tank truck at one (1) milk plant, if applicable, with at least eighty percent (80%) agreement on each listed Item.

e. Proper certification of industry field personnel when applicable.

#### **Item 4. Permit Issuance** (Applies to Part I-DAIRY FARMS Only)

- a. All bulk milk hauler/samplers have a valid permit.
- b. Inspected prior to the issuance of a permit.
- c. Only bulk milk hauler/samplers who comply with *Ordinance* requirements shall be entitled to receive a permit.
- d. Permits not transferable with respect to persons.

### Item 5. Sampler (Including Dairy Plant and Industry Plant Samplers at the Receiving Site) Evaluated Every Two (2) Years and Reports Properly Filed

a. Samplers shall have their sampling collection procedures evaluated by a certified SSO or a properly delegated Sampling Surveillance Regulatory Agency Official (dSSO) every two (2) years. SSOs or dSSOs are not required to be evaluated for sampling collection procedures.

**<u>NOTE</u>**: Use *Grade* "A" PMO, Section 5., **ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES**, **INSPECTION FREQUENCY** as a guide: "For the purposes of determining the inspection frequency for bulk milk hauler/samplers, industry plant samplers and dairy plant samplers, the interval shall include the designated twenty-four (24) month period plus the remaining days of the month in which the inspection is due."

b. Proper Agencies are advised of all samplers and of all evaluations annually in accordance with procedures.

#### Item 6. Sampling Procedures in Substantial Compliance

- a. Appraisal of each sampler's compliance done by record review.
- b. Appraisal of sampler's compliance.
- c. Evaluation criteria neither too stringent nor too lenient.

### Item 7. Permit Suspension, Revocation, Reinstatement, Hearings and/or Court Actions Taken as Required (Applies to Part I- DAIRY FARMS Only)

- a. Action taken on repeat violations of sampling requirements.
- b. Re-evaluations made as required.

#### Item 8. Records Systematically Maintained and Current

- a. Records of the delegation of sampling evaluation authority to other Regulatory Agency or industry individuals on file and available for review with the dairy farm or milk plant records.
- b. Records of each sampler evaluation on file and available for review with the dairy farm or milk plant records.

- c. Records for each sampler evaluation entered on individual history cards or computer ledgers.
- d. Records of permit issuance, suspension, reinstatement, revocation and hearings on file and available for review.
- e. Records of bulk milk hauler/sampler, dairy plant sampler and industry plant sampler inspections on file.

#### APPENDIX B.

#### TABLE OF DAIRY FARM WATER SUPPLY VIOLATIONS

The following Table was accepted by the NCIMS Executive Board for use as guidance in evaluating dairy farm water supplies. The Table provides guidance, which may be used to differentiate between two (2) point (minor) and five (5) point (major) violations of Section 7., Item 8r of the *Grade "A" PMO* during State Ratings and FDA Check Ratings.

#### Primary Violation Areas as Defined by the Grade "A" PMO

- 1. Water supply is safe and complies with Appendix D.;
- 2. No cross-connections between safe and unsafe supplies;
- 3. No submerged inlets;
- 4. Well location and construction;
- 5. New individual water supplies disinfected prior to use;
- 6. All containers/tanks used to transport and protect water are protected from contamination;
- 7. Periodic sampling; and
- 8. Water testing records current.

## WELLS, SPRINGS AND CISTERNS: CONSTRUCTION AND LOCATION

(Items A. D and F)

(Items A, D and F)			
Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)		
1. Any openings that allow direct	1. Any openings that allow indirect		
contamination of the well water, such as:	contamination of the well water:		
<ul> <li>a. Well cap/cover not in proper position on top of casing to protect against contamination (i.e., missing, lying on ground, hanging off edge of casing, etc.);</li> <li>b. Well cap/cover not impervious;</li> <li>c. Opening in top of casing (i.e., vent hole, opening around electrical wires, etc.);</li> <li>d. Well casing or top cracked/perforated with openings to interior of well;</li> <li>e. Well seal not watertight; and</li> <li>f. Frost-free style water hydrant out of the top of the well casing.</li> </ul>	a. Well cap/cover not tight or overlapping (i.e., set screws, etc. not tightened) but in proper position to protect against contamination; b. Proper vent (turned down pipe) but unscreened or damaged screen; and c. Loose wires running from the outside of the well into the well casing from the side or underside of the well cap.		
2. <u>Large hole/depression, indication of erosion around well casing or standing water around well casing.</u>	2. Slight depression around well with no evidence of standing water.		

Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
3. Well pit does not meet the following	3. Well pit does not meet the following
a. Watertight construction (protected from ground water/rain water); b. Watertight impervious cover; c. Watertight impervious (concrete) floor sloped to drain; d. Operational sump pump or traceable drain to the surface; e. Dry floor in pit; and f. Well in bottom of pit protected from contamination using cover, seals, etc.  4. Spring box not properly constructed or protected:	a. Concrete base for pump/machinery at least 12 inches (30.5 centimeters) above the pit floor; and b. Cover of the overlapping (shoe box) type.  4. Spring box not properly constructed or protected:
a. Spring box and cover do not protect spring from direct contamination, (i.e., uncovered, openings in top, cracks in sides, etc.); b. Surface drainage not diverted away from spring; and c. Spring located in open pasture/field with livestock concentrating within 50 feet (15 meters) as evidenced by trampling of ground, accumulation of manure, or a stock tank or cattle feeding area within 50 feet (15 meters) of spring.	a. Overflow piping not screened; b. Spring box cover not overlapping; and c. Minor construction deficiencies.
5. Water reservoir/cistern/tank construction and use:  a. Constructed to allow contamination of the potable water; and b. Transfer/distribution system constructed to allow contamination of the water supply or distribution system.	5. Water reservoir/cistern/tank construction: Minor construction problems.
6. Buried well seal: With a bad water sample not brought into compliance.	6. Inaccessibility: Except for seasonal conditions like snow and insulation wrap during winter months, the following water sources/supplies shall be accessible for routine inspection and rating evaluation:  a. Above ground wells and well pits; b. Cisterns, reservoirs and springs; and c. Stock waterers.

Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
7. Well within 50 feet (15 meters) of	7. Frost-free style water hydrant
contamination source (i.e., sewer lines, septic	located within 10 feet (3 meters) of the
tank, drain field, cowyard, cattle housing	well without an approved atmospheric
areas without impervious floors, calf pens,	vacuum breaker or with the hose
waste disposal lagoons, buried gasoline tanks,	connection threads not cut off.
herbicide/pesticide storage, etc.).	
8. Well casing terminating below or at	8. Any pit not meeting the construction
ground level. (Does not include well pits or	standards of the Grade "A" PMO,
buried well seals complying with Item 8r of	which is located within 10 feet (3
the Grade "A" PMO.)	meters) of the well.
9. Well located in a known flood plain with	
well casing terminating less than 2 feet (0.6 meters) above the highest known flood level.	
meters) above the nighest known flood level.	
10. Well located in open pasture/field with	
livestock concentrating within 50 feet (15	
meters) of well as evidenced by trampling of	
the ground, accumulation of manure, or a	
stock tank or cattle feeding area within 50 feet	
(15 meters) of the well*.	
11. Improperly constructed abandoned well(s)	
located within 10 feet (3 meters) of well(s)	
used as source of potable water for the dairy.	

<sup>\*</sup> If there is not any evidence of livestock concentration around a well casing that is located in a pasture, then this Item should not be debited.

# WATER SAMPLING (Items E, G and H)

Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
1. Last water sample unsatisfactory.	1. Last sample on record tested safe, but the next sample was not collected/analyzed within the required time frames:  a. New Permit: Then once every three (3) years; b. Buried Well Seal: Every six (6) months; c. Hauled Water: At least four (4) times in separate months during any consecutive six (6) months; and d. After Any Well Repair: Within thirty (30) days.
2. No record of an initial bacteriological sample on file prior to the issuance of a permit for new dairy farms, without any additional sample results on file for the rating period.	
3. Continuous disinfection system, required by the Regulatory Agency, is not operational.	
4. On dairy farms with interconnected wells, if the system is constructed and operated so that a single sample will represent all sources, then a single sample is sufficient. If a single sample does not represent all sources, then each individual well shall be sampled at the required frequency (M-I-86-9).	

### CROSS-CONNECTIONS AND SUBMERGED INLETS: (Items B and C)

(Items B and C)			
Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)		
1. Submerged inlets: Into non-potable water, (i.e.):  a. Submerged line in a stock tank(s)/stock fountain(s); b. 2-compartment wash vat(s) containing water or with the drain plugged; c. Drinking cups; d. Pre-cooler outlet; e. Flush down tanks; f. Water inlet to a CIP/wash vat is submerged in water or solution in the vat; and g. Chill water tank (sweet water, glycol, etc.).  2. Permanent in-line high pressure pump (power washer): Without acceptable protection, such as: a. Properly functioning low-pressure cut-off switch with a properly located test valve; and b. Other methods acceptable to the State Water Control Authority.	1. Potential submerged inlets:  a. Single-cased pipe in a stock tank or fountain;  b. Properly working stock tank float located below the overflow rim of the tank; and  c. Water inlet (equipped with an automatic shut-off) to a CIP/wash vat terminates below the rim of the vat, but is not submerged in water or solution.  (NOTE: If the float has stuck and it is submerged at the time of the inspection it is a five (5) point debit.)  2. Portable high pressure water pump (power washer): Without acceptable protection, such as:  a. Separate water supply or reservoir;  b. Properly functioning low-pressure cut-off switch with a properly located test valve; and  c. Other methods acceptable to the applicable Government Water Control Authority.  (NOTE: Lack of a valve or improperly located valve, used to test the low-pressure cut-off switch is a		
3. Cleaner, sanitizer and udder wash injectors (pumps) with water supply connection not properly protected and supply container of greater than one (1) gallon size. Submerged inlet(s) in other chemical containers (i.e., bottles and/or containers of Roundup, 2-4D, etc.), regardless of the size of the chemical container.	two (2) point debit.)		

Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
4. Anti-siphon vent-type backflow preventer with vent plugged.	_
5. Use of non-functional or improper devices to protect against submerged inlets and/or cross-connections.	
6. Stock tank(s) utilizing center ground pipe as an overflow, where the overflow is flooded and not draining.	
7. Discharge hose connecting potable water system directly to the sewer system or manure handling system (i.e., water line terminating below the flood rim of a floor drain).	

#### **RECLAIMED WATER NOT MEETING THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:**

(Appendix D., IV. - Water Reclaimed from Heat Exchanger Processes)
Major (5 point)

- 1. Sampled before initial approval;
- 2. Sampled at least once in each six (6) month period;
- 3. Proper construction of the storage tank (i.e., protected from contamination);
- 4. No cross-connections between reclaimed water and non-potable water; and
- 5. Approved chemicals used if water is treated.