# Wetland Field Support Services **Proposal Evaluation Form RFP No. WFS 18-1**

**Reviewers Name:** Date:



## COMPLETENESS

Scoring	0 for not in	cluded and	1 if included (Min of 10/max of 10)
1			1 Proposal submitted on time 10/25/24 by 3:00PM
1			2 Transmittal letter provided (3.2.1)
1			3 Statement of complying with technical specifications (3.2.2)
1			4 Provided vendor background information (3.2.3)
1			5 Provided experience (3.2.4)
1			6 Provided resumes of key personnel (3.2.5)
1			7 Included Acceptance of Terms statement (3.2.6)
1			8 Included Certification letter (Attachment #1 - 3.2.7)
1			9 Included Authorization to Release Information (Attachment #2 - 3.2.8)
1			10 Guarantee of services and costs (3.2.9)
10	0	0	Total Score
5	0	0	Weighted Score (Total Score x 0.5)

### **EXPERIENCE** (4.3.1)

Scoring This area will be scored as 0 for no experience, 1 for some experience, and 2 for good experience (min of 9/max of 18)

1			1	Experience with Iowa wetlands
1			2	Experience with agricultural drained landscapes
1			3	Experience with private landowner rights and drainage laws
1			4	Familiarity with drainage districts
1			5	Experience with state and federal conservation practices - wetland & buffers
1			6	Experience with construction contracts and activities
1			7	Experience working with engineering design/survey firms
1			8	Understanding of nutrients and hypoxia
1			9	Understanding role of science and technology to address ag env. concerns
9	0	0	Total Score	
9	0	0	Weighted So	core (Total Score x 1.0)

# UNDERSTANDING OF SERVICES

Scoring 0 for no experience, 1 for some experience, and 2 for good experience (min of 6/max of 12)

> 1 Wetlands Initial Landowner Contacts (4.3.2)

> > Wetlands Preliminary Design Review (4.3.3)

WFS meets specific requirements (4.3.6)

Can meet the anticipated needs (4.3.7)

Wetlands permitting, final design, construction (4.3.4) Wetlands post construction and wetland performance (4.3.5)

1			2	Wetlands Preliminary
1			3	Wetlands permitting,
1			4	Wetlands post constru
1			5	WFS meets specific red
1			6	Can meet the anticipation
6	0	0	Total Score	
12	0	0	Weighted So	core (Total Score x 2.0)

#### 26

1

# minimum total weighted score 26 to have budget considered

COST PROPOSAL Only for those meeting the minimun above.

Score	0 for too e	xpensive, 1	for expensive,	2 for moderate, and 3 for cost effective (max score of 9)
			1	Annual cost
			2	Unit rates
			3	Unit rate increase
0	0	0	Total Score	
0	0	0	Weighted Sc	ore (Total Score x 1.5)
			-	
26	0	0	Composite V	Veighted Score

|--|